

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 03/01/10

EDO CONTROL: G20100053
DOC DT: 01/28/10
FINAL REPLY:

Michael Mulligan
Hinsdale, New Hampshire

TO:

NRC Allegation

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO:

Leeds, NRR

DESC:

ROUTING:

2.206 - Palisades Nuclear Power Plant
(EDATS: OEDO-2010-0050)

Borchardt
Virgilio
Mallett
Ash
Mamish
Burns/Rothschild
Satorius, RIII
Burns, OGC
Mensah, NRR
Marco, OGC
Baggett, OEDO

DATE: 01/29/10

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRR

Leeds

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Template: EDO-001

E-RIDS: EDO-01

EDATS

Electronic Document and Action Tracking System

EDATS Number: OEDO-2010-0050

Source: OEDO

General Information

Assigned To: NRR

OEDO Due Date: 3/1/2010

Other Assignees:

SECY Due Date: NONE

Subject: 2.206 - Palisades Nuclear Power Plant

Description:

CC Routing: RegionIII; OGC; Tonya.Mensah@nrc.gov; Catherine.Marco@nrc.gov

ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming: NONE

Response/Package: NONE

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20100053

Staff Initiated: NO

Related Task:

Recurring Item: NO

File Routing: EDATS

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

OEDO Monthly Report Item: NO

Process Information

Action Type: 2.206 Review

Priority: Medium

Signature Level: NRR

Sensitivity: None

Urgency: NO

Approval Level: No Approval Required

OEDO Concurrence: NO

OCM Concurrence: NO

OCA Concurrence: NO

Special Instructions:

Document Information

Originator Name: Michael Mulligan

Date of Incoming: 1/28/2010

Originating Organization: Citizens

Document Received by OEDO Date: 1/29/2010

Addressee: NRC Allegation

Date Response Requested by Originator: 3/1/2010

Incoming Task Received: 2.206

Jaegers, Cathy

From: Michael Mulligan [steamshovel2002@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2010 12:48 PM
To: NRC Allegation
Cc: Kim, James
Subject: Re: 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant

Sorry this supersedes the first one.

Dear Sir,

I request a 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant...indeed the NRC as a whole.

Thanks,

Mike

To whom it may concern:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch-22>

'Catch 22'...A book written by Joseph Heller

"At one point, victims of harassment by military police (MPs) quote the MPs as having explained one of Catch-22's provisions: Catch-22 states that agents enforcing Catch-22 need not prove that Catch-22 actually contains whatever provision the accused violator is accused of violating. An old woman explains: Catch-22 says they have a right to do anything we can't stop them from doing."

Base on current events at Vermont Yankee, there is suspicion that Entergy participated in Vermont Yankee on a plan of widespread deception and falsification over relicensing and other issues at their Vermont Yankee...on the state and federal level, and definitely they falsified conditions to the community. This is happening throughout the Entergy fleet of nuclear plants.

<http://www.rutlandherald.com/article/20100128/NEWS02/1280339/1003/NEWS02>

PSB sees Entergy pattern of deception

By Susan Smallheer STAFF REPORT - Published: January 28, 2010

MONTPELIER – The Vermont Public Service Board said Wednesday Entergy Nuclear may have provided false information to state utility regulators and the Legislature "for an extended period of time," and said the issue is "broader" than just buried radioactive pipes at Vermont Yankee.

James Volz, chairman of the Public Service Board, said it appeared Entergy had given not just false sworn testimony to the board, but also to the Public Service Department, to the state's contractor, Nuclear Safety Associates, the state's Public Oversight Panel, the Legislature and the public.

The controversy over radioactive leaks and Entergy's misinformation erupted almost three weeks ago, when Entergy announced that a groundwater monitoring well had tested positive for tritium, a radioactive isotope. The company quickly launched an investigation to find the source of the radioactivity. The probable source: buried pipes that the company said last year didn't exist. Compounding the problem, Volz said, is that Entergy "did nothing to correct the record."...

It is noted that the 20 year Palisades nuclear relicensing was completed January 18, 2007. The debacle over the swelling and potential reactivity accident at Palisade's old nuclear fuel assemblies and racks began on September 20, 2007....the fuel pool. How could Palisades and the NRC get through relicensing without addressing the swollen fuel racks, identify the problem and correct it immediately before the completion of relicensing? I suspect there is significant NRC, Entergy and Palisades fraud and falsification associated with the relicensing of this nuclear plant.

PALISADES NUCLEAR PLANT NRC INSPECTION REPORT 05000255/2009008; PRELIMINARY WHITE FINDING

In 1988, the licensee first identified that some rack locations were swelling when they were unable to load a fuel bundle into a storage location. The licensee first identified a stuck bundle in 1991 and there are now 11 fuel bundles that are stuck in their current location and 3 more locations that have swollen walls. However, in 1994, the licensee and NUS evaluated the condition and concluded gas generated from irradiation caused the swelling. This conclusion has not been confirmed; therefore, there may be another cause of the rack swelling. The licensee could not show that the effects of the swelling on neutron absorber degradation had ever been evaluated nor that the effects of gas pockets on the criticality analysis had been considered. Until July of 2008, the licensee had not performed testing on the SFP neutron absorption capability. In response to the inspector's concerns, the licensee accelerated testing committed for license extension to determine the neutron absorption capability of the SFP racks. In July of 2008, the testing revealed that the neutron absorber in the SFP racks had deteriorated and the SFP no longer met the TS requirements for Keff in Region I of the SFP. The licensee performed a criticality assessment of the pool and concluded that with 50 percent depletion of the neutron absorber, the pool remained with a Keff of less than 1.00 and with a Keff of less than 0.95 with 150 ppm boron. The licensee committed to additional controls to ensure the SFP remained sub-critical. On September 19, 2008, the NRC issued confirmatory action letter (CAL) RIII-08-003 to Palisades to confirm these commitments. On February 6, 2009, the NRC approved a license amendment for the SFP and the licensee established compliance with the TS. The CAL was closed on February 20, 200

- 1) Indication are this was outed by a disgruntled palisades plant employee and whistleblower...not by the NRC or Entergy itself.
- 2) I request an NRC investigation concerning the events around the swollen fuel racks and relicensing....and that you allowed me to participate in the investigation.
- 3) If deception and falsification at Palisades is similar to Vermont Yankee...I request a immediate shutdown of the plant and that the management team be replaced. I request that its nuclear licensed be removed from Entergy.
- 4) If deception and falsification at Palisades is similar to Vermont Yankee...I request that the NRC deem Entergy as lacking the integrity to safety run a nuclear power plant and they pull the licenses of all Entergy's nuclear power plants.
- 5) There are indication the NRC participated in a cover-up of defective relicensing of Palisades...they have allowed Palisade's through their inspection activities to delay and obfuscate the connections of the relicensing and the swelling of the fuel racks. The NRC should have immediately suspected relicensing fraud and falsification upon Sept 2007...they should have identified the employees and Entergy as falsified and deceivers, then prosecuted them to the full extent of the law. We wouldn't be here with the issues of Vermont Yankee if the NRC did their job.
- 6) I am sorry to say there are widespread suspicions the relicensing of nuclear plants across the nation are collectively riddled with fraud, falsification and deception.

Thanks,

Michael Mulligan
Hinsdale, NH
PO Box 161.

16033368320
e-mail: steamshovel@yahoo.com

From: Michael Mulligan <steamshovel2002@yahoo.com>
To: allegation@nrc.gov
Cc: "Kim, James" <James.Kim@nrc.gov>
Sent: Thu, January 28, 2010 12:24:33 PM
Subject: 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant

Dear Sir,

I request a 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant...indeed the NRC as a whole.

Thanks,

Mike

To whom it may concern:

Base on current events at Vermont Yankee, there is suspicion that Entergy participated in Vermont Yankee on a plan of widespread deception and falsification over relicensing and other issues at their Vermont Yankee...on the state and federal level, and definitely they falsified conditions to the community. This is happening throughout the Entergy fleet of nuclear plants.

<http://www.rutlandherald.com/article/20100128/NEWS02/1280339/1003/NEWS02>

PSB sees Entergy pattern of deception

By **Susan Smallheer** STAFF REPORT - Published: January 28, 2010

MONTPELIER – The Vermont Public Service Board said Wednesday Entergy Nuclear may have provided false information to state utility regulators and the Legislature "for an extended period of time," and said the issue is "broader" than just buried radioactive pipes at Vermont Yankee.

James Volz, chairman of the Public Service Board, said it appeared Entergy had given not just false sworn testimony to the board, but also to the Public Service Department, to the state's contractor, Nuclear Safety Associates, the state's Public Oversight Panel, the Legislature and the public.

The controversy over radioactive leaks and Entergy's misinformation erupted almost three weeks ago, when Entergy announced that a groundwater monitoring well had tested positive for tritium, a radioactive isotope. The company quickly launched an investigation to find the source of the radioactivity. The probable source: buried pipes that the company said last year didn't exist. Compounding the problem, Volz said, is that Entergy "did nothing to correct the record."...

It is noted that the 20 year Palisades nuclear relicensing was completed January 18, 2007. The debacle over the swelling and potential reactivity accident at Palisade's old nuclear fuel assemblies and racks began on September 20, 2007....the fuel pool. How could Palisades and the NRC get through relicensing without addressing the swollen fuel racks, identify the problem and correct it immediately before the completion of relicensing? I suspect there is significant NRC, Entergy and Palisades fraud and falsification associated with the relicensing of this nuclear plant.

PALISADES NUCLEAR PLANT NRC INSPECTION REPORT 05000255/2009008; PRELIMINARY WHITE FINDING

In 1988, the licensee first identified that some rack locations were swelling when they were unable to load a fuel bundle into a storage location. The licensee first identified a stuck bundle in 1991 and there are now 11 fuel bundles that are stuck in their current location and 3 more locations that have swollen walls. However, in 1994, the licensee and NUS evaluated the condition and concluded gas generated from irradiation caused the

swelling. This conclusion has not been confirmed; therefore, there may be another cause of the rack swelling. The licensee could not show that the effects of the swelling on neutron absorber degradation had ever been evaluated nor that the effects of gas pockets on the criticality analysis had been considered. Until July of 2008, the licensee had not performed testing on the SFP neutron absorption capability. In response to the inspector's concerns, the licensee accelerated testing committed for license extension to determine the neutron absorption capability of the SFP racks. In July of 2008, the testing revealed that the neutron absorber in the SFP racks had deteriorated and the SFP no longer met the TS requirements for Keff in Region I of the SFP. The licensee performed a criticality assessment of the pool and concluded that with 50 percent depletion of the neutron absorber, the pool remained with a Keff of less than 1.00 and with a Keff of less than 0.95 with 150 ppm boron. The licensee committed to additional controls to ensure the SFP remained sub-critical. On September 19, 2008, the NRC issued confirmatory action letter (CAL) RIII-08-003 to Palisades to confirm these commitments. On February 6, 2009, the NRC approved a license amendment for the SFP and the licensee established compliance with the TS. The CAL was closed on February 20, 2009.

- 1) Indication are this was outed by a disgruntled palisades plant employee and whistleblower...not by the NRC or Entergy itself.
- 2) I request an NRC investigation concerning the events around the swollen fuel racks and relicensing....and that you allowed me to participate in the investigation.
- 3) If deception and falsification at Palisades is similar to Vermont Yankee...I request a immediate shutdown of the plant and that the management team be replaced. I request that its nuclear licensed be removed from Entergy.
- 4) If deception and falsification at Palisades is similar to Vermont Yankee...I request that the NRC deem Entergy as lacking the integrity to safety run a nuclear power plant and they pull the licenses of all Entergy's nuclear power plants.
- 5) There are indication the NRC participated in a cover-up of defective relicensing of Palisades...they have allowed Palisade's through their inspection activities to delay and obfuscate the connections of the relicensing and the swelling of the fuel racks. The NRC should have immediately suspected relicensing fraud and falsification upon Sept 2007...they should have identified the employees and Entergy as falsified and deceivers, then prosecuted them to the full extent of the law. We wouldn't be here with the issues of Vermont Yankee if the NRC did their job.
- 6) I am sorry to say there are widespread suspicions the relicensing of nuclear plants across the nation are collectively riddled with fraud, falsification and deception.

Thanks,

Michael Mulligan
Hinsdale, NH
PO Box 161.

16033368320
e-mail: steamshovel@yahoo.com

From: Michael Mulligan <steamshovel2002@yahoo.com>
To: allegation@nrc.gov
Cc: "Kim, James" <James.Kim@nrc.gov>
Sent: Thu, January 28, 2010 12:24:33 PM
Subject: 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant

Dear Sir,

I request a 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant...indeed the NRC as a whole.

Thanks,

Mike

To whom it may concern:

Base on current events at Vermont Yankee, there is suspicion that Entergy participated in Vermont Yankee on a plan of widespread deception and falsification over relicensing and other issues at their Vermont Yankee...on the state and federal level, and definitely they falsified conditions to the community. This is happening throughout the Entergy fleet of nuclear plants.

<http://www.rutlandherald.com/article/20100128/NEWS02/1280339/1003/NEWS02>

PSB sees Entergy pattern of deception

By **Susan Smallheer** STAFF REPORT · Published: January 28, 2010

MONTPELIER – The Vermont Public Service Board said Wednesday Entergy Nuclear may have provided false information to state utility regulators and the Legislature "for an extended period of time," and said the issue is "broader" than just buried radioactive pipes at Vermont Yankee.

James Volz, chairman of the Public Service Board, said it appeared Entergy had given not just false sworn testimony to the board, but also to the Public Service Department, to the state's contractor, Nuclear Safety Associates, the state's Public Oversight Panel, the Legislature and the public.

The controversy over radioactive leaks and Entergy's misinformation erupted almost three weeks ago, when Entergy announced that a groundwater monitoring well had tested positive for tritium, a radioactive isotope. The company quickly launched an investigation to find the source of the radioactivity. The probable source: buried pipes that the company said last year didn't exist. Compounding the problem, Volz said, is that Entergy "did nothing to correct the record."...

It is noted that the 20 year Palisades nuclear relicensing was completed January 18, 2007. The debacle over the swelling and potential reactivity accident at Palisade's old nuclear fuel

assemblies and racks began on September 20, 2007....the fuel pool. How could Palisades and the NRC get through relicensing without addressing the swollen fuel racks, identify the problem and correct it immediately before the completion of relicensing? I suspect there is significant NRC, Entergy and Palisades fraud and falsification associated with the relicensing of this nuclear plant.

PALISADES NUCLEAR PLANT NRC INSPECTION REPORT 05000255/2009008; PRELIMINARY WHITE FINDING

In 1988, the licensee first identified that some rack locations were swelling when they were unable to load a fuel bundle into a storage location. The licensee first identified a stuck bundle in 1991 and there are now 11 fuel bundles that are stuck in their current location and 3 more locations that have swollen walls. However, in 1994, the licensee and NUS evaluated the condition and concluded gas generated from irradiation caused the swelling. This conclusion has not been confirmed; therefore, there may be another cause of the rack swelling. The licensee could not show that the effects of the swelling on neutron absorber degradation had ever been evaluated nor that the effects of gas pockets on the criticality analysis had been considered. Until July of 2008, the licensee had not performed testing on the SFP neutron absorption capability. In response to the inspector's concerns, the licensee accelerated testing committed for license extension to determine the neutron absorption capability of the SFP racks. In July of 2008, the testing revealed that the neutron absorber in the SFP racks had deteriorated and the SFP no longer met the TS requirements for Keff in Region I of the SFP. The licensee performed a criticality assessment of the pool and concluded that with 50 percent depletion of the neutron absorber, the pool remained with a Keff of less than 1.00 and with a Keff of less than 0.95 with 150 ppm boron. The licensee committed to additional controls to ensure the SFP remained sub-critical. On September 19, 2008, the NRC issued confirmatory action letter (CAL) RIII-08-003 to Palisades to confirm these commitments. On February 6, 2009, the NRC approved a license amendment for the SFP and the licensee established compliance with the TS. The CAL was closed on February 20, 2009.

- 1) Indication are this was outed by a disgruntled palisades plant employee and whistleblower...not by the NRC or Entergy itself.
- 2) I request an NRC investigation concerning the events around the swollen fuel racks and relicensing....and that you allowed me to participate in the investigation.
- 3) If deception and falsification at Palisades is similar to Vermont Yankee...I request a immediate shutdown of the plant and that the management team be replaced. I request that its nuclear licensed be removed from Entergy.
- 4) If deception and falsification at Palisades is similar to Vermont Yankee...I request that the NRC deem Entergy as lacking the integrity to safety run a nuclear power plant and they pull the licenses of all Entergy's nuclear power plants.
- 5) There are indication the NRC participated in a cover-up of defective relicensing of Palisades...they have allowed Palisade's through their inspection activities to delay and obfuscate the connections of the relicensing and the swelling of the fuel racks. The NRC should have immediately suspected relicensing fraud and falsification upon Sept 2007...they should have identified the employees and Entergy as falsified and deceivers, then prosecuted them to the full extent of the law. We wouldn't be here with the issues of Vermont Yankee if the NRC did their job.

6) I am sorry to say there are widespread suspicions the relicensing of nuclear plants across the nation are collectively riddled with fraud, falsification and deception.

Thanks,

Michael Mulligan
Hinsdale, NH
PO Box 161.

16033368320
e-mail: steamshovel@yahoo.com

Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by TWMS01.nrc.gov
(148.184.200.145) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.393.1; Thu, 28 Jan 2010
12:47:47 -0500

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 4.5

X-MID: 12252455

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AjMDAOZcYUtCxGTdkWdsb2JhbABGgS2BRIwEggWlf4ELAQEBAQkLCgcTA645K4FFK4UCiE
UBBAQBgimBNVke

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.49,361,1262581200";
d="scan'208,217";a="12252455"

Received: from web58807.mail.re1.yahoo.com ([66.196.100.221]) by
mail2.nrc.gov with SMTP; 28 Jan 2010 12:47:46 -0500

Received: (qmail 36915 invoked by uid 60001); 28 Jan 2010 17:47:45 -0000

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=yahoo.com; s=s1024;

t=1264700865; bh=9m5c+hmlsKSV2+5hFmRbe1f+yl3dtBYsxTAWb6KmRU=; h=Message-ID:X-
YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:Cc:MIME-Version:Content-Type;
b=N72GuQd3LRyVUM6G011F/Ba2B+vffqfRnuT6ujmso3rzDC75SSbLvdUd/v7nuzl+VHYKlaSi
Kq8llKnw2SVM6Uj59ExViPtpagArKw/a33cg6m6X+5CqyMh7TML7PxcFPStZyM3QP4FHH/aOT
azcEM6qhqHyOylK4okA95Ff0E=

DomainKey-Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=noFWS;
s=s1024; d=yahoo.com;

h=Message-ID:X-YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:Cc:MIME-
Version:Content-Type;

b=zJQH3CoHV6o0j4TRwMFOdXS/RNSZ2JPKmdzL+HDxT7dAhxgrY3nNNGY/FlqRefO/USGcz
xLlxzKyt3bvF3pnhCfMpscvc60PkjdQhflhtq9kLtEYouOL8JssBC8Jg28L7V1fAnckvFscf4+44AqH2
hThbGE1x324JPFhx4KlWd+U=;

Message-ID: <891074.36724.qm@web58807.mail.re1.yahoo.com>

X-YMail-OSG:

71B6QP8VM1nKY24fXpfJraVlfyA_s.U_IJwnUtTRNxl6T94ifrJz2w0rbypHZb9mcWeNGZy30_IzUb
N8hG42oj2D.BllO08TeXm4zikli0Cs9_qM5yw3ACsBzNZ4uYgFCUqzoiq4V1YD8mx1L835UTef5
ZblN2eFu3HLg1_8vJz1yDg3cVdqbdmQqVhqfxZsU7vb7QqRvkGmlhnZApBV.9l88lnK6JThOk59
3b0WY5SzcrcsqZjNxdPirapqPOFUny3Vk7LG6CFgv5eYHGwwAxkR96Moapc8AtddpoR3ukfPT
2xmHFrkfpRvrl6hYnQgPO15Jyl00JbBa8ZZraVFJVDGJF4b44bq813OxZ4cGI1zHNZi2Ts7MyT
X8Z1Gz0z3kOPrOkAvg21b9usZndQQhuxJSI8OP.AY9QwPiElwWQXN2.jKKv0zvFOJughRNI0V
WLV8aC61JKkUvCoYZDZA2laZjBqtvhkV92tlaFgSyU7ylCPzbPQ2ljC8Baf5WbrrYcXDdMDJZjD
oly4ddoXGUkbl34REyPu2Flvc9X9OmhmZr.cCpo-

Received: from [24.63.202.49] by web58807.mail.re1.yahoo.com via HTTP; Thu, 28
Jan 2010 09:47:45 PST

X-Mailer: YahooMailRC/272.7 YahooMailWebService/0.8.100.260964

Date: Thu, 28 Jan 2010 09:47:45 -0800

From: Michael Mulligan <steamshovel2002@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: 2.206 on the Palisades nuclear power plant

To: allegation@nrc.gov

CC: "Kim, James" <James.Kim@nrc.gov>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="0-343434138-1264700865=:36724"

Return-Path: steamshovel2002@yahoo.com