



Department of Energy
Washington, D.C. 20545

APR 27 1982

Mr. William Dircks
Executive Director for Operations
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Dircks:

The enclosed correspondence has been directed to the Department of Energy.
The contents indicate that a reply should be made appropriately by the
Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Accordingly, we are forwarding a copy to you
for consideration, along with a copy of our reply.

Sincerely,

Howard H. Rohm, Acting Director
Plans and Analysis Division
Office of Nuclear Energy

2 Enclosures

Rec'd Off. EDO
Date..... 4/30/82
Time... 8:15 A

DESIGNATED ORIGINAL
Certified By CS Parrish

8206010050 820512
PDR ADOCK 05000247
H PDR

9. 4/16/82
DOE

GURRAN OIL COMPANY, INC.

MAIN STREET, GRASSY POINT
STONY POINT, N. Y. 10980

(914) 786-2766-67 OR 352-1424

March 31, 1982

President Ronald Reagan
Pennsylvania Avenue
White House
Washington, D.C. 20000

Dear Mr. President:

Please take a few minutes to read this.

Please save our lives and stop your propoganda campaign for Nuclear Energy. All of our employees and I live across the majestic Hudson River, most of us about one mile from Indian Point Nuclear Power Plants.

The three units are constantly breaking down. Just last week, March 25, 1982, there was a radiation leak. We live in fear of a real disaster, as the units are over the Ramapo (earthquake) fault which has been active for many years. Only last week we had two tremors.

We are in the NYS metropolitan area, and the most heavily populated area near a nuclear plant in the United States.


The authorities have been wasting their time and our tax money on an evacuation plan. It is absolutely useless. In fact, any plan here is useless.

In the event of fallout, it would be chaos, and of course, too late, as most of the breakdowns have not been reported promptly at any plants.

Please reconsider your propoganda plan. There are less expensive, reliable and safer means for energy than nuclear.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,



Mildred Gurrán
President

Indian Point unsafe, ex-project chief says

By JON CRAIG
Staff Writer

Indian Point is "operated by people who at best could be called below average. I wouldn't have them come in and repair my toilet," said Robert Pollard, former Nuclear Regulatory Commission project manager of Buchanan's Unit 3 nuclear reactor.

Pollard resigned from the NRC in 1976 in protest over what he believed were hazardous conditions at the power plant and has since become one of the nation's foremost critics of nuclear energy. He spoke before more than 300 residents at the Briarcliff Middle School Tuesday night.

The session was sponsored by the Kitchawan branch of the Sound Hudson Against Atomic Development, or SHAD Alliance, and endorsed by more than a dozen local organizations.

"Indian Point is unsafe," said Pollard, now nuclear safety engineer with the Union of Concerned Scientists. "It is the worst site. The consequences are far worse than any other site."

Pollard could be called a Paul Revere of the 20th Century because he "has sounded the warning," said Alan Latman

Ailing Buchanan 3 may reopen

By ED TRAPASSO
Staff Writer

A repair program proposed by the state Power Authority for its Indian Point 3 nuclear power plant could mean the ailing plant will be ready to open by mid-November.

But that depends on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, which must approve PASNY's plan for long- and short-term repairs for faulty heat transfer tubing inside the plant's steam generators.

of Croton, the evening's moderator. If the NRC refuses to do anything about the problems at Indian Point, Pollard said, it clearly will not do anything at any other nuclear power plants around the nation until an accident happens.

"If you don't care how many safety problems there are at Indian Point, Con Ed will continue to operate the plant (Unit 2) and assure all is well," he said. The Power Authority of the State of New York runs Unit 3; Unit 1 is being dismantled. "The probability that the NRC will close Indian Point is in direct proportion to public response," Pollard added. "They (the power plants) are a hazard to your health."

"Many problems have grown in their seriousness and other safety problems have been discovered," Pollard said. He cited the alleged lack of protection from fire, inadequate electrical equipment, potential for an eruption of reactor vessels, cracks and resulting turbine missiles, corroding steam generators, ensuing leaks of radioactive water and thus inoperable emergency cooling systems as some of the hazards at today's power plants.

A PASNY spokesman said the repair program would not affect the safe operation of the plant.

PASNY's plant has been out of service since Sept. 4 when it was closed for routine maintenance and the replacement of an electrical transformer.

The plant was scheduled to begin operation earlier this month. But the resumption of service was stalled when a small leak was discovered in one of 13,000 steam generator tubes during tests routinely conducted when the plant is restarted.

about \$900,000. The Journal News (Please turn to back page)

Small radioactive leak shuts Indian Point 3

The Indian Point 3 nuclear plant was removed from service early today after a small amount of radioactivity escaped into the atmosphere from one of the unit's four steam generators.

The escape of radioactivity was stopped within two hours of its discovery by plant personnel.

According to information officer Jack Brumfield, "A small amount of radioactivity, well below (allowable) operating limits, was released into the atmosphere over a period of about two hours late Wednesday night."

"The radioactive release was estimated at two onethousandths of a

millirem. Normal daily background radiation exposure of an individual living in the area is about three-tenths of one millirem, about 150 times greater," he said. "Exposure from a medical X-ray amounts to about 20 or 30 millirems."

The plant, operated by the Power Authority of the State of New York, had been scheduled to be removed from service for four months beginning next weekend for refueling, inspection, maintenance and repairs.

It is a 965-megawatt plant, which went into commercial operation in 1976. PASNY is considered a whole-

(Please turn to back page)

Indian Point 2 back in service

Con Edison restored its Indian Point 2 nuclear power plant to service Tuesday after repairing a reactor coolant pump seal, the company said.

The utility shut the Buchanan unit Nov. 11 to repair the seal after the flow of water through it had increased to above-normal levels, according to Con Ed spokeswoman Laurie Hanson.

No radioactivity was released because of the malfunctioning seal, Hanson said.

The neighboring Indian Point 3 plant, owned by the Power Authority of the State of New York, was shut down Monday — the second time in two days — when a motor in a reactor coolant pump short-circuited. PASNY spokesman Stephen Schoenholz said the plant probably would be out of service for two to three weeks.

Coolant pumps carry water to be heated in the reactor and then to steam generators, where its heat is transferred to water in a second, closed system. That water is converted to steam, which drives a turbine generator to produce electricity.

11/25/81

Monday

3/30

Journal news

County begins effort to recoup nuke drill costs

By KEVIN McCOY
Staff Writer

A move to recover \$30,000 in county time and manpower costs spent on the March 3 Indian Point nuclear evacuation drill won its first official backing from Rockland lawmakers Monday night.

By a 4-0 vote, the county Multi-Services Committee recommended that the full legislature seek reimbursement from the state or the utilities that own the Indian Point nuclear power plants, and refuse to participate in future drills unless payment for future county costs is guaranteed.

"Certainly the drills are necessary. We do have the Indian Point plants there, sitting like a cloud over us and we have to be prepared for any kind of emergency," said Ramapo Democrat

Edward Gorman, who proposed the resolution. "But I also feel very strongly that Rockland County should be reimbursed for those dollars."

Gorman said the money would cover the work lost by allowing employees from eight county departments to prepare for the drill and participate in the day-long test, a mock disaster staged to comply with federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requirements.

The Rockland Health Department, with estimated costs of \$15,702 for more than 740 hours of preparation and drill participation, headed the expense list submitted by the participating county agencies.

The Office of Emergency Services, headed by county Fire Coordinator Donald Hastings, ran up an estimated cost of \$5,085,

Hole found in generator

3/30/82

A hole was discovered this weekend in the shell of one of four steam generators at the Indian Point Unit 3 nuclear power plant by workers conducting inspections of equipment there.

The plant had been removed from service last week — two days before a scheduled shutdown — after a "pinhead-size" tube leak in the No. 33 steam net allowed a small amount of radioactivity to escape into the atmosphere.

The discovery of the hole was announced Monday. Also Monday, the Power Authority of the State of New York began tests on the 23 nuclear emergency warning sirens that failed during a recent drill at Indian Point 3.

Jack Brumfield, information officer for PASNY, which owns and operates Unit 3, said the oval-shaped hole was not connected with last week's radioactive leak, but may have occurred late Thursday as the plant was being brought to a cold shutdown following the leak.

— JON CRAIG

and county Department of Social Services Commissioner Noah Weinberg estimated his agency's cost at \$3,140.

Fran Berger, the county's administrative coordinator for the drill, recently predicted that Rockland, the only county

seeking reimbursement for personnel costs incurred by planning and carrying out the drill, would probably fail. The state is expected to give Rockland, Orange, Westchester and Putnam Counties, all of which participated in the drill, a share of \$600,000 received from the New York Power Authority and Consolidated Edison Edison, the Indian Point plant owners. But the money, she said, will almost certainly be designated for improved emergency communication, not manpower expenses.

Gorman acknowledged the reimbursement fight would probably be difficult. County officials have also noted that the utilities may also point to donations of \$40,000 in communication equipment, including a permanent telephone "hot line" between the plants and

the county's Fire Training Headquarters in Pomona, as a rationale for refusing the reimbursement request.

Still, Multi-Service Committee members unanimously urged that the county try to get the money. Their recommendation, if approved by the legislature Ways and Means Committee and the full Rockland Legislature, would also allow the county to pursue legal action if the county's payment request were to be denied.

"We're not getting any benefit from those plants, we don't get any electricity from them at all," Gorman argued. "So we should get our money back for the personnel we had participating in the drill. Those guys who were out on the drill, they should have been servicing the people of Rockland County as they do on a normal day."

Journal News 11/2/81
Rockland Co & Westchester Co NY

Citizens foot bill for nuke accident

WASHINGTON (AP) — No matter who you are and no matter how far you live from Harrisburg, Pa., you'll be helping foot the bill for cleaning up after the billion-dollar nuclear accident at Three Mile Island.

The accident happened March 28, 1979, and the plant still sits — idle and dangerous — with eight feet of radioactive water on the floor.

Even after it's decontaminated, the damaged reactor may never produce electricity again.

Whether the cleanup money comes from utility bills, stock dividends, taxes or — as is likely — a combination of the three, all Americans will be dunned for decontamination costs.

Nuke errors known in '74, scientists say

Washington (News Bureau) — The Nuclear Regulatory Commission knew five years ago about the mistaken calculations that this week caused it to shut five atomic power plants. The Union of Concerned Scientists yesterday told the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works.

The union charged that the regulatory commission "was grossly negligent in licensing these plants" when documents in its files showed that certain stress calculations "were in error." The union obtained the document under the freedom of information act.

Monday 3/30 Journal News
County begins effort to recoup nuke drill costs

By KEVIN McCOY
Staff Writer

A move to recover \$30,000 in county time and manpower costs spent on the March 3 Indian Point nuclear evacuation drill won its first official backing from Rockland lawmakers Monday night.

By a 4-0 vote, the county Multi-Services Committee recommended that the full legislature seek reimbursement from the state or the utilities that own the Indian Point nuclear power plants, and refuse to participate in future drills unless payment for future county costs is guaranteed.

"Certainly the drills are necessary. We do have the Indian Point plants there, sitting like a cloud over us and we have to be prepared for any kind of emergency," said Ramapo Democrat

Edward Gorman, who proposed the resolution. "But I also feel very strongly that Rockland County should be reimbursed for those dollars."

Gorman said the money would cover the work lost by allowing employees from eight county departments to prepare for the drill and participate in the day-long test, a mock disaster staged to comply with federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requirements.

The Rockland Health Department, with estimated costs of \$15,702 for more than 740 hours of preparation and drill participation, headed the expense list submitted by the participating county agencies.

The Office of Emergency Services, headed by county Fire Coordinator Donald Hastings, ran up an estimated cost of \$5,085,

3/30/82
Hole found in generator

A hole was discovered this weekend in the shell of one of four steam generators at the Indian Point Unit 3 nuclear power plant by workers conducting inspections of equipment there.

The plant had been removed from service last week — two days before a scheduled shutdown — after a "pinhead-size" tube leak in the No. 33 steam net allowed a small amount of radioactivity to escape into the atmosphere.

The discovery of the hole was announced Monday. Also Monday, the Power Authority of the State of New York began tests on the 23 nuclear emergency warning sirens that failed during a recent drill at Indian Point 3.

Jack Brumfield, information officer for PASNY, which owns and operates Unit 3, said the oval-shaped hole was not connected with last week's radioactive leak, but may have occurred late Thursday as the plant was being brought to a cold shutdown following the leak.
— JON CRAIG

and county Department of Social Services Commissioner Noah Weinberg estimated his agency's cost at \$3,140.

Fran Berger, the county's administrative coordinator for the drill, recently predicted that Rockland, the only county

seeking reimbursement for personnel costs incurred by planning and carrying out the drill, would probably fail. The state is expected to give Rockland, Orange, Westchester and Putnam Counties, all of which participated in the drill, a share of \$600,000 received from the New York Power Authority and Consolidated Edison Edison, the Indian Point plant owners. But the money, she said, will almost certainly be designated for improved emergency communication, not manpower expenses.

Gorman acknowledged the reimbursement fight would probably be difficult.

County officials have also noted that the utilities may also point to donations of \$40,000 in communication equipment, including a permanent telephone "hot line" between the plants and

the county's Fire Training Headquarters in Pomona, as a rationale for refusing the reimbursement request.

Still, Multi-Service Committee members unanimously urged that the county try to get the money. Their recommendation, if approved by the legislature Ways and Means Committee and the full Rockland Legislature, would also allow the county to pursue legal action if the county's payment request were to be denied.

"We're not getting any benefit from those plants, we don't get any electricity from them at all," Gorman argued. "So we should get our money back for the personnel we had participating in the drill. Those guys who were out on the drill, they should have been servicing the people of Rockland County as they do on a normal day."

Journal News 11/2/81
Rockland Co & Westchester Co NY
Citizens foot bill for nuke accident

WASHINGTON (AP) — No matter who you are and no matter how far you live from Harrisburg, Pa., you'll be helping foot the bill for cleaning up after the billion-dollar nuclear accident at Three Mile Island.

The accident happened March 28, 1979, and the plant still sits — idle and dangerous — with eight feet of radioactive water on the floor.

Even after it's decontaminated, the damaged reactor may never produce electricity again.

Whether the cleanup money comes from utility bills, stock dividends, taxes or — as is likely — a combination of the three, all Americans will be dunned for decontamination costs.

Nuke errors known in '74, scientists say

Washington (News Bureau) — The Nuclear Regulatory Commission knew five years ago about the mistaken calculations that this week caused it to shut five atomic power plants. The Union of Concerned Scientists yesterday told the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works.

The union charged that the regulatory commission "was grossly negligent in licensing these plants" when documents in its files showed that certain stress calculations "were in error." The union obtained the document under the freedom of information act.



Department of Energy
Washington, D.C. 20545

APR 27 1982

Ms. Mildred Gurrán
Gurrán Oil Company, Inc.
Main Street, Grassy Point
Stony Point, New York 10980

Dear Ms. Gurrán:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of March 31, 1982, to President Reagan opposing further development of nuclear energy. On behalf of President Reagan, permit me to provide certain alternative viewpoints for your consideration.

Historically, nuclear energy was developed in the United States for military purposes during World War II. Following the war, a broader range of applications for the uniquely concentrated energy source represented by fissionable uranium was developed jointly by the Government and industry. In 1954, the Atomic Energy Act was passed by Congress "to encourage widespread participation in development and utilization of atomic energy." As a result, prototype nuclear-electric generating stations were built and evaluated carefully for their technical and economic potential. After 25 years of further industrialization, the United States has in operation 75 licensed reactors economically producing nearly 12 percent of the Nation's electrical energy, and employing about 200,000 workers in the nuclear industry.

The importance of nuclear power to our domestic economic growth can be judged from the fact that in 1980, for example, the national average generation cost was about 2.3 cents per kilowatt-hour for both coal and nuclear powerplants. Nuclear is less expensive than coal in some regions (East and West North Central) and more expensive in other regions, but is significantly lower in cost than oil-based electricity. In 1981, nuclear powerplants economically produced 285 billion kilowatt-hours of electricity.

All aspects of this Nation's nuclear energy programs are extensively monitored from the point of view of safety. This includes not only the steps in providing nuclear fuel and producing electrical energy by nuclear means but also the safe storage of radioactive wastes. Nuclear safety has been a paramount consideration from the earliest days of the nuclear program and continues to guide our actions today. This attention and care has resulted in an outstanding safety record for nuclear power in the United States--no deaths or discernible injuries to members of the general public in nearly 25 years of operation.

As our presently widely used, but increasingly limited, petroleum and natural gas resources become exhausted, the nuclear contribution is expected to continue to grow, providing safe, economic electrical power in the decades ahead. If the nuclear contribution is to extend into the next century, then we need advanced reactor facilities capable of utilizing our entire uranium resource base, or creating more fuel than they use. It is to preserve this option, that the Department of Energy is continuing the research and development of the breeder reactor.

Inasmuch as a substantial portion of your letter relates to detailed operational aspects of the Indian Point generating station, I have taken the liberty of forwarding a copy of your letter and the enclosed newspaper clippings to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for consideration and reply.

NRC
Response

Furthermore, since you also expressed concern with the local evacuation plan, I have forwarded a copy of your letter and enclosures to the Federal Emergency Management Agency for appropriate action.

A concerned citizenry is a vital ingredient of effective government. Policy decisions should consider a wide range of attitudes and opinions. Your contribution to this process is appreciated.

Sincerely,


Howard H. Rohm, Acting Director
Plans and Analysis Division
Office of Nuclear Energy

2 Enclosures

cc:
William Dircks, NRC
Louis Giuffrida, FEMA

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
Docket
ORB#1 Rdg
CParrish
JHannon
JThoma

May 12, 1982

Docket No. 50-247/286

SEE ATTACHED LSST

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INCL (Unit 2, Indian Point)
POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Units 3, Indian Point)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: **See attached list**
- _____

CParrish
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

cc:

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL <i>CP</i>				
SURNAME	CParrish:ds				
DATE	05/11/82				

cc: Chief
Division of Ecological Services
Bureau of Sport Fisheries & Wildlife
U. S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D. C. 20240

Director
National Oceanographic Data Center
Environmental Data Service
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration
U. S. Department of Commerce
Washington, D. C. 20235

Dr. William B. Stroube, Jr.
FDA Research Chemist
National Bureau of Standards
Reactor Bldg. 235, Rm. B-108
Washington, D.C. 20234

Director, Criteria and Standards Division
Office of Radiation Programs (ANR-460)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D. C. 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region II Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Dr. H. E. Zittel
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Post Office Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC
POWER AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK
Indian Point Units 2 and 3
Docket Nos. 50-247/286

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

1. Monthly Operating Rept for Jul 1981 (8204190189)
2. Monthly Operating Rept for Oct 1981 (8204190253)
3. Monthly Operating Rept for Dec 1981 (8204190286)
4. Monthly Operating Rept for Feb 1982 (8204150098)
5. Monthly Operating Rept for Feb 1982 (8204150248)
6. Monthly Operating Rept for Mar 1982 (8204270303)

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
 Docket w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdg
 CParrish w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.

May 6, 1982

Docket No. 50-247SP
 50-286SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building 2 - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY (Indian Point Unit 2)
 Power Authority of the State of New York (INDIAN Point Unit 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: April 23, 1982 Memorandum and Order and April 26,
1982 Memorandum and Order *CParrish*

Division of Licensing
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE ▶	ORB#1:D1 <i>CP</i>				
SURNAME ▶	CParrish:ds				
DATE ▶	05/6/82				

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
 Docket w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdgw/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.

April 16, 1982

Docket No. 50-247 SP
 50-286 SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building 2 - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. (Indian Point Unit 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point Unit 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: **See attached list**
- _____



Division of Licensing
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE ▶	ORB#1: DL <i>CP</i>				
SURNAME ▶	CParrish:ds				
DATE ▶	04/16/82				

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
 Docket
 ORB#1 Rdg
 CParrish
 JHannon
 JThoma

April 15, 1982

Docket No. 50-247/286

SEE ATTACHED LIST

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK (Indian Point Unit 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point Unit 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: See attached list
- _____

CParrish

Division of Licensing
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE ▶	ORB#1:DL <i>cp</i>				
SURNAME ▶	CParrish:ds				
DATE ▶	04/15/82				

cc: Chief
Division of Ecological Services
Bureau of Sport Fisheries & Wildlife
U. S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D. C. 20240

Director
National Oceanographic Data Center
Environmental Data Service
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration
U. S. Department of Commerce
Washington, D. C. 20235

Dr. William B. Stroube, Jr.
FDA Research Chemist
National Bureau of Standards
Reactor Bldg. 235, Rm. B-108
Washington, D.C. 20234

Director, Criteria and Standards Division
Office of Radiation Programs (ANR-460)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D. C. 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region II Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Dr. H. E. Zittel
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Post Office Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
Power Authority of the State of New York
Indian Point Units 2 and 3
Docket Nos. 50-247/286

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

1. Monthly Operating rept for Mar 1981 (8204070070)
2. Monthly Operating rept for Jul 1981 (8204070077)
3. Monthly Operating rept for Aug 1981 (8203310463)
4. Monthly Operating rept for Sept 1981 (820331045)
5. Monthly Operating Rept for Jan 1982 (8203190379) Unit 3
6. Monthly Operating Rept for Jan 1982 (820405001) Unit 2
7. Table 2A, "Liquid Effluents....." for inclusion in semiannual effluent & waste disposal rept for Jul-Dec 1981 (8203250223)

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

April 5, 1982

DISTRIBUTION
 Docket w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdg w/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.

Docket No. 50-247 SP
 50-286 SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY (Indian Point Unit Nos 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point Unit No. 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____
- Other: _____
- See Attached List *CParrish*

Division of Licensing
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE ▶	ORB #1: DL <i>CP</i>				
SURNAME ▶	CParrish:ds				
DATE ▶	04/0/82				

Consolidated Edison Company of New York
Power Authority of the State of New York
Indian Point Unit Nos. 2 and 3
Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

1. March 10, 1982 Memorandum and Order (Approving Stipulation and Requesting Information)
2. March 23, 1982 Memorandum and Order (Scheduling Second Special Prehearing Conference)
3. March 29, 1982 Memorandum and Order (Ruling on Licensee's Motion for Stay of Commission's Orders of January 8, 1981 and September 9, 1981)

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
 Docket w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdg w/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.

March 19, 1982

Docket No. 50-247/286

SEE ATTACHED LIST

Subject: **CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. (Indian Point Unit 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point Unit 3)**

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: Summary rept of Personnel radiation exposure, Jan-Dec 1981;
- "Effluent & Waste Dis. Semiannual Rept, Jul-Dec 1981; 1981 annual milch survey"

CParrish
Division of Licensing
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL <i>cp</i>				
SURNAME	CParrish:ds				
DATE	03/19/82				

cc: Chief
Division of Ecological Services
Bureau of Sport Fisheries & Wildlife
U. S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D. C. 20240

Director
National Oceanographic Data Center
Environmental Data Service
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration
U. S. Department of Commerce
Washington, D. C. 20235

Dr. William B. Stroube, Jr.
FDA Research Chemist
National Bureau of Standards
Reactor Bldg. 235, Rm. B-108
Washington, D.C. 20234

Director, Criteria and Standards Division
Office of Radiation Programs (ANR-460)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D. C. 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region II Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Dr. H. E. Zittel
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Post Office Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

March 11, 1982

DISTRIBUTION
 Docket w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdg w/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.

Docket No. 50-247SP
 50-286SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building 2 - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INCL (Indian Point 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: March 4, 1982 Order
- _____

CParrish
 Division of Licensing
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL CP				
SURNAME	CParrish:ds				
DATE	03/11/82				

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
 Dockets w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdgw/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.

March 4, 1982

Docket No. 50-247SP
 50-286SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building 2 - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. (Indian Point Unit 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: March 2, 1982 Order; Memorandum & Order (Denying in Part & Granting
Licensees' Application for a Stay, Certification & Referral)

CParrish
 Division of Licensing, ORB#1
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE ▶	ORB#1:DL				
SURNAME ▶	CParrish:ds				
DATE ▶	03/ 9/82				

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION
 Dockets w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdg w/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.

March 4, 1982

Docket No. 50-247SP
 50-286SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building 2 - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY (Indian Point Unit 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____
- Other: March 1, 1982 Memorandum and Order (Granting UCS/NYPIRC
- Motion for Discovery & Staff Motion for Approval of Stipulation)

CParrish
 Division of Licensing, ORB#1
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL <i>CP</i>				
SURNAME	CParrish:ds				
DATE	03/8/82				

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

February 25, 1982

DISTRIBUTION
 Dockets w/o encl.
 ORB#1 Rdg w/o encl.
 CParrish w/encl.
 JHannon w/encl.
 JThoma w/encl.

Docket No. 50-247SP and
 50-286SP

Director, Technical Development Programs
 State of New York Energy Office
 Agency Building 2 - Empire State Plaza
 Albany, New York 12223

Subject: CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. (Indian Point Unit 2)
 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK (Indian Point Unit 3)

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility
 are transmitted for your information:

- Notice of Receipt of Application.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Vol. _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR, dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. DPR- _____, NPF- _____, dated _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to CPPR- _____ or DRR- _____, dated _____.
- Other: February 22, 1982 Memorandum and Order
- _____
 (Amending Minimal Service List)

CParrish
 Division of Licensing, ORB#1
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
 As stated

cc:

OFFICE	ORB#1:DL <i>CP</i>				
SURNAME	CParrish:ds				
DATE	02/25/82				