

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Union Carbide Corporation

Docket No. 70-687
License No. SNM-639

Based on the results of an NRC inspection conducted on October 28-30, 1981, it appears that certain of your activities were not conducted in full compliance with the conditions of your license as indicated below:

- A. Condition No. 9 of Special Nuclear Material License No. SNM-639 incorporates a letter dated April 28, 1969. The letter states that the Nuclear Safeguards Committee is charged with the responsibility for insuring that administrative controls, operating procedures...are reviewed and approved to minimize the hazards to the facility, the staff and the general public.

Contrary to the above, five health physics procedures were developed and implemented during 1981, and these procedures were not reviewed and approved by the Nuclear Safeguards Committee.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI.)

- B. Item 14 of Amendment 1 to Special Nuclear Material License No. SNM-639 requires the licensee to post all storage and use locations with criticality safety signs which indicate the maximum quantity of special nuclear material that is authorized at each location and the actual amount that is present at each location.

Contrary to the above, on October 28, 1981, the actual amount of special nuclear material present at a storage location, near the Lower Level Counting Area in the Reactor Building, was not indicated on the criticality safety sign present at the location.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement VI.)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Union Carbide Corporation is hereby required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Atomic Energy Act

of 1954, as amended, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending your response time.

Dated 26 FEB 1982

Original Signed By:

James H. Joyner

h Thomas T. Martin, Director
Division of Engineering and
Technical Inspection

Technical Inspection Branch