NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Strand Associates, Inc. 629 Washington Street Columbus, Indiana 47201 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-01	**Region III** **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37846	13-32716-01	January 21, 2010

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- [x] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- [ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- [ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- [ ] 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren		1/21/10

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Strand Associates, Inc. REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-01	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE **NRC Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37846	13-32716-01	January 21, 2010

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	03.01 (followup to escalated enforcement)

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03121	5	William Hawkins, RSO, Dir. of Operations	812-372-9911

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: No change

[ ] Field Office

[ ] Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

This inspection was a followup inspection to escalated enforcement identified in Inspection Report No. 2009-001, issued September 25, 2009, and cited in a Notice of Violation dated October 27, 2009.

The violation concerned the licensee's failure to provide two barriers to prevent removal of three portable gauges, as required by 10 CFR 30.34(i). As corrective action, the licensee built an enclosure, which was kept locked when the gauges were not under direct supervision. Inside the enclosure, the gauge cases were chained to eye bolts attached to the wall, and the gauge cases were locked. This provided the required two barriers for the gauges. The RSO trained the gauge users concerning storage of the gauges in the storeroom and in vehicles. According to the RSO, the primary gauge user had fitted his truck with connection points so that the gauge could be attached inside the bed of the truck with two independent chains and locks. Each gauge case had two lockable hasps to prevent opening the cases, and, according to the RSO, both would be locked when a gauge was secured in a vehicle. Based on this information, the violation is considered closed.

KEBloom