(7-77) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT CONTROL BLOCK: (1)(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE) REQUIRED INFORMATION) 0 0 0 0 0 _ (5) $\frac{1}{30}4$ (2)LICENSE NUMBER CON'T 0101 01218 REPORT |8|10 1 (6) SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10) WHILE AT COLD SHUTDOWN, ACCUMULATOR LEVEL TRANSMITTER CALIBRATION TEST 0 2 (3PC-R16A) INDICATED THAT TWO OF THE EIGHT LEVEL TRANSMITTERS WERE 0 3 OUTSIDE OF THE PROCEDURAL LIMITS IN THE NONCONSERVATIVE DIRECTION: 0 4 TRANSMITTERS LT934B AND LT935B INDICATED HIGH ON A LOW LEVEL TEST SIGNAL 0 5 BY 9.5 AND 2.5 PERCENT, RESPECTIVELY. UNDER THESE CONDITIONS THE 0 6 POTENTIALITY EXISTS FOR OPERATING WITH THE ACCUMULATOR WATER VOLUME 0 7 OUTSIDE THE LIMITS SET BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.3.A.3.C. 0 8 80 COMP. SYSTEM CAUSE CODE CAUSE VALVE SUBCODE CODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE E (12) E (13) R U (14) (15 J Т Z (16) 9 (11) 13 18 OCCURRENCE REVISION SEQUENTIAL REPORT LER/RO EVENT YEAR CODE REPORT NO. TYPE NC. 0 (17) REPORT 8 0 11 4 0|3 L р NUMBER 31 32 26 27 28 22 29 30 COMPONENT ACTION FUTURE TAKEN ACTION NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. EFFECT SHUTDOWN METHOD ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED HOURS (22) FORM SUB. ON PLANT SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER <u>N</u>24 Z](19 Z (20) Z 0 10 0 Y 23 N R 3 6 .9 E (21) (25) (18)L J(26) CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) THE ACCUMULATOR LEVEL TRANSMITTERS (ROSEMOUNT, MODEL 1151 DP) WERE 1 0 IMMEDIATELY RESET TO WITHIN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS AND 1 1 RETURNED TO SERVICE. THE CAUSE OF THIS INCIDENT WAS DETERMINED TO BE 1 2 INSTRUMENT DRIFT. SIMILAR EVENTS OCCURRED ON JULY 5, 1978 (LER -78 3 AND NOVEMBER 27, 015/03L-0) 1979(LER 79-015/01T-0). 1 4 80 8 9 METHOD OF DISCOVERY FACILITY STATUS OTHER STATUS SURVEILLANCE TEST O O O i N A (28) (31) 5 10 44 45 80 13 46 CONTENT ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY Ľ NA L (33) 6 80 11 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES DESCRIPTION (39) NUMBER TYPE 010 (38)| N A Z 11 12 80 PERSONNEL INJURIES DESCRIPTION(41) NUMBER 010 (40) | N A 8 80 12 9 11 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) DESCRIPTION тұре L (42) ΝA 9 10 80 PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY DESCRIPTION (45) 8012010 SUED 415 (44) NA N 0 80 69 68 10 NAME OF PREPARER FLOYD W. GUMBLE 914-739-8200 PHONE:_

Docket No. 50-286 LER 80-014/03L-0 Power Authority of the State of New York

The plant was in the cold shutdown condition.

On October 25, 1980, performance of the Accumulator Level Transmitter Calibration Test (3PC-R16A) indicated that two of the eight level transmitters were indicating non-conservative values on a trip signal.

Technical Specification 3.3.A.3.c. requires a minimum of 800 and a maximum of 815 cubic feet of water in each accumulator. These limits, when converted to percent of span are read as 33.5 and 51.1 percent, respectively. The level transmitters, which have a tolerance of $\pm 2\%$, are tested by submitting them to input signals at the low and high trip setpoints and observing the resultant indications.

The following results were observed:

	Input		Indication		Difference	
Transmitter	Signal	Percent	<u>Cu.Ft.</u>	Percent	<u>Cu.Ft.</u>	
LT934B	33.5% (Low)	43.0	808	9.5	8.0	
LT935B	33.5% (Low)	36.0	802	2.5	2.0	

In order to be conservative, the transmitters must read less than or equal to 33.5 percent for a low level signal. It can be seen from the above table that the transmitters were out of specification by 9.5 and 2.5 percent.

The accumulator level transmitters (Rosemount, Model 1151 DP) were immediately reset to within Technical Specification requirements and returned to service. The cause of the incident was determined to be instrument drift.

Similar events occurred on July 5, 1978 (LER 78-015/03L-0) and November 27, 1979 (LER 79015/01T0).