From: smartinellighs@aol.com
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 2:47 PM
To: Rulemaking Comments; CHAIRMAN Resource; acer8sac@comcast.net
Cc: PETAPSADistro@peta.org
Subject: Petition for Rulemaking/Rule Change as allowed under 2.802

Dear Chairman/NRC Rulemaking Staff:

I am herein officially petitioning the NRC for an EMERGENCY rule change in the 10 CFR Rules and Regulations meant to protect human and pet health, and the Environment. As you know as Entergy knows, and have admitted through your lack of a denial, our close proximity to the dangerous, ancient nuclear relics known as Indian Point were the primal cause of my wife's breast cancer. What you may not be aware of, is our close proximity to Indian Point has seen us lose three of our beloved pet cats to cancer in the past three years. It is time for this NUCLEAR NAZI PET HOLOCAUST TO END! We need a rule change that establishes SAFE EXPOSURES LIMITS FOR OUR PETS that are beloved members of our families!

For decades, the NRC has refused to establish a safe level of exposure for anything but an average man when it comes to radiation, and specifically radiation from Nuclear Power plants...simply stated, establishing a safe level of exposure for say a child, a sick elderly person would be extremely inconvenient to the NRC, and detrimental to the Nuclear Industry's bottom line profits. Instead, the NRC has failed miserably in protecting Human and Pet Health, choosing instead to play the part of the Grime Reaper, nuclear reactor profits taking a front seat, fetishes, babies, elderly Americans, and our pets expendable in the Cost Benefit Analysis that makes it inconvenient to have anything more finite in the regulations than exposure data and acceptable limits that amount to nothing, are nothing more than a con game attempting to sell the communities forced into hosting reactors a scam that the increased cancers in their communities have nothing to do with the radioactive contaminants spewing forth from them. It is a lie, and the time for ACCOUNTABILITY is upon you.

I and millions of Americans like me have lost to many of our loved ones on the altar of nuclear power. We have buried too many of our pets to wrongful exposure to the contaminants and poisons brought into our communities from Nuclear Power Plants. We have spent far to much of our own funds covering medical bills incurred as a result of these wrongful and unsafe exposures...as example, in the past three years my wife and I have incurred thousands of dollars in vet bills, and thousands of dollars in medical bills battling Indian Point caused medical issues.

As a stakeholder living within 3 miles of the Death Centers that are Indian Point, I HAVE AN INTEREST in seeing the rules changed, am justified in making this formal request for a change of the rules. As a part of this formal request, I am requesting that Public Hearings be held in EVERY NUCLEAR LICENSEE host community (including commercial, military, and experimental) now saddled with any kind of reactor or nuclear fuel processing or storage facility that is licenses with the NRC.

Rule making is to be conducted in a way that PROTECTS PUBLIC HEALTH...it is pointed out here, that a part of public health and protecting it is protecting the health and well being of our beloved pets who are members of our families. The NRC has failed miserably in this task, has no bench marks established to protect the average house hold pet, let alone establishing safe exposure limits for say the average cat, dog or other common pet living in a home as a member of a given family.

This petition for Rule Changing thereby seeks to have rules which spell out with SPECIFICS the safe exposure limits for an average cat, dog, gerbil, hamster, Genie pig, rat, aquarium fish and legal birds such as finches, or other birds routinely kept as pets.

Proposed Language for NEW RULE aimed at protecting our family pets.

Along with other national and international regulatory agencies responsible for radiation protection, the NRC concretely knows and understands that any exposure to radiation poses unacceptable health risks to small children, unborn children, and our beloved family pets who are members of our immediate family who deserve to be protected from the contaminants being spewed forth from the bowels of America's ancient nuclear reactors, and that risk increases as exposure increases in a linear, no-threshold (LNT) manner as our American nuclear infrastructure crumbles and decays as aging takes its toll on these poorly designed nuclear monsters (which can be witnessed by the tritium leaking out from some 76 nuclear reactors here in America, contaminating our potable water supplies, and needlessly exposing our beloved pets to needless ingestion of these contaminants).

The NRC adopted an acceptable exposure limit of 100 MREM based on the U.S. National Commission on Radiological Protection and Measurements (NCRP) dose limit of 100 mrem per year (NCRP, 1993). Realizing the fundamental flaw of one size fits all, the 100 MREM exposure limit per year shall be for an average male of 170 pounds. Allowable exposure rates for other members of the public shall hereinafter be adjust up or now up to the 1/100th of an ounce based on the weight of the creature in question. As example, a member of the feline community weighing 17 pounds would have an acceptable yearly exposure rating of 10 MREM per years from ALL SOURCES within a 25 mile radius of their place or residence. A newly conceived, still in the womb child weighing less than one ounce could only be exposed to less than one/one thousandth of one MREM during its total incubation period.

Further, realizing that all members of the public (both pets and humans) are being exposed to various and assorted sources of radioactive contaminants, nuclear licensees will be required to factor in ALL POTENTIAL sources of contamination a human or animal is exposed to, and their own total radiative sources (CUMULATIVE, rather than each as a singular stand alone exposure) cannot be greater than 25 percent of the allowable 100 MREM public exposure limits now acceptable as adequate. No longer will licensees be allowed to dissect exposures, but instead they will not be required to group all exposures together, and factor in all other exposures from industrial activities within 50 miles of their licensed facility in establishing their allowable releases to the public. Any reactor licensee failing to remain within these new guidelines will have their license to operate immediately suspended. Further, licensees in violation will pay a fine of no less than 10 million dollars per day for each day they are or remain in violation of this law.

Because the production of nuclear power does cause cancer deaths in the human and pet population, this rules establishes a cancer support trust fund. NRC licenses will be required to place into this Cancer Victims fund $2,000,000,000 (two billion dollars-US FUNDS) on a yearly basis. The funds placed into this fund and any interest earned from their investment shall be dispensed to the family members of cancer victims (both human and animal) who live within the 10 mile PEAK FATALITY ZONE of nuclear reactors in the amount of $50,000 per living member of the family for each individual member of the family who has died of cancer (to include humans and pets), and $5,000 per family member for members of their family who have had cancer that does not lead to immediate death. Any shortcomings in fund will require additional yearly deposits on the part of the Nuclear Industry licensees. It is only fair that they pay for the deaths they are causing to our greater American Society through this fund.

My Statement

The NRC's dismal failure to enforce their own rules, their criminal activity of rubber stamping the license applications of their licensees, their always taking the side of their licensees (for reasons of JOB PROTECTION) even when doing so puts the public at risk has exposed by family to unacceptable levels of risk. The nuclear industry's callous disregard for the rules, the NRC's steadfast refusal to enforce these same rules as written has caused my family great harm, caused the death of three of my pets, and is the primary factor in my wife's breast cancer.

In seeking to protect public health, I am proposing these rule changes too protect public health, including the heath of our beloved FAMILY PETS.

Respectfully,

Sherwood Martinelli
351 Dyckman Street
Peekskill, NY 10566

E-mail Properties

Mail Envelope Properties (7e96.548d8e24.3857f04a)

Subject: Petition for Rulemaking/Rule Change as allowed under 2.802
Sent Date: 12/14/2009 2:47:50 PM
Received Date: 12/14/2009 2:47:50 PM
From: smartinellighs@aol.com

Created By: smartinellighs@aol.com

Recipients:
Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov (Rulemaking Comments)
Tracking Status: None
CHAIRMAN.Resource@nrc.gov (CHAIRMAN Resource)
Tracking Status: None
acer8sac@comcast.net (acer8sac@comcast.net)
Tracking Status: None
PETAPSADistro@peta.org (PETAPSADistro@peta.org)
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:
aol.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	17757	12/14/2009

Options
Expiration Date:
Priority: olImportanceNormal
ReplyRequested: False
Return Notification: False

Sensitivity: olNormal
Recipients received: