

Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.

15 WEST 44TH STREET

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036

212 869-0150

November 3, 1975

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Stephen P. Duggan

Chairman

James Marshall

Vice Chairman

Dr. George M. Woodwell

Vice Chairman

Dr. Dean E. Abrahamson

Mrs. Louis Auchincloss

Boris I. Bittker

Frederick A. Collins, Jr.

Dr. Rene J. Dubos

James B. Frankel

Robert W. Gilmore

Lady Jackson, D.B.E.

Hamilton Kean

Dr. Joshua Lederberg

Anthony Mazzocchi

Paul N. McCloskey, Jr.

Michael McIntosh

Eleanor Holmes Norton

Owen Olpin

Franklin E. Parker

Dr. Gifford B. Pinchot

Charles B. Rangel

John R. Robinson

Laurance Rockefeller

J. Willard Roosevelt

Whitney North Seymour, Jr.

David Sive

Beatrice Abbott Duggan

U.N. Representative

John H. Adams

Executive Director

Washington Office

917 15TH STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

202 737-5000

Western Office

664 HAMILTON AVENUE

PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94301

415 327-1080

Bernard Rusche

Director of Nuclear Reactor

Regulation

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Issuance of Facility Operating License for
Indian Point Unit No. 3 (Docket No. 50-286)

Dear Mr. Rusche:

We are writing to make known our objection to the issuance by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff of any license for Con Edison's Indian Point Unit No. 3 plant. Although we have filed a petition to review the ALAB decision in the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, without prejudice to our rights in that forum, we also must oppose the issuance of any further construction or operating license until such time as the Commission's present review of the issues before it is completed, and the Commission directs the ALAB to approve the stipulation in the manner intended by the parties or alternatively reject the stipulation and reinstate the rights of the parties to a full hearing. Our grounds for opposition are based on the following procedural points.

I. The issuance of any license, whether it be for continued construction, fuel-loading and testing, partial power operation or full power operation, prior to resolution of the issues certified to the Commission for review would severely prejudice the interests of the parties and would flaunt the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), The Atomic Energy Act, as amended, and the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 10 C.F.R. Parts 2 and 51. These require opportunity for hearing to determine compliance with NEPA, prior to the issuance of the facility operating license. The Commission's review may possibly result in discontinuance of the consents which created the stipulation, a reinstatement of the parties' hearing rights and a consequent hearing on the environmental issues relating to Con Edison's application for an operating license. Only by staying

8111200118 751103
PDR ADOCK 05000286
H PDR

Mr. Rusche

-2-


November 3, 1975

the issuance of a license at this time can appropriate compliance with that procedure as the law requires, i.e. prior to issuance of an operating license, be assured.

II. The stipulation entered into by all the parties to the proceeding on Con Edison's application for a facility operating license for Indian Point Unit No. 3 has not in fact been accepted by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board. Therefore, as far as HRFA and SOS are concerned, the stipulation has not received the approvals necessary to make the stipulation binding. Until it is so approved, you do not have any authority to issue any operating license. The ALAB's acceptance of the stipulation and authorization of issuance of a license based on the stipulation as the ALAB construct it is without basis. No action can be taken at this time by the Commission staff in reliance on that approval.

We would appreciate advance notification should the Staff plan to take action with respect to issuance of a license for this plant.

Yours sincerely,



Sarah Chasis
Nicholas Robinson
Attorneys for Hudson River
Fishermen's Association
and Save Our Stripers

cc: All active parties