

May 22, 1990

Docket No. 50-247

DISTRIBUTION

Docket file	ACRS (10)
NRC & L PDRs	EJordan
PDI-1 Rdg	RPelton
RCapra	JWermiel
CVogan	
SVarga	
BBoger	
DBrinkman	
JLinville	
OGC	

Mr. Stephen B. Bram
Vice President, Nuclear Power
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
Broadway and Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, New York 10511

Dear Mr. Bram:

SUBJECT: INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION REGARDING REQUALIFICATION PROGRAM DESCRIPTION
(TAC NO. 76185)

By letter dated February 28, 1990, you submitted the Indian Point Unit 2
Requalification Program Description for review and approval by the NRC staff.
Your submittal is being reviewed by the NRC staff. We have identified
additional information that is required to continue our review. The enclosed
Request for Additional Information identifies the information we need for our
evaluation. Please provide this information by June 30, 1990, so that our
review can be completed in a timely manner.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements of this letter affect fewer
than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under PL 96-511.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Donald S. Brinkman, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
Request for Additional
Information

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

OFC	: LA: PDI-1	: PM: PDI-1	: D: PDI-1	:	:	:
NAME	: CVogan	: DBrinkman/Bah	: RCapra	:	:	:
DATE	: 5/22/90	: 5/21/90	: 5/22/90	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
Document Name: RAI 76185

9006040108 900522
FDR ADOCK 05000247
V PDC

A A/3
unb
DF01
111

Mr. Stephen B. Bram
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.

Indian Point Nuclear Generating
Station 1/2

cc:

Mayor, Village of Buchanan
236 Tate Avenue
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Charlie Donaldson, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
New York Department of Law
120 Broadway
New York, New York 10271

Ms. Donna Ross
New York State Energy Office
2 Empire State Plaza
16th Floor
Albany, New York 12223

Mr. Peter Kokolakis, Director
Nuclear Licensing
Power Authority of the State
of New York
123 Main Street
White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. Charles W. Jackson
Manager of Nuclear Safety and
Licensing
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
Broadway and Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Walter Stein
Secretary - NFSC
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place - 1822
New York, New York 10003

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Post Office Box 38
Buchanan, New York 10511

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Brent L. Brandenburg
Assistant General Counsel
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place - 1822
New York, New York 10003

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

REGARDING

LICENSED OPERATOR REQUALIFICATION

TRAINING PROGRAM DESCRIPTION
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
INDIAN POINT UNIT 2
DOCKET NO. 50-247

Generic Letter (GL) 87-07 requested licensees to inform the NRC if their operator retraining programs were accredited by INPO and based on a systems approach to training (SAT). Upon receipt of GL 87-07, provided SAT methodology was used, the licensee could make change to the content of its operator retraining programs without approval from the NRC.

To date, Indian Point Unit 2 (IP2) has not responded to this generic letter. However, the IP2 operator requalification training program submittal does state that a systems approach to training is being used for operator retraining and the NRC has been informed by INPO that the IP2 operator retraining program is accredited. We have, therefore, reviewed the IP2 submittal on this basis.

The following information is requested to determine if the licensee is in conformance with 10 CFR 50.54 and 10 CFR 55.59(a), (b), (c)(1), and (c)(5).

1. Training Program Description, Section VII.1.c refers to a "Requalification OJT Document." What is the Requalification OJT Document? Is it different for each operator? The Requalification OJT Document or the procedure(s) governing the Requalification OJT document needs to be submitted for review. Does it meet the requirements of 10 CFR 55.59(c)(5)?
2. Training Program Description, Section VII.2.b and c. describe how missed training will be handled. What are the actions if missed training is not made up? Is the person who missed training considered unsatisfactory? Will the person be retested before assuming licensed duties?
3. Training Program Description, Section VIII.7.b describes actions to be taken in the event of unsatisfactory performance on an examination or test. What happens to the licensed operator? Will the person be retested before assuming licensed duties?
4. Training Program Description, Section X, Requalification Program Records, does not describe the records maintained to document participation in the requalification program. Describe your licensed operator requalification training records that document participation in the requalification program. Do these records meet the requirements of 10 CFR 55.59(c)(5)?