

Figure 58. Plan map of site 39FA1961, showing feature and shovel test locations.




Figure 59. Overview of site 39FA1961, facing southeast.

### **Artifact and Feature Inventory**

Artifacts observed on the site surface are listed in Table 45. The projectile point was temporarily collected, described, and photographed, and then returned to the landowner at his request. The yellowish brown Spanish Diggings quartzite projectile point is incomplete (Figure 60). The distal portions have been removed by a compressed bending stress fracture and were not recovered. The projectile point exhibits an oblique flaking pattern with minor retouching along the lateral margins. The cross-section is lenticular with a median ridge and excurvate blade. The incomplete projectile point is 51.19 mm long, 25.08 mm wide, and 7.54 mm thick. It is a type associated with the Early Archaic (Frederick/Allen) time period (8,400-7,500 YBP).

One completely eroded hearth (H1) was documented on site 39FA1961 (Figure 61). The hearth is described in Table 46.

Table 45. Artifacts Observed at Site 39FA1961.

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
2	Primary flake	Chalcedony	Brownish gray, light gray	One utilized
1	Primary flake	Silicified sediment	Yellowish brown	
1	Primary flake	Quartzite	Light gray	
1	Secondary flake	Chert	White	
7	Tertiary flake	Chalcedony	Light gray, grayish brown, white	
2	Tertiary flake	Chert	Banded pink, purple	
2	Tertiary flake	Silicified sediment	Gray	
1	Tertiary flake	Hartville chert	Light gray	
1	Tertiary flake	Battle Mountain quartzite	Reddish brown	
1	Projectile point	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Yellowish brown	Early Archaic (Frederick/Allen); Figure 60
1	Drill	Fall River chert	Light gray	
1	Biface	Battle Mountain quartzite	Red and yellowish brown	
1	Biface	Quartzite	Light gray	
1	Scraper	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Brown	Side scraper
1	Scraper	Chert	Purple	Retouched
1	Core	Chert	Brownish red	
1	Tested cobble	Quartzite	Light gray	
3	Shatter	Chalcedony	Gray, light gray	
2	Shatter	Chert	Purple	
1	Shatter	Silicified sediment	Brown	




Figure 60. Close-up view of projectile point documented on site 39FA1961.




Figure 61. Close-up view of hearth H1, site 39FA1961, facing northwest.

Table 46. Description of Hearth on Site 39FA1961.

Hearth	Diameter (cm)	# FCR Exposed	Type FCR	Condition	Figure #
H1	78	9	Sandstone	Completely eroded	61

### Subsurface Testing

Thirteen shovel tests (ST1-ST13) were excavated (Figure 58). The profiles of the shovel tests are presented in Table 47.

Table 47. Shovel Test Soil Profiles, Site 39FA1961.

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
1	40	0-14	Silt loam with heavy gravel and some calcium carbonates	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		14-30	Silt loam with some gravel; calcium carbonates; platy peds	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		30+	Limestone deposits in platy peds	10YR 4/1 with 10YR 8/1 inclusions, dark gray with white	No

Table 47 (continued).

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
2	40	0-9	Silt loam with heavy gravel	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		9-35	Platy blocky peds with heavy calcium carbonate concretions	10RY 4/1, dark gray	No
3	40	0-12	Silt loam with heavy limestone deposits	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		12-23	Limestone with silt (C horizon)	10YR5/3 with 10YR 8/1, brown with white	No
4	40	0-8	Silt loam with heavy calcium carbonates and limestone deposits	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		8-14	Limestone with silt (C horizon)	10YR 5/3 with 10YR 8/1, brown with white	No
5	40	0-18	Silt loam with some calcium carbonates and heavy limestone gravel	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		18-40	Silt loam with heavy calcium carbonates and some limestone gravel	10YR 4/3, brown	No
6	40	0-11	Silt loam; some limestone on surface	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		11-40	Silt loam with some calcium carbonates and very compact peds	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
7	40	0-12	Silt loam; some limestone on surface	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		12-40	Silt loam with some calcium carbonates; very compact peds	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
8	40	0-9	Silt loam with very heavy limestone gravel and some calcium carbonates	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		9-26	Silt loam with limestone; C horizon	10YR 5/3 and 10YR 8/1, brown and white	No
9	40	0-16	Silt loam with heavy gravel and some calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		16-28	Silt loam with calcium carbonates and gravel	10YR 6/4, light yellowish brown	No
		29-42	Silt loam with gravel, shale, heavy calcium carbonates, sand, and gypsum crystals	10YR 7/2, light gray	No

Table 47 (continued).

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
10	40	0-16	Silt loam with heavy gravel	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		16-27	Silt loam with some calcium carbonates; blocky peds	10YR 5/1, gray	No
		27-42	Silt loam with heavy calcium carbonates and some shale	10YR 6/2, light brownish gray	No
11	40	0-20	Silt loam with some gravel	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		20-34	Silt loam with calcium carbonates; peds	10YR 5/1, gray	No
		34-38	Silty sandy loam with some shale and calcium carbonates	10YR 6/2, light brownish gray	No
12	40	0-27	Compact silt with very little gravel, no sand, and calcium carbonates	10YR 3/1, very dark gray	No
		27-33	Very compact silt; slightly platy	10YR 3/2, very dark grayish brown	No
13	40	0-28	Platy clay with silt	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		28-32	Platy clay with silt and some calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No

The soil profiles of the tests are comparable to those of the Pierre-Grummit clays soil type (Kalvels 1982) mapped in the site area (see Table 1). The shallow soil formed in shale has very low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits. No cultural materials were recovered from the shovel tests.

### Interpretation and Recommendations

Site 39FA1961 represents an artifact scatter. The site exhibits severe erosion. Only one incomplete, diagnostic artifact was documented. The hearth feature was completely eroded and has no potential to yield datable materials.

The NRHP eligibility status of site 39FA1961 is considered under Criterion D of the NRHP (NPS 1991:37). The site has produced one incomplete, diagnostic artifact and can be only tentatively evaluated in a specific historic context. The integrity of the site has been severely compromised by erosion. The site has an extremely low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits or features. These factors suggest that the site does not possess the potential to yield additional information capable of

addressing specific research questions that would further our understanding of prehistoric cultures in the area. Site 39FA1961 does not satisfy the specifications set forth in Criterion D of the NRHP. ALAC recommends that this site be considered not eligible for listing on the NRHP. No further archeological work is recommended.

### **SITE 39FA1962**

**Site Type:** Artifact scatter, stone circle, cairn  
**Cultural Affiliation:** Native American  
**Site Area:** 15 ha  
**Landscape Position:** Ridge crest  
**Elevation (m):** 1127

**Field Number:** 5-23, 5-24, 5-25, 5-26, 5-27, 5-28  
**Site Condition:** Disturbed  
**Subsurface Testing:** 20 shovel tests  
**Map Reference:** A3  
**NRHP Recommendation:** Not Eligible

### **Site Description**

Site 39FA1962 consists of a widespread artifact scatter, one stone circle, and one cairn (Figures 62 and 63). The site is situated on a ridge top and down the south-facing ridge slope. Most of the artifacts are located in gravel exposures and in recent gravel borrow areas. The site area measures approximately 397 m N-S x 621 m E-W. Beaver Creek runs approximately 300 m east of the site area. Vegetation in the site area consists of sparse grass, prickly pear, and scrub. Ground surface visibility in the site area averages 70 percent.




Figure 62. Overview of site 39FA1962, facing east.






Figure 63. Plan map of site 39FA1962, showing features and shovel test locations.



## Artifact and Feature Inventory

Artifacts observed on the site surface are listed in Table 48. Three artifacts were recovered from shovel tests during the current investigation and are described in Table 49.

One biface fragment was temporarily collected, described, and photographed, and then returned to the landowner at his request. The grayish purple chert biface is incomplete (Figure 64). The tip and distal portions have been removed by bending stress fractures and were not recovered. The biface exhibits an oblique flaking pattern with retouching along one lateral margin. The cross-section is thin and lenticular. The incomplete biface is 35.09 mm long, 27.91 mm wide, and 6.77 mm thick.

Table 48. Artifacts Observed at Site 39FA1962.

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
3	Primary flake	Chert	Gray, purple	
12	Primary flake	Chalcedony	Light gray, gray and brown, gray, orange gray, white	
1	Primary flake	Silicified sediment	Gray	
2	Primary flake	West Horse Creek chert	Light purplish gray	
1	Primary flake	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Gray	
2	Secondary flake	Battle Mountain quartzite	Reddish brown, red	
1	Secondary flake	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Gray	Retouched
3	Secondary flake	West Horse Creek chert	Light purplish gray	
1	Secondary flake	Quartzite	Light gray	
1	Secondary flake	Chert	Purple	
1	Secondary flake	Silicified sediment	Gray	
2	Tertiary flake	Quartzite	Gray, pink	One retouched
3	Tertiary flake	Chert	Purple, gray, white	
18	Tertiary flake	West Horse Creek chert	Light purplish gray	
4	Tertiary flake	Chalcedony	Grayish brown, light gray, gray, white	
1	Tertiary flake	Silicified sediment	Gray	
1	Graver	Quartzite	Yellowish brown	
1	Spokeshave	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Dark gray	
1	Biface	Chalcedony	White	
1	Biface	Chert	Light grayish brown	
1	Biface	Chert	Grayish purple	Figure 64

Table 48 (continued).

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
1	Chopper	Quartzite	Banded white and dark gray	
2	Core	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Gray	
1	Core	Silicified sediment	Gray	
3	Core	Chalcedony	White, gray	One expended
2	Core	West Horse Creek chert	Light purplish gray	
1	Scraper	Chert	Purple	
3	Scraper	Chalcedony	Gray, white, brown	
1	Scraper	Quartzite	Light red	Side scraper
1	Scraper	West Horse Creek chert	Light purplish gray	
1	Scraper	Fall River chert	Light gray	
1	Preform	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Gray	
1	Tested pebble	Chert	Yellowish brown	
4	Tested cobble	Chert	Gray, purple	
1	Tested cobble	Silicified sediment	Gray	
1	Tested cobble	Chalcedony	Gray	
2	Tested cobble	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Light brown	
5	Shatter	Chalcedony	Dark gray, light gray, brownish gray	
1	Shatter	Quartzite	Light gray	
1	Shatter	Chert	Purple	
2	Shatter	West Horse Creek chert	Gray	Primary reduction
1	Shatter	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Gray	

Table 49. Artifacts Recovered from Shovel Tests, Site 39FA1962.

ST#	Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Depth (cmbs)
10	1	Tertiary flake	Quartzite	Tan and gray	0-10
	1	Tertiary flake	Chert	Banded gray and purple	0-10
16	1	Tertiary flake	Quartzite	Pinkish gray	20-30



Figure 64. Close-up view of biface documented on site 39FA1962.

One stone circle (SC1) (Figures 65 and 66) and one cairn (C1) (Figures 67 and 68) were documented on site 39FA1962. The stone circle is somewhat elliptical and measures approximately 6 m in diameter. The 14 stones forming SC1 include sandstone, conglomerate, and a poor-quality quartzite. The cairn measures approximately 1 m NE to SW x 40 cm NW to SE, with some scattered outlying stones. The 30 cobbles observed in the cairn include sandstone, chert, chalcedony, and quartzite.




Figure 65. View of stone circle, site 39FA1962, facing north.




Figure 66. Plan map of stone circle, site 39FA1962.



Figure 67. Close-up view of cairn, site 39FA1962, facing southwest.




Figure 68. Scaled plan of cairn, site 39FA1962.

### Subsurface Testing

Twelve shovel tests (ST1-ST12) were excavated in the west-central portion of the site; eight shovel tests (ST13-ST20) were excavated in the northeast portion (Figure 63). The soil profiles of the shovel tests are presented in Table 50. Two of the shovel tests (ST10 and ST16) were positive for cultural materials.

Table 50. Shovel Test Soil Profiles, Site 39FA1962.

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
1	40	0-17	Very silty sandy loam with heavy gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		17-39	Silty loam with some gravel	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		39-42+	Decomposing shale; peds	10YR 3/1, very dark gray	No
2	40	0-34	Sandy silt with vast amounts of gravel, slope wash, and calcium carbonates	10YR 4/3, brown	No



Table 50 (continued).

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
3	40	0-18	Sandy silt with heavy gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		18-33	Silt loam with calcium carbonates, shale, and some gravel	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		33-40	Shale; peds	10YR 3/1, very dark gray	No
4	40	0-28	Sandy silt with vast amounts of gravel; some calcium carbonates	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		28-57	Loess; dry, powdery; very little gravel	10YR 6/2, light brownish gray	No
5	40	0-15	Sandy silt with heavy gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		15-40	Silt loam with calcium carbonates, shale, and some gravel	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		40+	Silt loam and calcium carbonates with shale and peds	10YR 3/1, very dark gray	No
6	40	0-17	Sandy silt with cemented gravel	10YR 3/2, very dark grayish brown	No
		17-43	Sandy silt with gravel and increase in calcium carbonates	10YR 5/2, grayish brown	No
		43-50	Sandy silt with vast amount of calcium carbonates; peds	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
7	40	0-14	Very silty loam with some calcium carbonates and heavy gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		14-38	Silt loam with heavy gravel, heavy calcium carbonates, and some shale	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		38-42	Silt with shale, calcium carbonates, and gravel	10YR 3/1, very dark gray	No
8	40	0-12	Sandy silt with some gravel; colluvial deposit	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		12-56	Loess with a few calcium carbonates	10YR 5/3, brown	No
9	40	0-20	Silt slope wash with some sand and gravel; dry; slightly compact	10YR 3/2, very dark grayish brown	No
		20-30	Silt with shale and iron concretions	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
10	40	0-23	Silt slope wash with some sand and gravel; dry; slightly compact calcium carbonates	10YR 3/2, very dark grayish brown	2 flakes
		23-30	Silt with some sand, pockets of shale, calcium carbonates, and iron concretions	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No

Table 50 (continued).

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
11	40	0-15	Silt slope wash with some sand and gravel; slightly compact calcium carbonates	10YR 3/2, very dark grayish brown	No
		15-29	Silt with some sand, pockets of shale, and calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
12	40	0-11	Silt slope wash with some sand and gravel; slightly compact	10YR 4/1, dark gray	No
		11-39	Loess with some calcium carbonates	10YR 6/4, light yellowish brown	No
13	40	0-10	Windblown silt	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		10-45	Very silty loam with calcium carbonates	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		45+	Gravel lens		No
14	40	0-9	Windblown silt and very silty loam	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		9-55	Very silty loam with calcium carbonates; very compact	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		55-67	Heavy gravel concentration cemented with windblown silt	10YR 5/3, brown	No
15	40	0-12	Windblown silt and very silty loam with some gravel	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		12-40	Very silty loam with calcium carbonates and light gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
16	40	0-30	Loess with some gravel	10YR 4/4, dark yellowish brown	1 flake
		30-34	Sandy silt in gravel lens	10YR 5/3, brown	No
17	40	0-12	Windblown silt and very silty loam with heavy gravel	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		12-30	Very silty loam with gravel and calcium carbonates	10YR 5/3, brown	No
18	40	0-30	Loess with some gravel	10YR 4/4, dark yellowish brown	No
		30-35	Sandy silt; gravel lens on top	10YR 5/3, brown	No
19	40	0-9	Windblown silt and very silty loam with heavy gravel	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		9-32	Very silty loam with gravel and calcium carbonates	10YR 5/2, grayish brown	No
20	40	0-24	Loess with some gravel	10YR 4/4, dark yellowish brown	No
		24-40	Sandy silt; cemented; no gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No

The soil profiles of the tests are comparable to those of the Grummit-Rock complex, Zigweid-Nihill complex, and Pierre Grummit clays soil types (Kalvels 1982) mapped in the site area (see Table 1) with some modifications to the upper layer due to wind and water erosion and soil redeposition. Although two shovel tests were positive for cultural material at shallow depths, no definitive buried cultural zone or subsurface features were observed.

### **Interpretation and Recommendations**

Site 39FA1962 represents a sparse lithic scatter, a stone circle, and a cairn. No diagnostic artifacts were observed on the surface or encountered in the shovel tests. The site area is severely compromised by erosion and redeposition of soil.

The NRHP eligibility status of site 39FA1962 is considered under Criterion D of the NRHP (NPS 1991:37). The site has produced no diagnostic or datable materials and, therefore, cannot be evaluated in a specific historic context. The integrity of the site has been severely compromised by erosion. There is an extremely low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits or additional features. These factors suggest that the site does not possess the potential to yield information capable of addressing specific research questions that would further our understanding of prehistoric cultures in the area.

Site 39FA1962 does not satisfy the specifications set forth in Criterion D of the NRHP. ALAC recommends that this site be considered not eligible for listing on the NRHP. No further archeological work is recommended.

### **SITE 39FA1963**

**Site Type:** Artifact scatter  
**Cultural Affiliation:** Native American  
**Site Area:** .15 ha  
**Landscape Position:** Valley terrace  
**Elevation (m):** 1103

**Field Number:** 5-29  
**Site Condition:** Disturbed  
**Subsurface Testing:** 1 shovel test  
**Map Reference:** A3  
**NRHP Recommendation:** Not eligible

### **Site Description**

Site 39FA1963 consists of a very sparse artifact scatter (Figures 69 and 70). The site is situated on a low ridge north of the Lone Tree Creek drainage. The site surface is severely eroded. The site area measures approximately 40 m N-S x 50 m E-W. Vegetation in the site area consists of buffalo grass, prickly pear, and sage. Ground surface visibility in the site area averages 70 percent.




Figure 69. Plan map of site 39FA1963, showing shovel test location.






Figure 70. Overview of site 39FA1963, facing southeast.

### Artifact and Feature Inventory

Artifacts observed on the site surface are listed in Table 51. No features were observed on site 39FA1963.

Table 51. Artifacts Observed at Site 39FA1963.

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
1	Tertiary flake	Chalcedony	Gray	
1	Tertiary flake	Chert	Purple	
1	Biface	Chert	Brown	

### Subsurface Testing

One shovel test (ST1) was excavated (Figure 69). The profile of the shovel test is presented in Table 52. No cultural materials were recovered from ST1.

Table 52. Shovel Test Soil Profile, Site 39FA1963.

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
1	40	0-20	Silt slope wash; minor peds	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		20-31	Cemented aeolian silt with some calcium carbonates	10YR 5/2, grayish brown	No

The soil profile of the test is comparable to that of the Hisle-Slickspots complex soil type (Kalvels 1982) mapped in the site area (see Table 1). The topsoil formed in shale is typically very thin, and is also deflated. The site, therefore, has extremely low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits. No cultural materials were recovered from the shovel test.

#### **Interpretation and Recommendations**

Site 39FA1963 represents a very sparse lithic scatter on an eroded surface with very thin to no intact topsoil. No features or diagnostic/datable materials were observed.

The NRHP eligibility status of site 39FA1963 is considered under Criterion D of the NRHP (NPS 1991:37). The site has produced no diagnostic or datable materials and, therefore, cannot be evaluated in a specific historic context. The integrity of the site has been severely compromised by erosion. There is an extremely low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits or additional features. These factors suggest that the site does not possess the potential to yield information capable of addressing specific research questions that would further our understanding of prehistoric cultures in the area.

Site 39FA1963 does not satisfy the specifications set forth in Criterion D of the NRHP. ALAC recommends that this site be considered not eligible for listing on the NRHP. No further archeological work is recommended.

## **SITE 39FA1964**

**Site Type:** Artifact scatter, hearth, cairn  
**Cultural Affiliation:** Native American (Early-Late Archaic)  
**Site Area:** 78.2 ha

**Landscape Position:** Ridge  
**Elevation (m):** 1112

**Field Number:** 5-12, 5-13, 5-14, 5-15, 5-16  
**Site Condition:** Disturbed  
**Subsurface Testing:** 8 shovel tests; 1 cut bank profile  
**Map Reference:** A3  
**NRHP Recommendation:** Unevaluated

### **Site Description**

Site 39FA1964 consists of a widespread artifact scatter, 26 hearths, and 4 cairns (Figures 71-76). The site is situated on a ridge top and slopes; it covers more than a quarter section. The majority of the site surface is severely eroded; however, there appear to be pockets of intact soil along Lone Tree Creek and in the southwest corner of the site. The site area measures approximately 870 m N-S x 1136 m E-W. Vegetation in the site area consists of mixed grass, prickly pear, and scrub. Ground surface visibility in the site area averages 70 percent.



Figure 71. Overview of site 39FA1964, facing east.

SUNSI – Withheld from Public  
Disclosure in Accordance  
with 10 C.F.R. § 2.390

Figure 72. Plan map of site 39FA1964.

**SUNSI – Withheld from Public  
Disclosure in Accordance  
with 10 C.F.R. § 2.390**

Figure 73. Close-up plan map of northwest portion of site 39FA1964.



SUNSI – Withheld from Public  
Disclosure in Accordance  
with 10 C.F.R. § 2.390

Figure 74. Close-up plan map of northeast portion of site 39FA1964.

SUNSI – Withheld from Public  
Disclosure in Accordance  
with 10 C.F.R. § 2.390

Figure 75. Close-up plan map of southeast portion of site 39FA1964.

SUNSI – Withheld from Public  
Disclosure in Accordance  
with 10 C.F.R. § 2.390

Figure 76. Close-up plan map of southwest portion of site 39FA1964.

### **Artifact and Feature Inventory**

A representative sample of the artifacts observed on the site surface is listed in Table 53. Large quantities of additional, similar lithic debitage and FCR were observed, but were not inventoried.

Five projectile points were temporarily collected, described, and photographed; these artifacts were or will be returned to the landowner at his request. The first specimen is part of the base and midsection of a light bluish gray chalcedony corner-notched projectile point with a lenticular cross-section and random flaking (Figure 77-1). The tip and part of the base were removed through impact fractures. The incomplete specimen is 21.3 mm long, 22.12 mm wide, and 5.06 mm thick. It is a Pelican Lake type associated with the Late Archaic time period (3200-1700 YBP).

The second specimen is the base and part of the midsection of a yellowish brown dendritic chert corner-notched projectile point with a lenticular cross-section and random flaking (Figure 77-2). The tip and part of the midsection were removed by a bending stress fracture. The incomplete specimen is 13.8 mm long, 19.24 mm wide, and 3.91 mm thick. It is a Duncan-Hanna type associated with the Middle Archaic time period (4500-3500 YBP).

The third specimen is the base and part of the midsection of a gray Spanish Diggings quartzite corner-notched projectile point with a lenticular cross-section, an oblique flaking pattern, and a straight blade (Figure 77-3). The incomplete specimen is 20.5 mm long, 23.8 mm wide, and 4.85 mm thick.

The fourth specimen is a complete banded light brown quartzite stemmed projectile point with a lenticular cross-section, a collateral flaking pattern, minor grinding on the stem, and a sinuous blade (Figure 77-4). The specimen is 58.05 mm long, 27.23 mm wide at the shoulders, 20.55 mm wide at the stem, and 8.97 mm thick.

The fifth specimen is a reworked light reddish brown oolitic chert lanceolate projectile point with a lenticular cross-section, random fine-pressure flaking, and a medial ridge on one side (Figure 77-5). The specimen is 24.7 mm long, 16.0 mm wide, and 5.34 mm thick. It is an unidentified type associated with the Late Paleoindian-Early Archaic time period.

One biface fragment was also temporarily collected. The light gray biface has a thick lenticular cross-section and random flaking (Figure 78-A). The specimen is 23.6 mm long, 23.6 mm wide, and 7.74 mm thick. A sample scraper and metate were also temporarily collected and photographed (Figure 78-B and 78-C).

Table 53. Artifacts Observed at Site 39FA1964.

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
18	Primary flake	Chalcedony	Gray, light gray, grayish orange, grayish brown, brown, white	One utilized
17	Primary flake	Chert	Gray, light brown, brown, light pink, pinkish red, red, red and gray, grayish purple, purple, yellowish brown, tan, white	
13	Primary flake	Quartzite	Gray, light gray, white, yellowish brown, brown	Two utilized
1	Primary flake	Tongue River silicified sediment	Gray	
3	Primary flake	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple, brown	
14	Secondary flake	Chert	Brown, pinkish gray, medium gray, gray, purple, red	Three retouched; one utilized
16	Secondary flake	Chalcedony	Medium gray, light brownish gray, gray, purple and gray, brown, white, pink and white	One utilized
5	Secondary flake	Quartzite	Light brown, gray, light gray	
4	Secondary flake	Tongue River silicified sediment	Tan	One retouched
1	Secondary flake	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple	
38	Tertiary flake	Chert	Purple, yellowish brown, dark brown, brown, white, gray, grayish purple	Five retouched; one utilized
29	Tertiary flake	Quartzite	Gray and tan, gray, light gray, gray, brownish gray, light brown, yellowish brown, white	One retouched



Table 53 (continued).

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
7	Tertiary flake	Tongue River silicified sediment	Gray, tan	
6	Tertiary flake	Battle Mountain quartzite	Brown, purple	One retouched
51	Tertiary flake	Chalcedony	Gray, light gray, medium gray, grayish purple, pinkish gray, pinkish orange, grayish brown, dark brown, white	Three retouched
2	Tertiary flake	Quartz	Clear	
1	Projectile point	Spanish Diggings quartzite	Gray	Corner-notched; temporarily collected (Figure 77-3)
1	Projectile point	Chalcedony	Light bluish gray	Corner-notched; temporarily collected (Figure 77-1)
1	Projectile point	Quartzite	Banded light brown	Stemmed; temporarily collected (Figure 77-4)
1	Projectile point	Dendritic chert	Yellowish brown	Base; temporarily collected (Figure 77-2)
1	Projectile point	Oolitic chert	Light reddish brown	Lanceolate; temporarily collected (Figure 77-5)
1	Projectile point	Chalcedony	Light gray	Tip
1	Drill	Chert	Purple	
1	Spokeshave	Chalcedony	Light purple	
3	Biface	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple	Large fragment
7	Biface	Quartzite	Light brown, brown, tan and gray, light purple and gray, gray	One fragment
1	Biface	Chalcedony	Light gray	Fragment; collected and then returned (Figure 78-A)
4	Biface	Chalcedony	Gray, medium gray, brown, light grayish pink	One crude
7	Biface	Chert	Pinkish orange, banded orange/purple/white, purple, light gray, white, brown	
1	Biface	Quartz	Clear	Fragment
4	Biface	Silicified sediment	Brown, gray, red	Crude; one fragment
1	Biface	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple	
2	Biface/chopper	Quartzite	Light gray, brownish gray	
1	Uniface	Chert	Purple	
1	Uniface	Chalcedony	Light gray	
1	Uniface	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple	

Table 53 (continued).

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
7	Scraper	Chalcedony	Gray and pink, grayish purple, light gray, gray, white	One crude; one side scraper
8	Scraper	Chert	Purple, tan and brown, pink, white	One side scraper
1	Scraper	Chert	Purple and brown	Figure 78-B
2	Scraper	Quartzite	Dark red, gray	One side scraper
1	Scraper	Tongue River silicified sediment	Gray	
1	Metate	Silicified sand		Figure 78-C
1	Metate	Quartzite	Tan	
11	Core	Quartzite	Tan, gray and pink, light gray, gray, white	One bifacial; two expended
4	Core	Silicified sediment	Gray, tan and gray	
7	Core	Chert	Tan, light gray, grayish brown, yellowish brown, purple	Two expended
36	Core	Chalcedony	Brown and yellow, dark gray, light gray, gray, purple and gray, gray, white	Four expended
1	Core	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple	
5	Tested pebble	Chert	Gray, purple, grayish purple	Two utilized
6	Tested pebble	Quartzite	Brown, light brown, gray, light gray, red	
2	Tested pebble	Chalcedony	Gray, light gray and purple	One bifacial; two utilized
2	Tested pebble	Battle Mountain quartzite	Purple, red	
4	Tested cobble	Chalcedony	Medium gray, gray	
5	Tested cobble	Quartzite	Gray, pink, brown	
1	Tested cobble	Quartz	Clear	
2	Tested cobble	Tongue River silicified sediment	Brown	
12	Shatter	Chalcedony	Brown, light gray, gray, grayish orange, white	
4	Shatter	Quartzite	Pink, gray	
11	Shatter	Chert	Tan, light gray and tan, gray, orange, yellowish brown, purple	
3	Shatter	Tongue River silicified sediment	Gray	
2	Shatter	West Horse Creek chalcedony	Purple	
3	Shatter	Battle Mountain quartzite	Light grayish purple, purple	



Figure 77. Views of projectile point specimens 1-5, site 39FA1964.




Figure 78. Views of biface (A), scraper (B), and metate (C) documented on site 39FA1964.

Twenty-six hearth features were observed on site 39FA1964. The majority of the hearths are completely eroded/destroyed. The hearths are described in Table 54. Four cairns were documented on the site. The cairns are described in Table 55.

Table 54. Description of Hearths on Site 39FA1964.

Hearth	Diameter (cm)	# FCR Exposed	Type FCR	Condition	Figure #
H1	400	75+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	79
H2	400	150+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	79
H3	300	50	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	79
H4	150	15	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	79
H5	130	150+	Sandstone, chert	Partially intact	79
H6	260	175+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	79
H7	400	160+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	80
H8	200	80+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	80
H9	400	100+	Sandstone, chert	Partially intact	80
H10	300	80+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	80
H11	350	50+	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	80
H12	23	16	Sandstone	Intact	80
H13	57	10	Sandstone	Partially intact	81
H14	61	17	Sandstone	Partially intact	81
H15	100	25	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	81
H16	120	9	Sandstone	Partially intact	81
H17	400	150+	Sandstone, chert	Completely eroded/destroyed	No
*H18	150	35	Sandstone, chert	Intact	81
	51	4	Sandstone, chert	Partially intact	81
H19	89	21	Sandstone	Mostly eroded	81
H20	120	25+	Sandstone	Mostly eroded	82
H21	300	100+	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	No
H22	63	14	Sandstone	Intact	82
H23	130	67	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	82
H24	80	31	Sandstone	Completely eroded/destroyed	82
H25	50	10	Sandstone	Partially intact	82

\*two hearths very close together in same location on map

Table 55. Description of Cairns on Site 39FA1964.

Cairn	Diameter or Dimensions (cm)	# Stones Exposed	Type of Stones	Figure #
C1	85	6	Quartzite	83 and 84
C2	120 N-S x 130 E-W plus some scattered	51	Sandstone, quartzite	83 and 85
C3	60	4	Sandstone, quartzite	83 and 86
C4	120	25	Sandstone	87





Figure 79. Views of hearths H1-H6, site 39FA1964.






Figure 80. Views of hearths H7-H12, site 39FA1964.






Figure 81. Views of hearths H13-H16 and H18-H19, site 39FA1964.





Figure 82. Views of hearths H20 and H22-H25, site 39FA1964.




Figure 83. Views of cairns C1-C3, site 39FA1964.




Figure 84. Scaled plan of cairn C1, site 39FA1964.




Figure 85. Scaled plan of cairn C2, site 39FA1964.




Figure 86. Scaled plan of cairn C3, site 39FA1964.




Figure 87. Scaled plan of cairn C4, site 39FA1964.

### **Subsurface Testing**

Eight shovel tests (ST1-ST8) were excavated and one cut bank profile was recorded (Figures 88 and 89). The soil profiles of the shovel tests and cut bank are presented in Table 56. No cultural materials were recovered from any of the tests.






Figure 88. Plan map of site 39FA1964, showing shovel test locations.




Figure 89. Close-up plan map of ST6-ST8 and cut bank soil profile locations.



Table 56. Shovel Test and Cut Bank Soil Profiles, Site 39FA1964.

ST#	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
1	40	0-25+	Developed loam soil	10YR 3/2, very dark grayish brown	No
2	40	0-3	Slope wash	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		3+	Sandy silt with vast amounts of calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
3	40	0-20	Dry silty loam	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		20-30	Silty loam with very heavy calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		30-60	Very silty loam with calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		60-70	Silt	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
4	40	0-22	Silt loam	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		22-42	Silt loam with calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
5	40	0-20	Silt loam	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		20-43	Silt loam with calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
6	40	0-13	Sandy silt slope wash	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		13-30	Compact silt with massive calcium carbonates and some shale	10YR 3/1, very dark gray	No
7	40	0-22	Silt loam	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		22-36	Clay loam with some calcium carbonates	10YR 4/3, brown	No
		36-60	Very clayey loam with some calcium carbonates	10YR 4/3, brown	No
8	40	0-18	Silt loam	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		18-40	Clayey silt with calcium carbonates	10YR 4/3, brown	No
Cut Bank		0-40	Silt loam; dry	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		40-95	Clayey silt loam with calcium carbonates; damp	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No
		95-135	Clayey silt loam with calcium carbonates	10YR 5/2-10YR 4/2, grayish brown-dark grayish brown	No
		135-175	Clayey silt with calcium carbonates	10YR 4/1-10YR 4/2, dark gray-dark grayish brown	No
		175-185	Very clayey loam	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No

The soil profiles of the tests are comparable to the various clay and loam soil types (Kalvels 1982) mapped in the site area (see Table 1) with modifications to the upper layer due to severe erosion and redeposition. Most of the site has extremely low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits; however, there are pockets of intact soil along Lone Tree Creek and in the southwest corner of the site. No cultural materials were recovered from the shovel tests or cut bank profile.

### **Interpretation and Recommendations**

Site 39FA1964 represents a widespread lithic scatter, 26 hearths and 4 cairns. A large portion of the site is severely deflated; however, some pockets of intact soil were observed. Four diagnostic artifacts were documented on the eroded surface. There is a high potential for datable materials in the intact and partially intact hearth features.

The NRHP eligibility status of site 39FA1964 is considered under Criterion D of the NRHP (NPS 1991:37). The site requires additional evaluation to assess NRHP eligibility status. ALAC recommends that this site be considered unevaluated for listing on the NRHP. Additional archeological work consisting of a limited number of excavation units to further investigate intact soil deposits and to cross-section a sample of the hearth and cairn features is recommended.

### **SITE 39FA1965**

**Site Type:** Artifact scatter  
**Cultural Affiliation:** Native American  
**Site Area:** .01  
**Landscape Position:** Valley Terrace  
**Elevation (m):** 1091

**Field Number:** 4-2  
**Site Condition:** Disturbed  
**Subsurface Testing:** 1 shovel test; 1 cut bank profile  
**Map Reference:** A3  
**NRHP Recommendation:** Unevaluated

### Site Description

Site 39FA1965 consists of a very sparse artifact scatter (Figures 90 and 91). The site is situated on the south slope of a terrace of Beaver Creek. The site area measures approximately 5 m N-S x 5 m E-W. Beaver Creek runs approximately 34 m southeast of the site. Vegetation in the site area consists of short grass and scrub. Ground surface visibility in the site area averages 40 percent.



Figure 90. Overview of site 39FA1965, facing east.




Figure 91. Plan map of site 39FA1965, showing shovel test and cut bank profile locations.

### Artifact and Feature Inventory

A single light tan chert secondary flake was documented on the site. No features or additional cultural materials were observed on site 39FA1965.

### Subsurface Testing

One shovel test (ST1) was excavated and one cut bank soil profile was recorded (Figure 91). The soil profiles of the shovel test and cut bank are presented in Table 57.

Table 57. Shovel Test and Cut Bank Soil Profiles, Site 39FA1965.

ST#	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
1	40	0-10	Loess	10YR 6/3, pale brown	No
		10-46	Very compact silt with some sand	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
Cut		0-7	Loess	10YR 6/3, pale brown	No
		7-28	Compact silt with some sand	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
		28-53	Very compact silt with sand	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
		53-71	Less compact silt with sand and some calcium carbonates	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
			Very compact aeolian deposit with very heavy calcium carbonates	10YR 4/2, dark grayish brown	No

The soil profiles of the test and cut bank are comparable to those of the Haverson loam soil type (Kalvels 1982) mapped in the site area (see Table 1). This deep, well-drained soil has potential for intact subsurface cultural deposits. The shovel test and cut bank profile were negative for cultural materials.

### Interpretation and Recommendations

Site 39FA1965 represents an artifact scatter. The soil has some potential for intact subsurface cultural deposits. No diagnostic artifacts were observed on the site surface.

The NRHP eligibility status of site 39FA1965 is considered under Criterion D of the NRHP (NPS 1991:37). The site has intact soil and the potential for subsurface cultural deposits and features.

Site 39FA1965 requires additional evaluation to assess NRHP eligibility status. ALAC recommends that this site be considered unevaluated for listing on the NRHP. Additional archeological work consisting of shovel tests and a limited number of 1-x-1-m excavation units is recommended.

#### **SITE 39FA1966**

**Site Type:** Artifact scatter  
**Cultural Affiliation:** Native American  
**Site Area:** .19 ha  
**Landscape Position:** Valley terrace  
**Elevation (m):** 1095

**Field Number:** 5-5  
**Site Condition:** Disturbed  
**Subsurface Testing:** 7 shovel tests  
**Map Reference:** A3  
**NRHP Recommendation:** Not eligible

#### **Site Description**

Site 39FA1966 consists of a sparse artifact scatter (Figures 92 and 93). The site is situated on a slight rise on a terrace in the Beaver Creek valley. Beaver Creek runs 410 m west of the site. The site area measures approximately 39 m N-S x 65 m E-W. Vegetation in the site area consists of mixed grass, prickly pear, and greasewood. Ground surface visibility in the site area averages 50 percent.




Figure 92. Plan map of site 39FA1966, showing feature and shovel test locations.





Figure 93. Overview of site 39FA1966, facing southwest.

### Artifact and Feature Inventory

Artifacts observed on the site surface are listed in Table 58. Ten sandstone cobbles were also observed scattered over a 130-cm-N-S-x-180-cm-E-W area (Figure 92). It could not be definitively determined if the stones represent an eroded/destroyed cairn or hearth. No diagnostic or datable artifacts or intact features were observed on site 39FA1966.

Table 58. Artifacts Observed at Site 39FA1966.

Count	Artifact Type	Material	Colors	Comments
1	Secondary flake	Chalcedony	Gray and light brown	Retouched
1	Secondary flake	Chert	Purple	
3	Tertiary flake	Chert	Medium brown, light brown	
1	Tertiary flake	Battle Mountain quartzite	Brown	
1	Shatter	Silicified sediment	Gray	
1	Shatter	Chalcedony	Gray	
1	Shatter	Chert	Brownish red	

## Subsurface Testing

Seven shovel tests (ST1-ST7) were excavated on site 39FA1966 (Figure 92). The soil profiles of the shovel tests are presented in Table 59. No cultural materials were recovered from any of the tests.

Table 59. Shovel Test Soil Profiles, Site 39FA1966.

ST #	Diam (cm)	Depth (cm)	Soil Description	Munsell-Color	Cultural Material
1	40	0-21	Slightly sandy silt with heavy gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		21-36	Silt with pockets of shale and gravel; stream deposit	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
2	40	0-14	Compact silt; laminated	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		14-20	Compact silt with heavy calcium carbonates and peds	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
3	40	0-14	Very compact silt loam	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
		14-30	Very compact silt loam with heavy calcium carbonates	10YR 4/6, dark yellowish brown	No
4	40	0-18	Slightly sandy silt with heavy gravel	10YR 5/3, brown	No
		18-31	Silt with pockets of shale and gravel; stream deposit	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
5	40	0-18	Compact silt	10YR 4/4, dark yellowish brown	No
		18-28	Compact silt with heavy calcium carbonates	10YR 5/3, brown	No
6	40	0-22	Compact silt; very dry	10YR 6/3, pale brown	No
		22-25	Silt with very heavy calcium carbonates	10YR 6/4, light yellowish brown	No
7	40	0-20	Compact silt with some gravel; very dry	10YR 5/4, yellowish brown	No
		20-24	Silt with calcium carbonates	10YR 4/4, dark yellowish brown	No

The soil profiles of the tests are comparable to the Hisle-Slickspots complex soil type (Kalvels 1982) mapped in the site area (see Table 1) with modifications to the upper layer due to severe erosion and redeposition. The site has very low potential for

intact subsurface cultural deposits. No cultural materials were recovered from the shovel tests.

### **Interpretation and Recommendations**

Site 39FA1966 represents a sparse lithic scatter. The site exhibits deflation and redeposition of soils. No diagnostic/datable materials were observed. There is very low potential for intact, subsurface cultural deposits or features.

The NRHP eligibility status of site 39FA1966 is considered under Criterion D of the NRHP (NPS 1991:37). The site has produced no diagnostic artifacts, datable materials, or features and, therefore, cannot be evaluated in a specific historic context. The integrity of the site has been severely compromised by erosion, leaving very little potential for intact, subsurface cultural materials or features. These factors suggest that the site does not possess the potential to yield information capable of addressing specific research questions that would further our understanding of prehistoric cultures in the area.

Site 39FA1966 does not satisfy the specifications set forth in Criterion D of the NRHP. ALAC recommends that this site be considered not eligible for listing on the NRHP. No further archeological work is recommended.

## **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

ALAC personnel conducted a Level III archeological survey of approximately 1300 acres in Custer and Fall River counties. Twenty-nine sites were documented within the survey areas. Of this total, ALAC recommends that 18 sites are not eligible for listing on the NRHP. These sites are all severely eroded, lack integrity, and do not meet the specifications of Criterion D.

The remaining 11 sites need additional evaluation to assess NRHP eligibility status and are, therefore, currently recommended as unevaluated. The additional evaluation of the prehistoric sites should include limited subsurface testing in areas of intact soil, including the cross-sectioning of a sample of the features on the hearth, cairn, and stone circle sites. The historic site (39CU3819) requires limited subsurface testing and a courthouse records search. The recommendations per site are listed in Table 60.

Two historic structures (CU00000050 and CU00000212), one historic district (20000949), and one historic mine (CU00000146) were previously recorded within the survey areas. They were not reassessed during the current investigation. The historic district and standing structure CU00000050 are listed on the NRHP. The mine and standing structure CU00000212 are currently unevaluated. Assessment of eligibility status by a qualified architectural historian is recommended for these unevaluated historic properties prior to mining or development impacts. Avoidance of the listed or eligible historic properties is recommended. If avoidance is not possible, intensive documentation as well as development and implementation of a data recovery plan are recommended prior to impacts.

Table 60. Archeological Sites Documented and Recommendations.

Site Number	Cultural Affiliation	Site Type	NRHP Recommendation	Additional Work Recommendation
39CU3810	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3811	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3812	Native American	Artifact scatter, cairn	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3813	Native American	Artifact scatter, hearth	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing; feature cross-sectioning
39CU3814	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3815	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3816	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3817	Native American	Artifact scatter	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing
39CU3818	Native American (Late Prehistoric)	Artifact scatter, hearth	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing; feature cross-sectioning

Table 60 (continued).

Site Number	Cultural Affiliation	Site Type	NRHP Recommendation	Additional Work Recommendation
39CU3819	Euroamerican	Artifact scatter, depression	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing and courthouse records search
39CU3820	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39CU3821	Native American	Artifact scatter	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing
39CU3822	Native American	Artifact scatter	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing
39CU3823	Native American	Isolated find	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1952	Native American	Artifact scatter, hearth	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing; feature cross-sectioning
39FA1953	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1954	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1955	Native American	Artifact scatter, hearth	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing; feature cross-sectioning
39FA1956	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1957	Native American	Isolated find	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1958	Native American	Artifact scatter, hearth	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing; feature cross-sectioning
39FA1959	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1960	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1961	Native American	Artifact scatter, hearth	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1962	Native American	Artifact scatter, stone circle, cairn	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1963	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work
39FA1964	Native American Early-Late Archaic	Hearth, cairn Artifact scatter	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing; feature cross-sectioning
39FA1965	Native American	Artifact scatter	Unevaluated	Subsurface testing
39FA1966	Native American	Artifact scatter	Not eligible	No further work

## REFERENCES CITED

Armitage, Charles

- 2002     *Cultural Resource Inventory of the Everett Englebert Pipeline Project, Fall River County, South Dakota.* United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Rapid City, South Dakota.

Buechler, Jeffrey V.

- 1999     *Intensive (Level III) Heritage Resources Inventory Survey of the Dacotah Cement Land Exchange Proposal in Southwestern Custer County, South Dakota.* Project Nos. CE-65-99 and 99-8. Dakota Research Services, Rapid City, South Dakota.

Chevance, Nicholas

- 1978a     *Archaeological Survey in the Southern Black Hills, for Wyoming Mineral Corporation.* Project No. C-24-78. Archaeological Research Center, Rapid City, South Dakota.
- 1978b     *Archaeological Survey in the Southern Black Hills, Part 2, for Wyoming Minerals Corporation.* Project No. C-14-79. Archaeological Research Center, Rapid City, South Dakota.
- 1986     *A Level III Cultural Resource Inventory of the Doran Reservoir Project for the Elk Mountain Ranger District, Custer County, South Dakota.* Project No. E-22-86. Black Hills National Forest, Custer District, Custer, South Dakota.

Deaver, Ken, and Lynelle A. Peterson, with contributions by Timothy E. Roberts

- 1999     *Class I Investigations of Shallow Stone Feature Sites in Central Montana*. Cultural Investigations along the Montana Segment of the Express Pipeline, vol. 8. Preliminary Draft. Ethnoscience Inc., Billings, Montana. Prepared for Express Pipeline, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

Ensz, Edgar H.

- 1990     *Soil Survey of Custer and Pennington Counties, Black Hills Parts, South Dakota*. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. In cooperation with United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, and South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station.

ESRI

- 2005     *ArcGIS 9 United States Data and Maps Media Kit*. ESRI, Redlands, California.

Flemmer, Dan

- 1996     *Level III Heritage Resource Inventory of Pass Creek Well Tanks in Custer County, South Dakota*. Project No. E-06-96. Black Hills National Forest, Custer, South Dakota.
- 1998     *Level III Heritage Resource Inventory of the Putnam Pipeline Project in Custer County, South Dakota*. Project No. CE-48-98. Black Hills National Forest, Custer, South Dakota.

Girouard, Terry A.

- 1983      *Cultural Resource Inventory Study of the Amberex South Dakota Project, Seismic Lines Sa, Sb, Sc, and Sd in Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota.* ARC 83-SD-1002. ArcheoConsultants, Moorcroft, Wyoming. Submitted to Numa Geophysical, Gillette, Wyoming.

Haug, James

- 1978      *Cultural Resources Survey of Selected Silver King Mine Properties in Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota.* Project No. TVA-46932A. Contract Investigation Series No. 1038. Archaeological Research Center, Rapid City, South Dakota.

Jolley, Robert and John S. Sigstad

- 1975      *Archeological Survey of Portions of Fall River and Custer Counties, South Dakota.* Project Nos. E-32-77 and C-25-77. Contract Investigation Series No. 1043. Archaeological Research Center, Rapid City, South Dakota.

Kalvels, John

- 1982      *Soil Survey of Fall River County, South Dakota.* United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service and Forest Service. In cooperation with South Dakota Agricultural, Experiment Station.



Kruse, Jason M., Timothy V. Gillen, John R. Bozell, Linda Palmer, and Austin A. Buhta

- 2008     *A Level III Cultural Resources Evaluation of Powertech (USA) Incorporated's Proposed Dewey-Burdock Uranium Project Locality within the Southern Black Hills, Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota*. Archeological Contract Series No. 216. Archeology Laboratory, Augustana College, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

Lippincott, Kerry

- 1979     *Cultural Resource Survey of Four Uranium Mill Tailings Disposal Areas, Fall River County, South Dakota*. Tennessee Valley Authority, Casper, Wyoming.
- 1980a     *Preliminary Report of an Archaeological Survey of Selected Portions of Fall River and Custer Counties, South Dakota and Niobrara and Weston Counties, Wyoming*. Tennessee Valley Authority, Casper, Wyoming.
- 1980b     *Report of a Cultural Resources Survey on United States Forest Service Property, Black Hills National Forest, South Dakota*. Project No. E-20-890. Tennessee Valley Authority, Casper, Wyoming.
- 1982     *Report of a Cultural Resources Survey of Selected Portions of Fall River and Custer Counties, South Dakota*. Tennessee Valley Authority, Casper, Wyoming.
- 1983     *Cultural Resources Survey of Uranium Properties and Drill Holes in Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota*. Tennessee Valley Authority, Casper, Wyoming.

Miller, Paul V.

- 1987     *Level III Cultural Resource Inventory of the Barrel Timber Sale Roads in Custer and Pennington County, South Dakota.* Black Hills National Forest, Custer, South Dakota.

Miller, Paul V., L. Adrien Hannus, and R. Peter Winham

- 1984     *Cultural Resource Survey of the Motel Timber Sale in the Black Hills National Forest, South Dakota.* Contract No. 53-78t0-462-Item 2c, Elk Mountain Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest. Project No. E-3-85. Archeology Laboratory, Augustana College, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

National Park Service (NPS)

- 1991     *Guidelines for Completing National Register of Historic Places Forms.* National Register Bulletin No. 16A. United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Washington, D.C.

Noisat, Brad

- 1991     *Level III Cultural Resources Inventory of Selected Tracts Near Pass Creek, Black Hills National Forest, Elk Mountain Ranger District, Custer County, South Dakota.* Project No. E-21-91. Niwot Archeological Consultants, Niwot, Colorado.
- 1999     *Level III Heritage Resources Inventory of the Range South Grazing Allotments in the Black Hills National Forest, Custer/Elk Mountain Ranger District, Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota and Weston County, Wyoming.* Project No. Ce-96-99. Niwot Archeological Consultants, Sterling, Colorado.

Noisat, Brad (cont.)

- 2001 Pilger Prescribed Burn Fire Area II, Heritage Resource Inventory, Monitoring, and Evaluation, Black Hills National Forest, Hell Canyon Ranger District, Custer and Fall River Counties, South Dakota. Project No. 2001020300041. Niwot Archaeological Consultants, Sterling, Colorado.

Reher, Charles A.

- 1981 *Archaeological Survey and Testing Project for the Silver King Mine, Tennessee Valley Authority, A Summary Report.* Tennessee Valley Authority, Casper, Wyoming.

Willems, Thomas, and Molly Karnopp

- 2005 *Cultural Resource Survey of the Freeze Out Pasture Range Developments, Hell Canyon Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Custer County, South Dakota.* Project No. 2005020300142. Black Hills National Forest, Hell Canyon Ranger District, Custer, South Dakota.

Winham, R. Peter, Edward Lueck, Linda Palmer, and Frederick Sellet

- 2001 *Intensive (Class III) Cultural Resources Inventory Survey of the Dacotah Cement Land Exchange Proposal with the Bureau of Land Management in Southwestern Custer County, South Dakota.* Archeological Contract Series No. 164. Archeology Laboratory, Augustana College, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.