

<b>NRC FORM 699</b> (9-2003)		<b>U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION</b>		DATE 02/01/2010
<b>CONVERSATION RECORD</b>				TIME 3:30 PM
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU Glenn Adams		TELEPHONE NO. 612-330-6777		TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> VISIT <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
ORGANIZATION PI-ISFSI - Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation (NSPM)				
SUBJECT Suspension of the TN-40HT Licensing Amendment Request (LAR) review Docket No. 72-10; SNM-2506				
SUMMARY (Continue on Page 2)				
<p>List of Participants</p> <p>NRC: Pamela Longmire, Ph.D., JoAnn Ireland, Robert Einziger, Earl Love, David Tang, and Michael Waters</p> <p>NSPM: Glenn Adams, Oley Nelson, Gary Wheelock, and Lee Samson</p> <p>Transnuclear (TN): Peter Shih, Bill Bracey, and Olivier Gandou</p> <p>This was a follow-up call (ADAMS Accession No. ML100290300) requested by the applicant. The topics addressed in the conversation were: 1) quality/safety classifications; 2) FSAR drawing notes (i.e., "materials meeting JIS code or equivalent national standard may be used in lieu of ASTM materials"); and 3) confinement leak testing during fabrication. In preparation for the teleconference, PINGP ISFSI Staff and TN researched precedent on the latter two issues and provided a pre-decisional draft reply.</p> <p>1. Safety Classifications – Resolution approaches discussed included 1) a revision of the FSAR to include commitment or license condition to illustrate that existing QA program is as good as or better than the QA guidelines outlined in the NUREG, and 2) a docketed reply to reconcile the differences.</p> <p>2. FSAR Drawing Notes regarding ASTM – PINGP ISFSI Staff and TN offered as precedence RAI replies on previous applications; SFST Staff clarified for the applicant that RAI responses do not constitute precedence.</p> <p>3. Leak Testing – SFST acknowledged that the licensee has no obligation to comply with ISGs (e.g., draft ISG-25). SFST Staff explained the words "entire boundary" includes base metal. TN explained what such an interpretation would mean in practical terms (i.e., leak testing a 5-inch thick forging is impractical and imprudent), and reiterated the position that the code itself focuses on joints, not base metal.</p> <p>The discussion was purely informational; no commitments were made on any topic.</p> <p>Actions: NSPM will submit a supplement. The review remains on hold. Call ended approximately 5:00 PM EST.</p> <p><b>Continue on Page 2</b></p>				
ACTION REQUIRED None				
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION Pamela Longmire, Ph.D.		SIGNATURE / RA /		DATE 02/02/2010
ACTION TAKEN				
TITLE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION Pamela Longmire, Ph.D.		SIGNATURE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		DATE