

Indian Point 3
Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 215
Buchanan, New York 10511
914 736.8001



John H. Garrity
Resident Manager

February 28, 1994
IPN-94-021

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Mail Stop PI-137
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-286
Licensee Event Report # 94-001-00
"Pressurizer Liquid Sampling Line Support Discrepancies Place
the Plant Outside its Design Basis Due to Personnel Error"

Dear Sir:

The attached Licensee Event Report (LER) 94-001-00 is hereby submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73. This event is of the type defined in the requirements pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). Also attached are the commitments made by the Authority in this LER.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'John H. Garrity'. A horizontal line is drawn above the signature.

John H. Garrity
Resident Manager
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant

JHG/vjm

cc: See Next Page

9403090125 940228
PDR ADDCK 05000286
S PDR

IEP
1/1

cc: Mr. Thomas T. Martin
Regional Administrator
Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406-1415

INPO Records Center
700 Galleria Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors' Office
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant

Attachment 1
List of Commitments

Number	Commitment	Due
IPN-94-021-01	The Maintenance Manager or designee will use this event to further emphasize to maintenance personnel the expectations regarding supervisory oversight, procedure adherence and proper work practices. This is scheduled for completion by March 31, 1994.	March 31, 1994
IPN-94-021-02	The Maintenance Department is continuing the investigation surrounding the event. The investigation will be completed by March 31, 1994. If significant new information becomes available, the Authority will supplement this LER.	March 31, 1994
IPN-94-021-03	To correct the discrepancies, the supports to SP-AOV-956C and its accompanying solenoid valve will be reworked/reinstalled. The marred section of primary sample tubing upstream of SP-AOV-956C will be replaced. These actions will be completed prior to startup from the current outage.	Prior to Startup
IPN-94-021-04	To determine extent of condition, the remainder of the primary sample system air operated valves and associated lines will be examined by the Nuclear Engineering and Design Department through field walkdown to verify that supports are installed in accordance design specifications. The walkdown will also examine other locations where tubing penetrates containment and Swagelok fittings are likely to be in use. These actions are scheduled for completion prior to startup from the current outage.	Prior to Startup

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

FACILITY NAME (1) Indian Point Unit 3	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000286	PAGE (3) 1 OF 6
---	--------------------------------------	---------------------------

TITLE (4) Pressurizer Liquid Sampling Line Support Discrepancies Place the Plant Outside its Design Basis Due to Personnel Error

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
01	28	94	94	-- 001 --	00	02	28	94	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
										05000
										05000

OPERATING MODE (9) N	POWER LEVEL (10) 000	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11)							
		<input type="checkbox"/> 20.402(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iv)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(vi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(vii)
		<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(ix)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(x)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xiii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xiv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(xvi)
		<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)
		<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(xi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(xii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(xiii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(xiv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(xv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(xvi)
		<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(c)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(e)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(f)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(g)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(h)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(i)
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	(Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)						

Name Chuck Bristol, Maintenance Engineer	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (914) 736-8635
--	---

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)										
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)				EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)		MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>					

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On January 28, 1994, with the plant in cold shutdown, a Maintenance Engineer identified valve and tubing support discrepancies at the sampling system line for sampling pressurizer liquid space. This event, which occurred prior to November 14, 1992, is a result of poor work practices and attitudes that previously existed. Stress analysis indicates that, in this condition, tubing stresses could have exceeded code requirements but would have maintained operability for the sampling system in a seismic event. Therefore, this event places Indian Point 3 outside its design basis. This event was caused by personnel error (inadequate work practices) during maintenance activities. The Authority will use this event to further emphasize to station personnel the expectations regarding supervisory oversight, procedure adherence and proper work practices. Corrective action also includes continuing the investigation into this event. Corrective action has been taken to address the procedural adherence issue. The support discrepancies will be corrected and a walkdown will be conducted to determine the extent of condition.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000286	94	-- 001 --	00	2 OF 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On January 28, 1994, at approximately 1100 hours with the plant in a cold shutdown condition (reactor power level at 6 cps, reactor coolant temperature at 108 degrees F, reactor coolant pressure at atmospheric and pressurizer level at 29%), a Maintenance Engineer identified that one of two valve support mounting bolts for Sampling System valve SP-AOV-956C were missing and that a tubing U-bolt restraint downstream of this valve was missing (see Figure 1). This event, which occurred prior to November 14, 1992, is a result of poor work practices and attitudes that previously existed. Investigation into the event revealed further discrepancies as described below. Stress analysis of the as found condition indicates that the tubing does not meet USAS B31.1 design basis stress limits indicated in the Final Safety Analysis Report for sampling system piping.

The Maintenance Department investigated the work history on the sampling line and related Problem Identifications (PIDs) and concluded that this event probably occurred on November 13, 1992. On November 13, 1992 maintenance personnel replaced a leaking Swagelok compression fitting used as the tee connection between the Isolation Valve Seal Water System (IVSWS) (BD) and the sampling system line for pressurizer liquid space sampling. The IVSWS line is connected to the pressurizer liquid space sampling line between containment isolation valves SP-AOV-956C and SP-AOV-956D. Maintenance concluded that when the tubing was cut on both sides of the Swagelok to remove the fitting, the resulting gap between tubing ends was too wide for the new Swagelok to be installed. The maintenance crew attempted to make up this gap in the tubing by pulling together the tubing which was held fast by various restraints. To accomplish this, the maintenance investigation concluded that the following actions were taken outside of the scope of the work request: (1) one of two SP-AOV-956C actuator mounting bolts was removed; (2) the U-bolt tubing restraint on the downstream side of valve SP-AOV-956C was removed; (3) the U-bolt tubing restraint on the upstream side of valve SP-AOV-956C was cut in half (this also resulted in the marring of the primary sample tubing); and (4) the two bolts which mounted the SP-AOV-956C air operator solenoid valve were removed. A PID was written on November 14, 1992 reporting the cut U-bolt. However, this condition was not identified as potentially reportable until January 28, 1994 when additional support discrepancies were identified.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Indian Point Unit 3	05000286	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 OF 6
		94	-- 001 --	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

During the interviews conducted as part of the investigation for this incident, workers and supervisors associated with this job activity disavowed any knowledge of cut or missing U-bolts or component supports. The Authority is extremely concerned about our inability to gather the facts surrounding this event. The Authority is continuing in the investigation and will supplement this LER if significant new information becomes available.

The "work performed" section of the work request document identified none of the above actions. The unauthorized work practices identified by this investigation were in violation of Revision 17 to Administrative Procedure AP-9, "Work Control" and Revision 3 of Maintenance Directive 3-MD-23, "Use of Documented Instructions". Revisions 17 through the current Revision 23 of AP-9 require that tasks be performed as directed by a step text list which is part of the work request. If work cannot be performed to completion by the step text and the changes required constitute a change of scope and additional work is required, the AP requires changes to the step text to be made with the concurrence of Quality Assurance and the Performance Group. Revision 3 through the current Revision 5 of MD-23 require the user to stop an evolution and notify his supervisor if the work instruction is such that verbatim compliance cannot be met.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The Maintenance Department investigation concluded that this event was caused by personnel error during a maintenance activity performed on November 13, 1992. The error on the part of the maintenance personnel is that they applied poor work practices and worked outside of established work control practices (procedures) during the performance of a work request.

A contributing cause was lack of supervisory oversight on the part of the job supervisors responsible for the maintenance activity. The job supervisors did not inspect the work performed by their respective crews.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The following corrective actions have been or will be taken to prevent the recurrence of this event:

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000286	94	-- 001 --	00	4 OF 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

1. The Authority has evidence that current work control practices have improved and that there is heightened awareness among plant personnel about acceptable work practices. However, the Maintenance Manager or designee will use this event to further emphasize to maintenance personnel the expectations regarding supervisory oversight, procedure adherence and proper work practices. This is scheduled for completion by March 31, 1994.
2. The Maintenance Department is continuing the investigation surrounding the event. The investigation will be completed by March 31, 1994. If significant new information becomes available, the Authority will supplement this LER.
3. Corrective action identified in LER 93-053-00 will also prevent recurrence of this event. Corrective action entailed an "all hands" meeting which took place on December 6, 1993 to discuss management expectations for procedure compliance and to identify clear discipline policies for failure to follow procedure. Also, increased management monitoring of procedural adherence in the field was instituted to help assure procedure compliance.
4. To correct the discrepancies, the supports to SP-AOV-956C and its accompanying solenoid valve will be reworked/reinstalled. The marred section of primary sample tubing upstream of SP-AOV-956C will be replaced. These actions will be completed prior to startup from the current outage.
5. To determine extent of condition, the remainder of the primary sample system air operated valves and associated lines will be examined by the Nuclear Engineering and Design Department through field walkdown to verify that supports are installed in accordance design specifications. The walkdown will also examine other locations where tubing penetrates containment and Swagelok fittings are likely to be in use. These actions are scheduled for completion prior to startup from the current outage.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000286	94	-- 001 --	00	5 OF 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

This event is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) because the support discrepancies identified placed the sampling system pressurizer liquid space sampling line outside design basis requirements.

Similar events involving inadequate work practices were reported in Licensee Event Reports (LER) 92-017-01, 93-012-00, 93-027-00 and 93-018-00. LER 92-017-01 reported an unauthorized material substitution which rendered the CO₂ Fire Protection system inoperable. LER 93-012-00 reported a Weld Channel and Containment Penetration Pressurization (WCCPP) (BD) supply line disconnect caused by work outside of work control process. LER 93-027-00 reported that the Emergency Diesel Generator (EK) (DG) control cabinets (CAB) were missing bolts. This condition was caused by personnel error in that personnel did not replace the bolts when work was complete. LER 93-018-00 reported that a hemyc blanket (Appendix R radiant energy shield) was removed outside the scope of work control procedures.

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

This event did not affect the health and safety of the public. Stress analysis performed on the pressurizer liquid sampling line concluded that the line would remain operable following a seismic event so that all safety functions would have been performed.

To evaluate the extent of condition, a corrective action is in place to conduct a walkdown of the remaining sampling system air operated valves to verify the acceptability of supports. The walkdown will also examine other penetration locations where tubing penetrates containment and Swagelok fittings are likely to be in use.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000286	94	-- 001 --	00	6 OF 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Figure 1
As Found Condition of
Pressurizer Liquid Space Sample Line

