Stephen E. Quinn Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Indian Point Station Broadway & Bleakley Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511 Telephone (914) 734-5340

March 11, 1996

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-247 LER 96-02-00

Document Control Desk US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

The attached Licensee Event Report LER 96-02-00 is hereby submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73.

Very truly yours,

Sugh & Gr

Attachment

cc: Mr. Thomas T. Martin Regional Administrator - Region I US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

> Mr. Jefferey Harold, Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects I/II US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 14B-2 Washington, DC 20555

Senior Resident Inspector US Nuclear Regulatory Commission PO Box 38 Buchanan, NY 10511

1427

26001.7 9603260054 960311 PDR ADUCK 05000247 S PDR

	LICENSEE EVEN			EGULATOF		ESTIM INFOR		PIRES: 4 RESPO	NSE TO CO	MPLY WI	
Indian Point		NT REPORT	(LER)			COMM				HRS. FO	
Indian Point	Unit No. 2						EPORTS MANAGEM	ENT BR	IANCH (P-530	O THE RE	
Indian Point	Unit No. 2					THE F	LATORY COMMISSIC APERWORK REDUC NAGEMENT AND BU	TION P	ROJECT (315	50-0104),	OFFICE
	Unit No. 2								E (3)		
TITLE (4)		Indian Point Unit No. 2  0 5 0 0 2 4 7 1 0F 0 4								04	
Pressurizer P	eatup During Pl	Lant Coold	own								
EVENT DATE (5)											
MONTH DAY YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION MONT	H DAY	YEAR		FACILITY	IAMES	Ι. ΄.		(S)	
					· · · · · ·			0 1	5 0 0		
	96002			96				1 1	5 0 0	01	
MODE (9)	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED	PURSUANT TO THE		ENTS OF 1	) CFR §: (C			T			
POWER	20.405(a)(1)(i)		o(c) (c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(iv 50.73(a)(2)(v)	)		73.71(b) 73.71(c)		
LEVEL (10) 01010	20.405(a)(1)(ii)	50.38	(c)(2)		H	50.73(a)(2)(vi	)	H	OTHER (Spec		
	20.405(a)(1)(iii)		(s) (2) (i)			50.73(s)(2)(vi	i)(A)		below and in 366A)	Text, NHC	Form
-	20.405(a)(1)(iv) 20.405(a)(1)(v)		(a)(2)(ii) (a)(2)(iii)		$\vdash$	50.73(a)(2)(vi		[			
	20.405(a)(1)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(x) LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)										
NAME							1051 0005	TELEP	HONE NUMB	ER	
Michael A. W	hitney, Enginee	er					AREA CODE	7 3	3 4 -,	5 1	3 1
		E LINE FOR EACH	COMPONEN	T FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REP	ORT (13)	<u> </u>			
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPO		EPORTABLE		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONEN	MANUFAC- TURER		NPRDS		
					$\left  - \right $			+			
					1						
	SUPPLEMENT	AL REPORT EXPEC	TED (14)			<u> </u>	EXPECT	ON	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
	PECTED SUBMISSION DATE		NO				DATE (1	5)	0 5	3 1	9 <sub>1</sub> 6
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 speces, i.e., epproximately fifteen single-spece typewritten lines) (16)											
·											
The plant was shutdown on February 9, 1996 to repair leaking Power Operated Relief Valves											
(PORV's) and block values on the pressurizer. On February 10, 1996, during the plant shutdown, higher than expected cooldown and heatup evolutions of the pressurizer occurred. Due to											
higher than expected cooldown and heatup evolutions of the pressurizer occurred. Due to excessive gas leakage through the PORV/block valves, the plant was not able to establish											
pressurizer pressure control using a Nitrogen gas bubble. Normal pressurizer spray was not											
available since the reactor coolant pumps were secured. Auxiliary pressurizer spray was											
precluded by technical specification limits on spray nozzle to fluid differential temperature. An											
anernate procedure was employed to cool the pressurizer by filling and emptying it via the pressurizer surge line. This procedure resulted in two cooldown and heating evolutions which											
exceeded the technical specification heatup limits based upon the installed fluid temperature											
probe indications. A Westinghouse evaluation of the transients concluded that the structural											
	f the pressurizer w										
pressurizer pressure control using a Nitrogen gas bubble. Normal pressurizer spray was not available since the reactor coolant pumps were secured. Auxiliary pressurizer spray was precluded by technical specification limits on spray nozzle to fluid differential temperature. An alternate procedure was employed to cool the pressurizer by filling and emptying it via the pressurizer surge line. This procedure resulted in two cooldown and heatup evolutions which exceeded the technical specification heatup limits based upon the installed fluid temperature											

NRC FORM 366A U.S. 1 (6-89)	NUCLEAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION			APP		OMB NO. 31		4		
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								RD DS AR TO
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)							Τ	PAGE	(3)	
			YEAR	<mark>اﷺ</mark>	NUME		NUMBER	1			
Indian Point Unit No. 2	0  5   0   0   0	<sup>2</sup> 4 <sup>7</sup>	9 6		010	) 2 _	0,0		2 0F	0	4
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 388A's) (17)	A <u></u>	┶┯╾┶╼┯╼┙	<u> </u>	<u></u> _	<u>_</u>			<u> </u>	1	┷╼╼┙	
PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION	′ <b>:</b>			·							:
Westinghouse 4-Loop Pressurized Water R	Reactor										
IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:	IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:										
Pressurizer Heatup During Plant Cooldow	Pressurizer Heatup During Plant Cooldown										
EVENT DATE:	EVENT DATE:										
February 10, 1996	February 10, 1996										
REPORT DUE DATE:	REPORT DUE DATE:										
March 11, 1996											
REFERENCES:											
Significant Occurrence Report (SOR) 96-134 SAO-132 Event Report No. 96-05	4									·	
PAST SIMILAR EVENT:			·								
"Westinghouse Owners Group Pressurizer	Surge Line Th	ermal Stra	atifica	itior	ı Pro	gram	MUHI	P-			

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE:

events throughout the industry.

On February 9, 1996 at 0005 hours, the unit was shutdown for a planned outage to effect repairs to leaking Power Operated Relief Valves (PORV's) and block valves on the pressurizer. In accordance with normal plant procedures, attempts were made to establish pressurizer pressure control using a Nitrogen gas bubble. These attempts were not successful due to excessive gas leakage through the PORV/block valves scheduled for repair. Normal pressurizer spray was not available since the reactor coolant pumps were secured in accordance with plant procedures. Auxiliary pressurizer spray was precluded by Technical Specification limits on spray nozzle to fluid differential temperature.

1090 Summary Report" WCAP-12509 (non-proprietary) discusses the program to address similar

NRC FORM 366A U.S.	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NO. 315	0-0104					
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	(LER)	EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE T INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIM AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGT THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJEC OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHI	COMPLY WTH THIS 50.0 HRS. FORWARD ATE TO THE RECORDS (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR ON, DC 20555, AND TO T (3150-0104), OFFICE					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)					
Indian Point Unit No. 2	<b>0  5   0   0   0   2   4  </b> 7	YEAR  SEQUENTIAL  REVISION    9  6	0 3 <b>0</b> F 0 4					
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)								
DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE: (cont	DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE: (continued)							
this alternate procedure, the reactor coolar 140 degrees Fahrenheit, the pressurizer lic degrees Fahrenheit and the pressurizer lev 1996 the level in the pressurizer was slow At this time, the pressurizer level was slow pressurizer liquid space temperature prob Fahrenheit to 272 degrees Fahrenheit over increase was terminated and held at 31.3 p 30.1 percent resulting in the pressurizer liq	uid and steam space ten vel indicated 89 percent. ly decreased until 1645 h wly increased until 1654 be indicated a rapid decre a time span of about 3 m percent. The level was th	Approximation of the second se	7 10, at. a the					

time, ambient losses had reduced the pressurizer steam space temperature to within the allowable Technical Specification limits for spray nozzle to fluid differential temperature allowing the use of alternate spray for continued cooldown.

## ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:

This report is being made under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) because the plant was in a condition prohibited by the Technical Specifications based upon the installed liquid space temperature probe.

Technical Specification 3.1.B.5 states: "The pressurizer heatup and cooldown rates averaged over one hour shall not exceed 100 degrees Fahrenheit/hr and 200 degrees Fahrenheit/hr, respectively." The indicated liquid space temperature heatup change exceeded 100 degrees Fahrenheit. This heatup rate was only experienced in a portion of the liquid space and was not seen by the rest of the pressurizer. An evaluation of the effects on the pressurizer was requested of Westinghouse. Two potential failure modes were evaluated. A fatigue assessment and a fracture assessment were performed against the criteria of ASME Section XI typically used in evaluations of this type. The fracture assessment performed demonstrated that the transient did not result in stress intensity factors of the magnitude required to cause initiation of a flaw. The comparison between the fracture toughness at which crack initiation is likely to occur and the stress intensity factor distribution resulted in a margin of safety of at least a factor of two. The fatigue assessment demonstrated that the resulting change in fatigue usage for the affected pressurizer components would be negligible for this event. This analysis demonstrates that the limiting stress results from the cooldown transient (which was less than the Technical Specification limit of 200 degrees Fahrenheit/hr averaged over one hour.) Based on the analysis performed by Westinghouse, the pressurizer vessel remains acceptable with respect to brittle fracture and the allowable fatigue usage factor established in the ASME Code.

NRC FORM 366A (6-89)	U.S.	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104
~	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT	(LER)	EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS, FORWARD
	TEXT CONTINUATION		COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.
			LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)    YEAR  SEQUENTIAL  REVISION    NUMBER  NUMBER  NUMBER
Indian Point I	Unit No. 2	0 5 0 0 2 4 7	9 6 - 0 0 2 - 0 0 0 4 <b>0</b> 6 0 4
	se additional NRC Form 385A'sJ (17)		
ANALYSIS	OF OCCURRENCE: (continued	1)	
difference be This Technic fluid immed containment protects the alternate pre	becification 3.1.B.5 also states: " etween the pressurizer and the cal Specification limits the avail iately upstream of the spray no cambient temperature (in this of spray nozzle from excessive the essurizer spray, the method of p ch employs a Nitrogen gas bub	spray fluid is greater that ability of the alternate pro- ozzle in the alternate spra- case about 72 degrees Fal- ermal cycling. Because o pressurizer pressure con-	an 320 degrees Fahrenheit." ressurizer spray since the ay header is usually at hrenheit.) This limitation of the unavailability of the
CAUSE OF (	DCCURRENCE:		
a phenomen bands had o hot/cold flu space tempe phenomenon Pressurizer S	han expected pressurizer coold on known as thermal stratificat ccurred in the pressurizer surge id temperature separation layer rature probe, accounting for the n is described in Westinghouse Surge Line Thermal Stratification so described in NRC Bulletin 88	tion. A separation of hot e line. During the fill and r had risen and then falle e rapid indicated temper Report WCAP-12509 "W on Program MUHP-1090	and cold fluid temperature d drain evolutions, the en past the pressurizer water rature differences. This Vestinghouse Owners Group
review of the station event	nenon, thermal stratification, we e alternate procedural guidance analysis program is currently on of root cause will be discusse	e. An evaluation of this e underway. The results of	event in accordance with the of this evaluation and
CORRECTIV	'E ACTION:		
Westinghous plant heatup Consolidated	n of pressurizer insurge and ou se by the Westinghouse Owners and cooldown evolutions at se I Edison will continue to monit will evaluate the resulting reco	s Group (WOG) includin everal pilot plants using a or the progress of this ef	g an evaluation of actual additional instrumentation. fort through its participation
underway. 7	n of this event in accordance w The results of this evaluation an ssed in a supplemental LER.		