

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Champion Target 232 Industrial Parkway Richmond, Indiana, 47374 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-001	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351
---	--

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-11312	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 13-16598-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION February 19, 2010
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:


- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael M. LaFranzo		2/10/10

gfb

**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE Champion Target REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-11312	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 13-16598-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 2/10/10	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 3120	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Neil McDivitt - RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 765-966-7745
----------------------------	------------------	--	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 2/2015

Field Office _____

Temporary Job Site Inspection _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee possessed two fixed gauges used for level measurements. The facility was operational 16-24 hours a day, 5 days a week and produces approximately 180,000 clay targets daily.

Observations and Findings

The inspector observed both devices in operation. The licensee checked the shutters and performed leak tests at the appropriate frequency. The inspector determined that the security of the devices was adequate to comply with NRC requirements. The inspector reviewed documentation regarding leak tests, shutter tests and inventories; no issues were identified. The inspector performed independent radiation measurements around the devices and did not identify any abnormal radiation levels.

A violation of NRC requirements was identified during the last inspection regarding locking out the devices. Specifically, the previous inspection identified that the licensee did not lock the gauges shutter in the closed position during periods which an individual's body may have been subjected to the direct beam. During this inspection, the inspector noted that the licensee's stated corrective actions were being implemented and the licensee had closed and locked the shutters in the appropriate position as required. This violation is considered closed.