

A. Alan Blind
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
Indian Point Station
Broadway & Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511
Telephone (914) 734-5340
Fax: (914) 734-5718
blinda@coned.com

October 29, 1998

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-247

Mr. Hubert J. Miller
Regional Administrator -Region I
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

SUBJECT: Required Notification in Accordance with 10 CFR 50.9

The purpose of this letter is to document notification made by our Nuclear Safety and Licensing Manager to the Senior Resident Inspector - Indian Point Unit No. 2 on October 28, 1998 of our determination that the basis for the reporting of certain information provided to the Commission may not be accurate in all material respects. Specifically, on May 1, 1996, April 30, 1997 and May 1, 1998 the Annual Effluent and Waste Disposal Reports for Indian Point Units No. 1 and 2, stated in section A.4.d, Liquid Effluents that "Samples of continuous discharges have been taken and analyzed in compliance with Table 4.10-1 of the Technical Specifications."

As a result of an extent of condition review following an internal audit, it was determined that certain analysis requirements for Unit No. 1 were in fact not fully met. For the Unit No. 1 Sphere Foundation Sump Drain the monthly gross alpha analysis was not performed in 1995, 1996 and 1997. Instead a quarterly composite of monthly samples was analyzed for gross alpha. For the Unit No. 1 North Curtain Drain, the monthly gross alpha analysis was not performed for October, November and December 1996, and all of 1997 (except November.) Instead a quarterly composite of monthly samples was analyzed for gross alpha in the fourth quarter of 1996, and the second and third quarters of 1997. In addition, the quarterly Sr-89, Sr-90 and Fe-55 analysis was not performed for the first and fourth quarter 1997.

It should be noted that alpha activity, Sr-89, and Fe-55 has not been detected in these two pathways, and the Sr-90 activity has been constant near the Technical Specification defined Lower Limit of Detection. As a result, it is not expected that the dose calculations reported in the Annual Effluent and Waste Disposal Reports would have changed.

Additional information regarding the extent of condition and 1998 findings, including corrective actions will be provided in a 10 CFR 50.73 report due November 9, 1998.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. John McCann, Manager of Regulatory Affairs.

Very truly yours

A. Alan Blind

9811060049 981029
PDR ADOCK 05000247
PDR

cc: Document Control Desk
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Jefferey F. Harold, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop 14B-2
Washington, D.C. 20555

Senior Resident Inspector
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
PO Box 38
Buchanan, NY 10511