



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I
475 ALLENDALE ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406-1415

June 1, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: New York Power Authority Assessment Panel (NAP) Members
FROM: Curtis J. Cowgill, NAP Chairman
SUBJECT: MINUTES FROM NAP MEETING 95-08

NAP meeting 95-08 began at 1:30 p.m. on May 16, 1995, in the Region I DRP Conference Room. Mr. Cowgill opened the meeting by discussing recently received Indian Point 3 (IP3) allegations, potential receipt of the IP3 restart letter (week of 5/26), latest proposed IP3 startup date (late May/early June), and status of the actions to address the transcript from the IP3 public meeting held on April 27, 1995. A separate phone call will occur to determine the best way to divide actions to address the transcript. At this time, all outside party comments including those received at the April 27th public meeting, have been evaluated by the NAP as not affecting restart.

Mr. Urban reviewed the minutes from NAP meetings 95-06. Actions to address two remaining open NAP items were nearly complete (Attachment 1). The NRC restart approval letter should be in concurrence within several days, and the IP3 augmented inspection coverage plan should be signed in several days. There were two actions from the 95-06 minutes. Concerning the administrative error in Amendment 115 to the IP3 Technical Specifications, NRR issued a letter on April 14, 1995, to correct the problem. Concerning the response letter to Mr. Bogen, NRR contacted and informed him that his concerns will be addressed as part of the meeting summary from the Readiness Assessment Team Inspection exit meeting. No panel member comments were received and the minutes stand as issued on April 26, 1995.

Mr. Urban reviewed the minutes from NAP meeting 95-07. There were no actions to be addressed from the previous minutes. No panel member comments were received and the minutes stand as issued on May 3, 1995.

Mr. Cowgill presented IP3 Restart Action Plan (RAP) restart issues for closure to the panel. Item 2.II.38 (Resolve Welding Issues) was discussed in inspection report 50-286/95-02 dated May 3, 1995. The following items were discussed in inspection report 50-286/95-03 dated May 1, 1995: 2.II.12 (Control Room A/C); 2.II.13 (PORV); 2.II.16 (Instrument Air); 2.II.34 (Control Building Exhaust Fan); and 2.II.36 (Overpressurization of SOVs). The following items were discussed in inspection report 50-286/95-04 dated May 12, 1995: 2.II.11 (ECCS Strainers); 2.II.32 (MOV issues); and 2.III.13 (Modification Acceptance Tests). The following items were discussed in inspection report 50-286/95-81 dated May 11, 1995: 2.II.3, (Fire Protection Appendix R); and 2.II.19 (EDSFI Items). Item 2.II.37 (Fire Main in 480 V switchgear room) was discussed in inspection report 50-286/94-29 Revision 1, dated April 26, 1995. The panel agreed that the items could be closed.

In NAP meeting 95-07, Mr. Trapp discussed the Readiness Assessment Team Inspection (RATI) findings, including the following 6 IP3 RAP restart issues:

9507140414 950705
PDR ADOCK 05000286
P PDR

2.III.10 (Work Control and Operations Clearances); 2.III.11 (Maintenance Inadequacies); 2.IV.4 (Management Expectations); 2.IV.6 (QA Organization); 2.IV.7 (Backlogs); and 2.IV.8 (NYPA Staff Attitude). The RATI concluded that these items were closed.

Subsequent to this NAP meeting, inspection report 50-286/95-80 was issued on May 25, 1995, and formally closed these items. Based on discussions during NAP meeting 95-07 and on issuance of inspection report 95-80, all NAP members agreed that these items were closed.

Mr. Urban and Mr. Cowgill led a discussion of the IP3 RAP. Each of the three sections of the RAP were discussed in detail. The items in the Restart Process Checklist and Restart Issues Checklist that were still open were discussed and closed if appropriate. Lastly, the Panel discussed in detail, each area for assessment in the Restart Readiness Assessment Checklist, to determine whether the Panel could recommend a restart decision to the Region I Administrator. Attachment 2 lists the specific items used by the NAP to formulate a basis. Revision 5 of the IP3 RAP will be issued soon. All NAP members agreed that IP3 was ready to restart pending receipt of NYPA's request to restart letter and the absence of any significant new issues. Those members not at the meeting were specifically polled prior to the meeting regarding their recommendations and comments to ensure that their views were included in the panel recommendation. The Senior Resident Inspector, FitzPatrick, was not involved in the decision. The meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

NAP Members: (VIA E-MAIL)

T. Marsh, NRR
N. Conicella, NRR *
G. Carpenter, NRR *
M. Davis, NRR *
G. Meyer, DRS *
J. Joyner, DRSS
D. Lew, SRI-Indian Point 3 *
W. Cook, SRI-FitzPatrick

Other Attendees:

J. Zwolinski, NRR
W. Dean, EDO
R. Skokowski, DRS
F. Bower, DRS
R. Urban, DRP
J. Harold, NRR

* Participating NAP Member

Distribution:

Region I Docket Room (w/concurrences)

RPS IB FAP/NAP File

T. Martin, RA

W. Kane, DRA

R. Cooper, DRP

W. Lanning, DRP

J. Wiggins, DRS

R. Blough, DRS

J. Trapp, DRS

C. Hehl, DRSS

W. Dean, OEDO

W. Russell, NRR

R. Zimmerman, NRR

J. Zwolinski, NRR

S. Varga, NRR

E. Jordan, AEOD

Attachment 1

OPEN NAP ACTION ITEM LIST

<u>Item #</u>	<u>Responsible Individual</u>	<u>NAP Action Item Summary</u>	<u>Status</u>
95-05-01	Conicella	Modify/update NRC Restart Authorization letter to NYPA.	Open
95-05-02	Lew	Recommend NRC restart and post-startup inspection coverage at NAP meeting 95-06. Issue inspection plan.	Open

Attachment 2

RESTART READINESS ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

I. ASSESSMENT OF ROOT CAUSE IDENTIFICATION AND CORRECTION

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
1. ROOT CAUSE ASSESSMENT	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conditions requiring the shutdown are clearly understood - Root causes of the conditions requiring the shutdown are clearly understood - Root causes of other significant problems are clearly understood - Evaluate adequacy of the root cause analysis program 		
2. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evaluate adequacy of the comprehensive corrective action plan - Evaluate adequacy of the corrective action programs for specific root causes - Assess control of corrective action item tracking - Effective corrective actions for the conditions requiring the shutdown have been implemented - Effective corrective actions for other significant problems have been implemented - Adequacy of the corrective action verification process 		
3. SELF-ASSESSMENT CAPABILITY	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adequacy of licensee's startup self-assessment - Effectiveness of Quality Assurance Program - Adequacy of Industry Experience Review Program - Adequacy of licensee's Independent Review Groups - Adequacy of deficiency reporting system - Staff willingness to raise concerns - Adequacy of Commitment Tracking Program - Utilization of external audits (i.e. INPO) - Quality and timeliness of 10 CFR 50.72 and 50.73 Reports 		

II. ASSESSMENT OF LICENSEE MANAGEMENT

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
1. MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT AND EFFECTIVENESS	NAP	Closed
<p><u>Applicable Items</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Management commitment to achieving improved performance - Performance goals/expectations developed for the staff - Goals/expectations communicated to the staff - Resources available to management to achieve goals - Management's commitment to procedure adherence - Management involvement in self-assessment and independent self-assessment capability - Effectiveness of management review committees - Management in-plant time - Management's awareness of day-to-day operational concerns - Ability to identify and prioritize significant issues - Ability to coordinate resolution of significant issues - Ability to implement effective corrective actions 		
2. MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION AND SUPPORT	NAP	Closed
<p><u>Applicable Items</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Structure of the organization - Ability to adequately staff the organization - Effect of any management reorganization - Establishment of proper work environment - Ability to resolve employee concerns - Ability to provide engineering support - Adequacy of plant administrative procedures - Amount of contractor usage (N/A) - Adequacy of contractor oversight - Information exchange with other utilities - Participation in industry groups (N/A) 		

III. ASSESSMENT OF PLANT AND CORPORATE STAFF

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
1. ASSESSMENT OF STAFF	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Staff commitment to achieving improved performance - Staff's safety consciousness - Understanding of management's expectations/goals - Understanding of plant issues and corrective actions - Effect on the staff of any reorganization - Resources available to the staff (N/A) - Qualifications and training of the staff - Staff's work environment - Level of attention to detail - Adequacy of staffing - Off-hour plant staffing (N/A) - Staff overtime usage - Amount of contractor usage (N/A) - Staff/contractor relationship (N/A) - Procedure usage/adherence 		
2. ASSESSMENT OF CORPORATE SUPPORT	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Relationship between corporate and the plant staff - Adequacy of the request for corporate services process - Corporate understanding of plant issues - Corporate staff in plant time - Effectiveness of the corporate/plant interface meetings - Adequacy of corporate representation at plant activities - Adequacy of corporate engineering support - Adequacy of corporate design changes - Adequacy of licensing support 		

- continued -

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
3. OPERATOR ISSUES	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Licensed operator staffing meets requirements and licensee goals- Level of formality in the control room- Adequacy of requalification training- Adequacy of equipment operability determination training- Adequacy of SRO command and control- Control room/plant operator awareness of equipment status- Adequacy of plant operating procedures- Procedure usage/adherence- Log keeping practices		

IV. ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICAL READINESS OF THE PLANT

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
1. ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICAL READINESS OF THE PLANT	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Operability of technical specifications systems- Operability of required secondary and support systems- Results of pre-startup testing- Adequacy of system lineups- Adequacy of surveillance tests/test program- Significant hardware issues resolved- Adequacy of the power ascension testing program- Adequacy of plant maintenance program effectiveness- Maintenance backlog managed and impact on operation assessed- Adequacy of plant housekeeping and equipment storage		

V. ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
1. ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Applicable license amendments have been issued - Applicable exemptions have been granted - Applicable reliefs have been granted - Confirmatory Action Letter conditions have been satisfied - Significant enforcement issues have been resolved - Allegations have been appropriately addressed 		

VI. COORDINATION WITH INTERESTED AGENCIES/PARTIES

AREA FOR ASSESSMENT	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	STATUS
1. COORDINATION WITH INTERESTED AGENCIES/PARTIES	NAP	Closed
<u>Applicable Items</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Federal Emergency Management Agency - Appropriate State and Local Officials - Appropriate Public Interest Groups - Local News Media 		