

12/19/09
74FR 68872 (1)

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: February 19, 2010
Received: February 18, 2010
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 80aa7792
Comments Due: March 10, 2010
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2009-0568
Draft Report for Comment; NUREG-1934, "Nuclear Power Plant Fire Modeling Application Guide"

Comment On: NRC-2009-0568-0001
NUREG-1934, Nuclear Power Plant Fire Modeling Application Guide (NPP FIRE MAG), Draft Report for Comment

Document: NRC-2009-0568-DRAFT-0002
Comment on FR Doc # E9-30823

Submitter Information

Name: laurent gay
Address:
laurent.gay@edf.fr
France,
Organization: edf

RECEIVED

2010 FEB 19 AM 10:00

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

General Comment

EDF is the developer of MAGIC.

1st point

I reviewed quickly the draft of this FMG, and I am surprised to see that some MAGIC results are missing, for example

in scenarios MCC, Fire Cable spreading room, corridor or annulus. Most of the time for cable temperature or heat fluxes.

In summary, more or less, when there is a CFAST result available, one may find a MAGIC one.

We sent the review of Dave Birkes calculation on april 2009.

Why MAGIC results are missing ?

2nd point

I would be gratefull if you put EDF in the acknowledgments paragraph (Laurent Gay and Eric Wizenne) for the reviewing of SAIC calculations.

Regards

SUNSI Review Complete
Template - ADM-013

F-RIDS = ADM-03
Ccd = D. Stroup (dsw4)