Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Indian Point Station Broadway & Bleakley Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511 Telephone (914) 737-8116

March 14, 1991

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-247 LER 91-04-00

Document Control Desk US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

The attached Licensee Event Report LER 91-04-00 is hereby submitted as a voluntary submittal of information of interest to the NRC.

Very truly yours,

Attachment

cc: Mr. Thomas T. Martin
Regional Administrator - Region I
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Francis J. Williams, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects I/II US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 14B-2 Washington, DC 20555

Senior Resident Inspector US Nuclear Regulatory Commission PO Box 38 Buchanan, NY 10511 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

APPROVED OMB-NO:3150-0104

EXPIRES: 4/30/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILIT	Y NAME (1)								inc	OCKET NUMBER	(2)	PAGE (3)		
Indian Point Unit No. 2							1.00	100				10121417			
TITLE (4)										- 10	19 0 0	10/2 17 1/	TILOFICIA		
Damaged Hold-Down Bolts for Polar Crane Rail															
EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6)							REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER				REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBER(S)							
									0 5 0				0 0 1 1		
0 2	1 2	9 1	9 1	0 0 4	0 0	0 3	1 4	9 1			•	0 5 0 0			
OPE	RATING		THIS R	EPORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUANT 1	O THE R	LQUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR 8: /	Check one or more of	the following) (1				
. мс	DDE (9)	N	20.402(b)			20,405(c)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)			73,71(b)			
POWE		,	20	20.405(a)(1)(i)			50,36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)			
LEVE (10)		0,0	20),405(a) (1) (ii)	. –	50.38(c)	(2)		-	50,73(a)(2)(vii)		⊢ ⊣			
***************************************			20).405(a)(1)(iii)	•	50.73(a)		·· ,		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)		below and	pecify in Abstract in Text, NRC Form		
			20	20.405(a)(1)(iv)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			366A)		
			1	.405(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)			· . —	•					
			<u> </u>					FOR THIS	155 (60)	50.73(a)(2)(x)	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>		
NAME						ICENSEE	CONTACT	FUR THIS	LEH (12)			75. 55			
				•					TELEPHONE NUMBER						
Joan F. Etzweiler											5				
			·	COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH CO	MPONEN	TFAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPORT	(13)				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	СОМЕ	ONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			
В	L R	Clo	l NI	x101010	N										
		. 1.]					-	1.		1 1 1				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) MONTH DAY YEAR															
						• 1					EXPECTE SUBMISSION	D ——	DAT TEAR		
				SUBMISSION DATE			NO				DATE (15		5 3 1 9 1		
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)															

On February 12, 1991, while the plant was shutdown for a refueling outage, some of the bolts that secure the containment building polar crane rail to the concrete support wall were determined to be damaged. 44 out of the 130 bolts in one quadrant were effected. Preliminary failure analysis indicates that the damage was very likely initiated upon original installation during plant construction, in 1967-68. Based on the NUREG 0612 analysis, sufficient margin existed such that with the reduced bolt configuration, original acceptance criteria were Nevertheless, repairs were made before a heavy load lift was made. Additional root cause analysis is underway.

**APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION-REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

					2.5	•	* * * .		
FACILITY NAME (1)				DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	_
			•		YEAF	SEQUENTIA NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		_
Indian Point	Unit No	. 2	•	0 5 0 0 0 2 4 7	9 1	0 10 14	0 10	0 12 OF 01	3
TEXT ///				 		<u></u>		<u> </u>	

Ext (iii more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 300A'S) ((1))

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION:

Westinghouse 4-Loop Pressurized Water Reactor

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:

Damaged hold-down bolts for containment polar crane rail.

EVENT DATE:

February 12, 1991

REPORT DUE DATE:

March 14, 1991

REFERENCES:

Open Item Report 91-02-075

PAST SIMILAR OCCURRENCE:

None

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE:

Subsequent to plant shutdown for a refueling outage, personnel were performing an inspection of the containment building polar crane components during scheduled work on the trolley beam end connections. During this inspection, it was determined that certain bolts intended to fasten the polar crane rail to the supporting concrete were loose. Consequently, snug tightening, using an open end box wrench, was attempted on all 648 bolts. As a result, 44 bolts, all located within one quadrant, were discovered to have damage. The damaged bolts showed evidence of corrosion and in most cases fractured heads. In addition to the bolts which fasten the rail to the concrete, there are also bolts for the rail clamp. None of these rail clamp bolts were found to be damaged.

Additionally, ultrasonic testing (UT) was performed on approximately 130 bolts sampled at five foot intervals along the entire circumference. Based on the tightening and the UT, the other 86 bolts in the affected quadrant, the 518 bolts in the other quadrants, and all the bolts securing the rail clamps were determined to be sound.

NRC	FORM	366A
16 00	١	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)					DOCKE	T NUMBER (2)	LER	NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		,		·. · .				QUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	
Indian Point	Unit No.	. 2			0 5	0 0 0 2 4 7	9 11 -	a a 4 — a a	0 3 OF 0 3
TEXT (If more space is required	use additional N	RC Form 3664's)	(17)		-		 	-1-1-1-1-1	1 31 1 013

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:

Based on the loading requirements in the NUREG 0612 analysis, we believe that sufficient margin exists such that the reduced bolt configuration satisfies the original acceptance criteria. Root Cause analysis is still in progress, therefore, a supplemental LER will be provided when the analysis is complete.

CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE:

Based on preliminary visual examination, and some preliminary lab analysis, it is surmised that at least some of the bolts may have failed upon initial installation; subsequently their fracture surfaces became corroded. No causative signs of stress corrosion cracking, fatigue or service overload were observed.

Fracture samples are being tested to aid in root cause analysis. In addition, four full size core samples of apparently sound bolts, including stud, concrete, grout and base plate, will be subjected to chemical testing and mechanical testing including torsional, tensile and Charpy. One sample will be taken from each quadrant. This testing will confirm the adequacy of the undamaged bolts, and also serve as a base for comparison to the damaged bolts, to aid in the root cause analysis. Results will be provided in the supplemental LER submittal.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

As a repair, 33 new bolts were installed in the quadrant with the damaged bolts at selected locations between the damaged bolts. The new bolts are Drillco Maxi-Bolts and are substantially stronger than the original bolts. They were installed by drilling through the existing base plates and concrete, and expanding their anchor systems into place by using a hydraulic torque wrench. A nut and washer is then installed on each bolt and torqued to a specified value. The new bolting configuration was analyzed, with no credit taken for the damaged bolts, and determined to satisfy original design conditions for the rail installation.