													_				_		
NRC F(	DRM 366					J.S.	NUCLEA	RR	EG	ULATOR	Y COMM	ISSION			APPROVED BY	RES 5/31	315 /95	0-010	14
(S	ee rever	LIC se for	CEN req	ISEE quired	EVENT ]	REP gits	ORT /charad	(L	E s	<b>R)</b> for ea	ch blo	: :	EST INFO COMI INFO 7714 WASI REDU	IMAT DRMA MENT DRMA 4), HING UCTI	ED BURDEN PER TION COLLECTIC S REGARDING TION AND RECC U.S. NUCLE TON, DC 20555 ON. PROJECT ENT AND BUDGET	RESPONSE N REQUEST BURDEN DRDS MANAG AR REGUI 5-0001, AN (3150-0 T MASHIMI	TO ( : 50 ESI GEME LATO ND T (104) GTON	COMPL I.O HR TIMAT NT BI RY O THI O, I	Y WITH THIS S. FORWARE E TO THE RANCH (MNBE COMMISSION, E PAPERWORE DFFICE OF 20503.
FACIL	TY NAME	(1)			,							•	DOC	KET	NUMBER (2)	I, WASHIN	PAC	<u>, БС</u> GE (3	)
Indiar	Point	Jnit 3													05000286			1	of 7.
TITLE	(4)	Les res	s ti ulti	han th ing fr	e required n om an Inadve	umbe rten	r of Ei t Opera	merg atio	jer on	ncy Die of a C	sel Ge arbon	nerato Dioxid	rs we e Sys	ere ( stem	Operable Due ; A Condition	to Loss o Prohibit		By Te	ation ch Specs
EVE	NT DATE	(5)	┯	I	LER NUMBER	₹ (6)			┞	REPOR	T DATE	(7)	FAC	11 1 1	OTHER FACIL	<u>ITIES IN</u>		ED (8	<u>S)</u> NUMBER
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	Ľ	EAR	NUMBER		NUMBE	R	Ľ	MONTH	DAY	YEAR						0	5000
06	18	97	9	<b>₽</b> 7	010		00		ľ	07	18	97	FAC	1111	Y NAME		DOCKET NUMBER		
OPER	ATING	N	Ī	THIS R	EPORT IS SUB	<b>HITTE</b>	D PURS	SUAN	Ī,	TO THE	REQUI	REMENTS	S OF	<u>10 (</u>	FR §: (Check	c one or i	nore) (11)		
MOD	E (9)	<u> </u>	╞	20.	402(b)	<u>.</u>			Ľ	20.405	(C)			$\vdash$	50 73(2)(2)(	(V)	┝─┦	<u>،</u> 7 .7	1(c)
PC LEVE	)WER L (10)	00	┢	20.	<u>405(a)(1)(i)</u> 405(a)(1)(ii	)		-	Ħ	50.36(d	;)(2)			1	50.73(a)(2)(	vii)		OTHE	2
<u>- 4730</u>		E PER CAR	12	20.	405(a)(1)(ii	i)		1		50.73(a	)(2)(	i)			50.73(a)(2)(	viii)(A)	(Sp	pecif	y in
-11 × 2 +			ЖĽ	20.	405(a)(1)(iv	)			Ľ	50.73(a	)(2)(	ii)			50.73(a)(2)(	viii)(B)	(B) Abstract below and in Text.		
	¥.		58 1	20.	405(a)(1)(v)				Ľ	50.73(8	a)(2)(	111)	(47)		5U./5(a)(2)(	KJ	LNRC	<u>For</u>	m-366A)
NAME							LICENS	EE (	0	NIACT	OK TH	IS LER	(12)		TELEPHONE NU	MBER (Inc	lude	e Are	a Code)
Stev	ve Wi	lkie	,	Fir	e Protec	tio	on E	ng:	ir	neer	• .				(914) 73	36-614	3		
			-	COM	PLETE ONE LI	IE FO	R EACH	CO	MP	ONENT	FAILUR	E DESCR	IBED	IN	THIS REPORT (	13)			
CAUSE	SYS1	EM	сом	PONENT	MANUFACTU	RER	REPOR	TAB	LE			AUSE	SYS	TEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURE	ER	REPORTABLE
					<u> </u>				\$ 					<u></u> .					
													-						
							<u> </u>									MONTH			VEAD
			SU	PPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPE	CTED (	14)		ТГ				E: SU	XPECTED BMISSION	MUNIN	+		
V YES	i Fyes, c	omplet	e E)	<b>KPECTE</b>		DAT	E).			N	10		DATE (15) 10			10		12	97
ABSTR	CT (Li On C Emer rest Tech EDG oper vent roor tem In I the to C Sub sim EDG to fai 1980 rest cori ini pos Oper pub	mit to June rgency ilted inica room catio cilat rebru equi ensur seque ilar CO2 flure 0 mod torat tiate ting ratio	140 18,1 1 ve or size 1 ve or s	0 span 199 Diese 199 Diese 199 Diese Special Special Special Special 1 Special 1 Specia	ces, i.e., ap 7 at appre- 1 Generat 5 than the fications attion. The carbon 1d have a had start ceeded equi- 1995 an H qualification e due to the during an 2 (b) (2) (the ison that the 32 EDG re- ion that the tinuous file ecification	prox cor in r in r in r in dec in dec in dec in dec in the in dec in the in the	imately mately mate (EDG equi. The loss wed 32 ran tem on o term (A) dule ent (A) dule EDG watc Th	<pre>/ 15 wdoof(heDarrteats) / 19 wdoof(heDarrteats) / 10 wdoof(heDarrteats) / 11 wdoof(heDarrteats) / 12 wdoof(heDarrteats) / 12 wdoof(heDarrteats) / 13 wdoof(heDarrteats) /</pre>	soal p O Gl ahd e2 ihtitee	single- 845 h s inc numbe erabi 32 EI 2) sy tempe woul ifica some ture. e EDC that opera 212 h nadec ion to ilati rsonr	spaced lours operations of the second	typewr with ble, EDGs of 3 om ve prov re to ve op temp with G com th a thre of a hour on J fail tem. tabli er to ystem n o ef	itter itter as op 2 E: nti ideo eraa obus fire sie sie vuly ureo sh bs, ord fec	e provide a construction of the provided and the provided	nes) (16) clant in co cluded by ble; a con was based ion was ca or 32 EDG cd design (1) reliably re of 126 (2) entilation on air is could be ar relay could be ar relay twas made 1997. The deffects ective act rability CO2 actuat could the rability could be reliably to air is could be ar relay the second the seco	old shu Operat ndition on the aused b room. tempera until degrees and di supplie ociated suscep in each he caus analys ions in cof 32 E ion cir CO2 su e fire lth and	tdc ior y la tun roc : Fa d f d di btil ise ( : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	own,, coshi	32 This bited by of 32 vertent of n 32 EDO nheit. exceed snorke l room. to a e other pursuan his the urther that ion y of th
	97 PD S	0730 R A	00 D0	20 ( CK (	770718 05000286 PDR	,						<u> </u>				<u></u>		<del></del>	

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR RE	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95					
LICENSEE F TEXT	<b>ER)</b> .		ESTIMAT INFORMA COMMENT INFORMA 7714), WASHING REDUCTI MANAGEM	ED BURDEN PER R TION COLLECTION S REGARDING ITION AND RECOR U.S. NUCLEAF ITON, DC 20555- ON PROJECT IENT AND BUDGET,	ESPONSE TO REQUEST: 50 BURDEN ES DS MANAGEME R REGULATO 0001, AND T (3150-0104 WASHINGTON	COMPLY WITH THIS D.O HRS. FORWARD TIMATE TO THE NT BRANCH (MNBB DRY COMMISSION, TO THE PAPERWORK D, OFFICE OF L, DC 20503.	
FACILITY NAM	E (1)	DOCKET NUM	BER (2)		LER NUMBER (6) PAG		
Indian Point 3			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
	05000286		97	010	00	2 OF 7	

Note:

: The Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified within the brackets { }

## DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On June 18, 1997, at approximately 0845 hours with 31 Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) {EK} inoperable for maintenance a Nuclear Plant Operator (NPO) inadvertently caused a portion of the fire protection CO2 System {LW} to actuate the electro-thermal links on 32 EDG smoke dampers which isolated ventilation to the 32 EDG. There was no CO2 discharge and the CO2 header was Operations declared 32 EDG inoperable in accordance with not pressurized. procedures when the ventilation system is inoperable. With less than two EDGs operable the plant was in a condition prohibited by Technical Specification 3.7.F.4. Limiting conditions for operation (LCOs) were entered to track the operability status of 32 EDG and the CO2 System. Operability of 32 EDG was restored at 0930 hours when doors D7 (EDG Building vestibule to 480v switchgear room), D8 (31 EDG to EDG building vestibule) and D9 (31 EDG to 32 EDG) were blocked open to provide ventilation. Continuous fire watches were posted in accordance with the fire protection Operational The LCOs were exited, doors D7, D8 and D9 were closed and Specifications. the fire watches were secured after the electro-thermal links were replaced at 1230 hours. This event was documented in Deviation Event Report (DER) 97-1424.

The event occurred while an NPO was removing a CO2 System relay box cover to accommodate an inspection of the box contents. During removal, the relay box cover accidentally fell and hit 32 EDG CO2 control panel. Engineering analysis concluded that, when the cover hit 32 EDG CO2 control panel it caused either the 2-3 contact of the RH1 relay to close momentarily or a momentary short between terminals 2 and 3 on the RH1 relay socket. Either of these conditions could energize the LR1 and LR2 relays without holding the RH1 relay energized. Energizing the LR1 and LR2 relays results in melting of the electro-thermal links on 32 EDG inline smoke dampers (FP-DS-53 and 54), stopping of 32 EDG exhaust fans (exhaust fan 316 and 317) and activation of several alarms (i.e., CO2 SYSTEM ACTUATED alarm on 32 EDG CO2 control panel, ALARM CIRCUIT TROUBLE alarms on 31 and 33 EDG CO2 control panels, CO2 SYS PWR FAIL alarms for diesel generator rooms 31 and 33 in the control room, and Common CO2 ACTUATED audible alarm in the control room).

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REG (5-92)	GULATORY COMMISSION		APPROVED BY C EXPIR	DMB NO. 315 ES 5/31/95	50-0104
<b>LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE</b> TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THI INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWAR COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO TH INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MME 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWOR REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE ( MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6) PAGE		
Indian Point 3		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	
	05000286	97	010	00	3 OF 7

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

During investigation of the cause of this event, the potential was identified for a common cause to initiate the fire protection circuits for all three EDGs causing CO2 initiation, isolation of ventilation or both to occur. Α seismic event or seismic interaction could cause this since the cabinets are not seismic class I and are located in the non-seismic turbine building. Other potential initiators (e.g., high energy line break, tornado) were not evaluated as part of the design. Because the identified common mode failures could potentially result in the inoperability of all three EDGs, the potential failure was documented in DER 97-1590 and reported in a 4 hour ENS notification at approximately 2212 hours on July 2, 1997. Additional corrective actions taken were; power was isolated from relays that initiate isolation of EDG room ventilation, CO2 was isolated and continuous fire watches were posted in accordance with fire protection Operational Specifications.

The potential for the common cause failure causing a loss of EDGs was previously identified but inadequately evaluated in DER 95-0397. As a result of this evaluation of the susceptibility of the heating ventilation and air conditioning systems to single failure, LER 95-003 identified the potential for single failure to cause inadvertent operation of the CO2 system of the 480v Switchgear room. The evaluation focused on a single failure of a component in a system interconnected to the ventilation system. The EDGs are bounded by a single failure analysis. The extent of condition evaluation did not recognize the loss of all three EDGs due to a common cause. The potential for fire induced hot shorts to cause inadvertent CO2 system actuation in the 480 volt switchgear and cable spreading rooms as well as in all three EDG cells was identified in LER 95-006. The evaluation focused on fire as a cause. Corrective actions in the LER 95-006 response provided for procedural guidance to restore ventilation to the EDG rooms after a fire. Since these procedures were not intended to address loss of ventilation in non-fire scenarios they provided a weak link for ventilation restoration after inadvertent CO2 system actuation. This contributed to untimely restoration of 32 EDG ventilation system following the event of June 18,1997.

## CAUSE OF EVENT

This event was caused by personal error due to inadequate failure and effects analysis for the 1980 modification that installed the CO2 system.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATORY COMMISSION		APPROVED BY EXPIR	CMB NO. 315 ES 5/31/95:	0-0104				
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT ( TEXT CONTINUATION	LER)	ESTIMAT INFORMA COMMENT INFORMA 7714), WASHING REDUCTI MANAGEN	ED BURDEN PER RI ITION COLLECTION IS REGARDING ITION AND RECORI U.S. NUCLEAR GTON, DC 20555-( ION PROJECT MENT AND BUDGET,	ESPONSE TO REQUEST: 50 BURDEN ES DS MANAGEME REGULATO 0001, AND 1 (3150-0104 WASHINGTON	COMPLY WITH THIS 0.0 HRS. FORWARD TIMATE TO THE INT BRANCH (MNBB INT COMMISSION, TO THE PAPERWORK 0, OFFICE OF 1, DC 20503.				
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6	}	PAGE (3)				
Indian Po	pint 3	05000286	year 97	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION 00	4 OF 7				
TEXT (If more :	space is required, use additional copies (	of NRC Form 366A) (17)	<u> </u>							
	COR	RECTIVE ACTIONS	<u>s</u>							
The the	following corrective actio causes of this event:	ns have been or	will	be perfor	med to	address				
•	Ventilation of 32 EDG roc of 32 EDG.	om was re-estab	lished	l to establ	lish ope	erability				
•	<ul> <li>Power was isolated from relays that initiate isolation of EDG room ventilation, CO2 was isolated and continuous fire watches were posted in accordance with plant Operational Specifications.</li> </ul>									
•	• Engineering will complete an evaluation of this event to determine the appropriate corrective action as well as to complete the safety significance analysis. To be completed by September 12, 1997									
•	• This LER will be supplemented to provide the identified corrective action as well as to complete the safety significance of this event. To be completed by October 12, 1997.									
•	A case study will be deve the 1997 Engineering Supp performing failure and ef including a discussion or 1997.	eloped by the Tr oort Personnel of fects analysis h LER 97-010.	rainin contin for <u>p</u> To be	ng Departme nuing trair olant modif completed	ent and ling on lication by Dece	added to ns ember 19,				
•	Since the 1980 CO2 modified design control process has procedures, to improve the Additional improvements to been made since the issues believes the current modified prevent the type of design	cation, a more as been put in p the design and re to the design and ance of these pu fication and de an error that of	compi place, eview nd mod rocedu esign ccurre	rehensive m including of modific lification res. The control pr ed with the	nodifica sissuar cations process Author cocess cocess cocess	ation and nce of s have ty should vstem.				
•	Improvements have been ma 1995. The Authority beli process should by itself been added, including est group reviewing the closu review of select DERs by believes that these impro prevent missed opportunit system.	nde to the corre eves that the I be adequate. I ablishing a DEI are of DERs of s the Plant Leade ovements should ties similar to	ective DER in Howeve R revision simila ership provi those	e actions p nvestigation er addition lew committe ar signific o Team. Th ide addition e identifie	program on and p hal barn cee (a c cance), he Autho onal ass ed with	since resolution riers have liverse and ority surance to the CO2				

-

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR RE	GULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95								
LICENSEE EV TEXT C	<b>ENT REPORT (LE</b> ONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FOR COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH ( 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISS WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPER REDUCTION PROJECT. (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.									
FACILITY NAME (	(1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6	<u>}</u>	PAGE (3)					
Indian Point 3			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION						
			97	010	00	5 OF 7					
The inadvertent operation of the CO2 System is being reported under 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B). The licensee shall report any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications. The condition is being reported because TS 3.7.F.4 requires two EDGs to be operable under all conditions including cold shutdown. 31 EDG was inoperable due to the performance of 6 year preventive maintenance. The declaration of inoperability of 32 EDG resulted in two EDGs being inoperable for 45 minutes. During the investigation of this event a subsequent report was made under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(iii)(A). The licensee shall report any event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain it											
occurred and time would perform the safety significant	ly manual actionic safety functions afety function of the second se	on to restore v tion. As part wing timelines	ventil of ou ss and	lation was ir ongoing 1 appropria	taken t investi teness	he EDGs gation of of manual					

In addition to the above this event is also being reported under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii)(A). The licensee shall report any event where a single cause or condition caused at least one independent train to become inoperable in multiple systems or two independent trains or channels to become inoperable in a single system designed to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition. Again, based on engineering judgement, if a common failure occurred and timely manual action to restore ventilation was taken the EDGs would perform their safety function. As part of our ongoing investigation of safety significance we are reviewing timeliness and appropriateness of manual action.

A review of Licensee Event Reports (LERs) over the last two and one half years for similar events concerning inadequate design identified the following LERs :LER 97-006, 97-003, 96-004, 95-006, 95-003.

## SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

This event did not have an affect on the health and safety of the public. The safety significance of this event is still being evaluated and this conclusion will be confirmed when the LER is supplemented, as planned by September 29, after completion of the engineering analysis.

There was no actual safety significance because there were no actual common cause failures effecting operability of the EDGs and there was no demand for the EDGs when the 32 EDG was declared inoperable.

action.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLE (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION		ESTIMAT INFORMA COMMEN INFORMA 7714), WASHING REDUCTI MANAGEN	ED BURDEN PER H ITION COLLECTION TS REGARDING ATION AND RECOI U.S. NUCLEA GTON, DC 20555- ION PROJECT MENT AND BUDGET	RESPONSE TO REQUEST: 50 BURDEN ES RDS MANAGEME R REGULATO 0001, AND (3150-0104 , WASHINGTON	COMPLY WITH THIS .O HRS. FORWARD TIMATE TO THE ENT BRANCH (MNBE DRY COMMISSION, TO THE PAPERWORK O, OFFICE OF I, DC 20503.		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOC	KET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)			
Indian Point 3			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
		05000286		010	. 00	6 OF 7	

The potential safety significance was assessed assuming a common cause event resulting in inadvertent actuation of the CO2 circuitry while in power operation concurrent with a loss of offsite power. The event (i.e. loss of ventilation leading to loss of EDGs) could be mitigated if the operability of the EDG could be restored through manual action or if an alternate power supply were available. The actuation of the CO2 circuitry could result in full CO2 system actuation (e.g., EDG ventilation isolated and exhaust fans shutdown and subsequent CO2 discharge), partial CO2 system actuation with EDG ventilation isolated but no CO2 discharge, or partial CO2 system actuation with CO2 discharge but no EDG ventilation isolation. The ability to restore the EDGs through manual action under these conditions is being assessed and this LER will be updated to report on that engineering assessment.

A preliminary assessment indicates that most likely time would be available to restore EDG ventilation with full CO2 system actuation. The EDGs are so arranged to take combustion air directly from the outside and not the from room proper. The EDGs will reliably operate until the EDG cell reaches 126 degrees Fahrenheit, the qualification limit of equipment in the cell. The preliminary investigation reveals the following with regard to this issue:

- Combustion air is supplied to each EDG from a snorkel which is routed through the room up to the air intake plenum located approximately 25 feet above the EDGs. Closure of the in-line smoke dampers will isolate the air intake plenum from the EDG room. A review of the CO2 preoperational testing following the installation of the EDG CO2 systems and combustion air snorkels, provides reasonable assurance that the EDGs can operate in a CO2 environment with or without smoke damper isolation. This conclusion was based on a documentation of a full CO2 system discharge test concurrent with EDG 31 running, in November of 1980, where one of the two in-line smoke dampers failed to close. A review of the Operator Log during the test found no indication that the performance of the EDG was adversely affected. The effects on the diesel from one damper failing, or from both dampers failing, are not considered to be materially different.
  - There are no calculations available that identify the time it will take an EDG room to increase to the 126 degrees fahrenheit limit of electrical equipment in the EDG room with no ventilation and CO2 discharge. LER 95-004 identified the operation of an EDG for some time with no ventilation during the month of February. It is also reasonable to expect that the cooling effects of a CO2 discharge would moderate heat up rate of the room.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REG (5-92) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LEF TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMAT INFORMA COMMENT INFORMA 7714), WASHING REDUCTI MANAGEM	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH TH INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWA COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO T INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MM 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIC WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWC REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6	>	PAGE (3)		
Indian Point 3	,	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	· · ·		
	05000286	97	010	00	7 OF 7		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

The reported event identifies a common cause event which could potentially render the EDGs inoperable due to inadvertent operation of the EDG CO2 systems following a seismic event. Procedures and equipment exist to safely shutdown the plant following plant design basis events using alternate power sources. The Appendix R diesel generator would provide an alternate power source for safe shutdown, when available. The Appendix R diesel generator is required to be operable per plant Operational Specifications which require an LCO to be entered when the diesel is out of service. The Appendix R diesel generator is designed to ensure safe shutdown following a postulated fire which disables all three EDGs and is credited for shutdown with a station blackout. The Consolidated Edison gas turbines are an additional source of backup power with control room procedures to supply power to the 13.8 Kv bus. Although the alternate diesel generator and the gas turbines are not seismically qualified, they are expected to survive a seismic event based on earthquake experience data developed by the Seismic Qualification Utility Group (SQUG) in addressing Unresolved Safety Issue A-46.