DECEMBER 19 19:00 DO COURSE BELLINE WHILE IS

JUL 6 1979

Docket No. 50-247

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr. Vice President Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, New York 10003

Dear Mr. Cahill:

Docket File 50-247

NRC PDR

Local PDR

NRR Rdg

ORB Rdg

D. Eisenhut

A. Schwencer

DISTRIBUTION

L. Oslahn

C. Parrish

OELD, Attorney

I&E (3)

B. Grimes

W. Gammill

T. Carter

TERA

J. Buchanan

ACRS (16)

As part of our generic review of secondary system fluid flow instabilities at nuclear power plants, we have reviewed your submittals dated March 12, 1974, August 30, 1974, July 25, 1975 and January 13, 1978, for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit No. 2.

We find that the provisions which you have made for minimizing the likelihood of water hammer events due to the rapid condenstation of steam in the feedwater systems at Indian Point Unit 2 are acceptable pending our review of the stress analyses of your modifications to the feedwater lines. The need to have confirmatory data on this matter has been discussed with you on several occassions. In your letter of June 18, 1979, you have committed to provide these analyses by July 27, 1979 (page A-4 of Attachment A). Enclosed is an NRC Safety Evaluation Report which deals with all aspects of water hammer problem except the acceptability of stresses in the modified feedwater piping.

Sincerely,

A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation Report

cc: w/enclosure
See next page

See next page

TAC.7802

OFFICE POR:ORB1 DOP-0491

ENOIshan:jb Aschwencer

07/5/79 07/6/79



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

July 6, 1979

Docket No. 50-247

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr. Vice President Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, New York 10003

Dear Mr. Cahill:

As part of our generic review of secondary system fluid flow instabilities at nuclear power plants, we have reviewed your submittals dated March 12, 1974, August 30, 1974, July 25, 1975 and January 13, 1978, for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit No. 2.

We find that the provisions which you have made for minimizing the likelihood of water hammer events due to the rapid condenstation of steam in the feed-water systems at Indian Point Unit 2 are acceptable pending our review of the stress analyses of your modifications to the feedwater lines. The need to have confirmatory data on this matter has been discussed with you on several occassions. In your letter of June 18, 1979, you have committed to provide these analyses by July 27, 1979 (page A-4 of Attachment A). Enclosed is an NRC Safety Evaluation Report which deals with all aspects of water hammer problem except the acceptability of stresses in the modified feedwater piping.

Sincerely,

A. Schwencer, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation Report

cc: w/enclosure See next page cc: White Plains Public Library 100 Martine Avenue White Plains, New York 10601

Joseph D. Block, Esquire
Executive Vice President
Administrative
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

Edward J. Sack, Esquire
Law Department
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

Anthony Z. Roisman Natural Resources Defense Council 917 15th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20005

Dr. Lawrence R. Quarles Apartment 51 Kendal at Longwood Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348

Theodore A. Rebelowski U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 38 Buchanan, New York 10511