July 21, 1989

DISTRIBUTION (YT0899161)
Central file
Docket file 50-247 w/incoming
NRC/Local PDR w/incoming
RCapra
SVarga (2)
BBoger
DBrinkman w/incoming
DMossburg, PMAS
CVogan
TMurley/JSniezek
JPartlow

Ms. Joan Holt
New York Public Interest Research Group, Inc.
Post Office Box 817
Truro, Massachusetts 02666

Dear Ms. Holt:

By letter dated July 6, 1989, you requested an extension of time to respond to the Federal Register notice of Wednesday, May 31, 1989 (54 FR 23306) which provided the Commission's Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing regarding Consolidated Edison's amendment request to increase the licensed thermal power level of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2. Your letter was forwarded to this office for response.

We have reviewed your request and find that it fails to set forth sufficient reasons to grant your request. The 30-day comment period (as provided for by statute and implemented by the Commission at 10 CFR §2.105) specified in the subject Federal Register notice normally provides sufficient time for interested parties to respond to such notices. Although, you state you did not learn of this public notice until June 21, 1989, your letter does not provide reasons why more time is required for you to respond to the subject notice than that usually given in such notices. Therefore, we have concluded that your request does not provide information that would constitute good cause for granting your requested extension of time. Accordingly, your request is denied.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Steven A. Varga, Director
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

[YELLOW TICKET 0899161] *See previous concurrence

PDI-1*	PDI-1	OGC*	PDI-1*	AD/RI*	DRPR
CVogan	DBrinkman/bah	EReis	RCapra	BBoger	SVarga
7/18/89	7/21/89	7/14/89	7/18/89	7/18/89	7/21/89

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

OF01
1/1

Ackn-
ent

DISTRIBUTION (YT0899161)
Docket file 50-247 w/incoming
NRC/Local PDR w/incoming
RCapra
SVarga (2)
BBoger
DBrinkman w/incoming
DMossburg, PMAS
CVogan
TMurley/JSniezek
JPartlow

Ms. Joan Holt
New York Public Interest Research Group, Inc.
Post Office Box 817
Truro, Massachusetts 02666

Dear Ms. Holt:

By letter dated July 6, 1989, you requested an extension of time to respond to the Federal Register notice of Wednesday, May 31, 1989 (54 FR 23306) which provided the Commission's Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing regarding Consolidated Edison's amendment request to increase the licensed thermal power level of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2. Your letter was forwarded to this office for response.

We have reviewed your request and find that it fails to set forth sufficient reasons to grant your request. The 30-day comment period (as provided for by statute and implemented by the Commission at 10 CFR §2.105) specified in the subject Federal Register notice normally provides sufficient time for interested parties to respond to such notices. Your letter fails to justify why additional time is required for you to respond to the subject notice. According to your letter, you learned of the notice on June 21, 1989, ten days before the expiration of the comment period. Your letter does not indicate that you made any effort to provide substantive comments on the proposed amendment. Indeed, nothing in your letter indicates that such comments would be forthcoming even were your request to be granted. Therefore, we have concluded that your request does not provide any information that would constitute good cause for granting your requested extension of time. Accordingly, your request is denied.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Varga, Director
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

[YELLOW TICKET 0899161] *See previous concurrence

PDI-1	PDI-1	OGC*	PDI-1	AD/RI	DRPR
CVogan	DBrinkman/bah	EReis	RCapra	BBoger	SVarga
7/18/89	7/18/89	7/14/89	7/18/89	7/18/89	7/ /89

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Docket No. 50-247

DISTRIBUTION (YT0899161)
Docket file
RCapra
SVarga (2)
BBoger
DBrinkman
DMossburg, PMAS
CVogan
JSniezek

Ms. Joan Holt
New York Public Interest Research Group, Inc.
Post Office Box 817
Truro, Massachusetts 02666

Dear Ms. Holt:

By letter dated July 6, 1989, you requested an extension of time to respond to the Federal Register notice of Wednesday, May 31, 1989 (54 FR 23306) which provided the Commission's Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing regarding Consolidated Edison's amendment request to increase the licensed thermal power level of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2. Your letter was forwarded to this office for response.

set forth sufficient reasons to grant your request,

We have reviewed your request and find that it fails to ~~identify with any particularity your interest in the proceeding and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. Your letter also fails to identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding on which you would propose to submit comments or on which to request intervention.~~ The 30-day comment period (as provided for by statute) which was specified in the subject Federal Register notice normally provides sufficient time for interested parties to respond to such notices. Your letter fails to justify why additional time is required for you to respond to the subject notice. According to your letter, you learned of the notice on June 21, 1989, ten days before the expiration of the comment period. Your letter does not indicate that you made any effort to provide substantive comments on the proposed amendment. Indeed, nothing in your letter indicates that such comments would be forthcoming even were your request to be granted. Therefore, we have concluded that your request does not provide any information that would constitute good cause for granting your requested extension of time. Accordingly, your request is denied.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Varga, Director
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

[YELLOW TICKET 0899161]

PDI-1	PDI-1	OGC	PDI-1	AD/RI	DRPR
CVogan	DBrinkman/bah		RCapra	BBoger	SVarga
7/ /89	7/14/89	7/14/89	7/ /89	7/ /89	7/ /89

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FROM:

JOAN HOLT
NEW YORK PUBLIC
INTEREST RESEARCH
GROUP, INC.

ORIGINAL DUE DT: 08/02/89

TICKET NO: 089916
DOC DT: 07/06/89
NRR RCVD DATE: 07/12/89

TO:

NRC

FOR SIGNATURE OF: ** YEL **

T. E. MURLEY ← changed to S. Varga per Rhonda Smith on 7/14/89 DAB

DESC:

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE VOLUME 54, NO. 103, PAGE 23306 (5/31/89)

ROUTING:

MURLEY/SNIEZEK
PARTLOW
MOSSBURG

ASSIGNED TO: DRPR CONTACT: VARGA

Please review the due date immediately:

If the due date does not allow adequate time to respond to this ticket, you may request a revised due date. The request must have prior approval from the appropriate Associate Director or NRR Deputy Director and must include a valid justification. Contact NRR mailroom with the new due date (Doris Mossburg, x-23072).

Please do not carry concurrence packages to Directors office without first going through the NRR mailroom.

ACTION

DUE TO NRR DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

BY July 31, 1989