

SEP 9 1983

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB 1 File
D. Eisenhut
OELD
E. Jordan
R. Pedersen
D. McDonald
C. Parrish
NSIC
J. Taylor
ACRS (10)

Docket No. 50-247

Mr. John O'Toole, Vice President
Nuclear Engineering and Quality Assurance
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

Dear Mr. O'Toole:

SUBJECT: NUREG-0737, ITEM II.B.1, REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM VENTS - INDIAN
POINT UNIT 2

By letters dated December 31, 1979, and June 2, 1982, Consolidated Edison Company has provided information and details relating to the design of the reactor coolant system vents (RCSV) for Indian Point Unit 2. However, the implementation, schedule and requirement for a pre-implementation review have been superseded by the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55(c)(3)(iii). All operating reactors, in order to provide the improved operational capability required by the rule, must have the RCS vents installed, operational, procedures established and personnel trained in accordance with the schedule provided in the rule, an exemption is necessary if the specific design or scheduler requirements of 10 CFR 50.44(c)(3)(iii) cannot be complied with.

The guidance in NUREG-0737, Item II.B.1, provides an acceptable means of meeting the design requirements of the rule for the RCS vents. Prior to promulgation of the rule, we have reviewed your responses identified above. The enclosed Safety Evaluation (SE) is based on the Technical Evaluation Report (TER) prepared by our consultant, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and additional items which were outside the scope of the TER. The TER is attached to the SE. You will note our evaluation identifies specific items which are being addressed in conjunction with other ongoing NRC actions and areas where deficiencies may exist or confirmation is necessary to assure conformance with the rule.

We are providing the results of our review for your information. In addition, we have provided the information to Region I to assist them, as they deem appropriate, in determining your compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.44(c)(3)(iii). If you have any questions relative to the enclosed SE, please contact Mr. Roger Pedersen, the NRC Project Manager for your facility.

8309270565 830909
PDR ADOCK 05000247
P PDR

OFFICE							
SURNAME							
DATE							

Mr. John O'Toole

- 2 -

We consider NUREG-0737, Item II.B.1, actions to be completed based on the requirements and promulgation of 10 CFR 50.44(c)(3)(iii).

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
S. A. Varga

Steven A. Varga, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:
As stated

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFFICE	ORB 1	ORB 1	ORB 1				
SURNAME	RPderson/rs	DMcDonald	SVarga				
DATE	8/7/83	8/7/83	8/8/83				

REFERENCES

1. Letter, P. Zarakas (Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.) to H. R. Denton (NRC), "Implementation of TMI-2 Lessons Learned Task Force Recommendations," dated December 31, 1979.
2. Letter, P. Zarakas (Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.) to H. R. Denton (NRC), "Supplemental Information Regarding Implementation of TMI-2 Lessons Learned Task Force Recommendations," dated December 15, 1980.
3. Letter, J. D. O'Toole (Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.) to D. G. Eisenhut (NRC), "Commitments Regarding NUREG-0737 'Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements', " dated July 1, 1981.
4. Letter, J. D. O'Toole (Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.) to S. A. Varga (NRC), with Attachment A, Response to February 25, 1982 Request for Additional Information for Indian Point 2," dated June 2, 1982.