



March 26, 1999

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Indian Point Station Broadway & Bleakley Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511 Telephone (914) 734-5340 Fax: (914) 734-5718 blinda@coned.com

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-247

Document Control Desk US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

903310255 990326

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendment to Allow a One-Time Extension of Technical Specification Surveillance Intervals

This Application for Amendment to the Indian Point 2 (IP2) Facility Operating License DPR-26 and Technical Specifications proposes to revise Table 1-1 to add a "Refueling Interval (R##)" designation to allow a one-time extension of the surveillance test intervals for the tests so annotated in the proposed changes. In addition to revising Table 1-1, the proposed amendment would provide specific revisions to Facility Operating License DPR-26 License Condition 2.L.2, and to Technical Specifications Table 4.1-1: Items 13, 27.a and 34; Table 4.1-3: Items 3, 4, and 5; and Sections 4.5.A.1, 4.5.B.1, 4.5.E.4.b (footnote), 4.4.B, 4.4.D.1.c; 4.4.G, 4.4.H.1.a.(2), 4.5.C.1, 4.6.A.2, 4.6.A.3, 4.6.C.4, 4.12.A, 4.12.B, and 4.12.D. If approved, the referenced functional tests would be deferred until the next scheduled refueling outage, which will commence no later than June 3, 2000.

In 1992, Con Edison undertook an extensive program to revise the Technical Specification Surveillance intervals associated with a refueling outage, to 24 months (+25%), in accordance with NRC Generic Letter 91-04. Currently, it is proposed that some of these surveillances be extended on a one-time basis to a total of thirty-seven (37) months. The increase in surveillance interval of seven (7) months is due to an outage during 1998 which extended the operating cycle of the core so that the next refueling outage is now scheduled for June of 2000. The previous refueling outage concluded in June of 1997. Without this one-time extension, an otherwise unnecessary plant outage would be required to perform these surveillances.

The evaluations of acceptability of the requested surveillance test interval extensions are contained in the enclosed Safety Assessments. Past tests have been evaluated, and the results of these evaluations support the one time extension. Although some specific problems were identified, none of these had any significant impact on safety or protection of the core. These tests are designated as refueling shutdown tests since they cannot be performed with the unit at power for one or more of the following reasons: the test process exposes personnel to unnecessary radiation, heat, or safety hazards; Technical

1001

Specifications limit the number of components or time available for testing and subsequent recovery; the test process would interfere with unit operation, result in inaccurate test results or be hazardous to safe nuclear operation (e.g. Unit Trip, breach of coolant systems, etc.). Therefore, without this one-time extension, an otherwise unnecessary outage of the unit would be required to perform the testing.

Transmitted herewith are the proposed Revised Technical Specification Pages (Attachment A), Safety Assessments (Attachments B through Q), and Summary of the Proposed Change (Attachment R).

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. John McCann, Manager, Nuclear Safety and Licensing.

Very truly yours,

A- alan Buid

Mr. Hubert J. Miller Regional Administrator - Region I US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Jefferey F. Harold, Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects I/II US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 14B-2 Washington, DC 20555

Senior Resident Inspector US Nuclear Regulatory Commission PO Box 38 Buchanan, NY 10511

cc: