

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. 4 Irving Place, New York, NY 10003 Telephone (212) 460-2533

## September 6, 1983

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-247

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

ATTN: Mr. Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing

Dear Mr. Eisenhut:

B309130388 B309 DR ADOCK 05000

This letter is in response to your letter dated July 8, 1983 concerning "Required Actions Based on Generic Implications of Salem ATWS Events" (Generic Letter 83-28). Your letter requests a response providing the status of each licensee's current conformance with the positions contained therein, and plans and schedules for any needed improvements for conformance with the positions by November 4, 1983 unless an extension to the response date is requested and obtained.

A ninety (90) day extension to the November 4, 1983 response date is hereby requested. This extension is necessitated primarily as a result of the recent protracted labor dispute during which most Company management personnel were assigned to operating duties and were thus unavailable for normal asignments. As a result, available manpower resources preclude an adequate response within the timeframe requested in your letter. We also note that your July 8, 1983 letter has never been officially received in this office; we were made aware of it through another source which subsequently forwarded a copy to us. Based on conversations with our Project Manager, we believe the problem associated with NRC mailing of Generic Letters to Con Edison has been resolved.

The ninety day extension requested will permit Con Edison to perform the evaluations called for by Generic Letter 83-28 and to advise NRC of the extent to which we currently conform with the positions therein, our plans and schedules to attain conformance and justification for any item which we may not intend to implement.

We believe the actions taken immediately following the February 25, 1983 Salem event, as indicated in our March 7, 1983 response to IE Bulletin



No. 83-01 "Failure of Reactor Trip Breakers (Westinghouse DB-50) To Open On Automatic Trip Signal" together with the history of reliable reactor trip breaker operation at Indian Point Unit No. 2 provide adequate assurance that Indian Point Unit No. 2 can be operated in the interim without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

Your prompt consideration of this matter would be appreciated. Should you or your staff have any additional questions, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

John D. O'Yoole Vice President

cc:

Mr. Steven A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing