

Mendiola, Doris

To: Bjornsen, Alan
Subject: RE: Lost Creek comments

From: Hull, John
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 4:32 PM
To: Bjornsen, Alan
Cc: Olmstead, Joan
Subject: RE: Alternatives

12/11/09
74 FR 65804
②1

RECEIVED

290 MAR -8 AM 11:35

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USMPC

Alan,

had a chance to confer with Joan today on your earlier question. We advise that your draft SEIS section 2.2 be revised in the Final. the draft states

"It should be noted that LCI did not consider any of these alternatives in its application. Additionally, the NRC cannot require an applicant to consider alternate methodologies."

Not clear from context what "any of these alternatives" refers to. GEIS Section 2.13 and Appendix C ref only conventional milling and heap leaching. You then ref also in the SEIS section 2.2 alternate lixivants and waste disposal methods. Clarify what it is that LCI did not consider. Than state that "Additionally, the NRC cannot require an applicant to consider alternate methodologies in its ER, if the applicant does not plan to use such methodologies."

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-REDS = ADM-03
Call = A. Bjornsen
(9665)