

DISTRIBUTION:  
 Docket File (Environ)  
 DEC PDR  
 Local PDR  
 EP-1 Reading  
 EP-1 File  
 M. J. Oestmann, L:EP-1  
 G. W. Knighton, L:EP-1  
 D. R. Muller, L:EP  
 N. Brown, L:EP-1

Docket No. 50-286

FEB 15 1973

Mr. Theodore DeBoer  
 Chief Coordinator  
 Atomic Energy Council  
 New York State Department of Commerce  
 99 Washington Avenue  
 Albany, New York 12210

Dear Mr. DeBoer:

This is to confirm our telephone conversation of February 13, 1973, with regard to a meeting with you and your organization and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation at 10:30 a.m. on February 20, 1973, at the DEC building at 50 Wolf Road. The purpose is to discuss environmental matters related to the proposed operation of Indian Point Unit No. 3 owned by Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Dr. M. J. Oestmann, Environmental Project Manager for the preparation of the environmental impact statement, will be accompanied by four team members from Oak Ridge National Laboratory who serve as consultants to the Atomic Energy Commission. These members with their respective areas of speciality are as follows:

- C. M. Carter - Power Needs and Cost-Benefit Analysis
- B. E. Dinger - Terrestrial Biology
- W. Van Winkle - Aquatic Biology
- H. E. Zittel - Liquid Effluents

Several other team members who will be arriving at 3:00 p.m. include:

- R. M. Rush - Team Leader
- R. C. Robertson - Reactor Cooling Systems
- W. Tunnell - Reactor Cooling Systems
- T. Clark - Radiological Impact

We are looking forward to meeting you and members of your department to discuss Indian Point Unit No. 3.

Sincerely,

Original signed by \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

George W. Knighton, Chief

Environmental Projects Branch No. 1  
 Directorate of Licensing

B111240003 730215  
 PDR ADOCK 05000286  
 A PDR

OFFICE	L:EP-1	L:EP-1			
SURNAME	MJOestmann:mjg	GWKnighton			
DATE	2/14/73	2/14/73			

Docket

F169

JAN 23 1973

Docket Nos. 50-286  
50-247  
and 50-3

# ENVIRON, FILE (NEPA)

Mr. Thomas H. Row, Deputy Director  
Environmental Impact Reports Project  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
P. O. Box X  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dear Tom:

In regard to your phone conversation with M. J. Oestmann, Project Manager of Indian Point Units on December 20, 1972, a schedule for the environmental review of Indian Point Unit No. 3 was proposed for the Blue Book. This information was transmitted in your letter of December 22, 1972. A copy of the proposed schedule submitted for the Blue Book is enclosed. The major difference is the date of issuance of the FES on September 7 rather than your date of August 13, 1973. This extra time allows for AEC management review, typing, and printing of the FES.

Since preparing this schedule, the applicant has informed us, in a letter dated December 27, 1972, that the remainder of Supplement No. 3 to the Environmental Report will be submitted on February 9 and Supplement No. 4 on March 9, 1973. These documents will contain information on the biological impact of plant operation, some of which will be new since the data have just been collected. The delay in obtaining any new information may affect the proposed schedule for issuance of the DES. Furthermore, because of the need for AEC internal review of the PDES, we do not plan to make this a green cover exercise. Therefore, the schedule may have to be modified accordingly.

As pointed out in your letter of December 22, we agree that utilizing material in the Indian Point Unit No. 2 - FES as much as possible will expedite the preparation of the DES for Unit No. 3. In order to accomplish this in a timely manner, the team members must be the same members to utilize their technical expertise and familiarity of the subject material and to be able to swear to the FES in the hearing. The DES and FES should include the impacts of all 3 units.

*rw*

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶						
DATE ▶						

DISTRIBUTION:  
 Docket File (Environ) 3  
 RP Reading  
 EP-1 Reading  
 EP-1 File  
 AGiambusso, RP, L  
 DRMuller, EP, L  
 GKnighton, EP-1, L  
 MJOestmann, EP-1, L

Mr. Thomas H. Row

-2-

TMcCreless, EP, L  
 DSells, EP, L  
 WMcDonald, OPS  
 RPeck, OPS

To scope out the work and discuss these matters, M. J. Oestmann has arranged with R. Rush, the Team Leader of Indian Point Unit No. 3, to meet at the Bethesda Headquarters on January 25-26, 1973.

Sincerely,

*/s/ Daniel R. Muller for*

Daniel R. Muller, Assistant Director  
 for Environmental Projects  
 Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:  
 Review Schedule for  
 Indian Point Unit No. 3

OFFICE ▶	L:EP-1	L:EP-1	L:EP			
SURNAME ▶	MJOestmann:mg	GKnighton	DRMuller			
DATE ▶	1/23/73	1/26/73	1/26/73			

**REVIEW SCHEDULE FOR INDIAN POINT UNIT NO. 3**

**FACILITY:** Indian Point Unit No. 3

**DOCKET NUMBER** 50-286

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT MANAGER:** M. J. Oestmann

**LABORATORY TEAM LEADER:** R. M. Rush

NO.	DESCRIPTION OF MILESTONE	TARGET DATE
31	ER Received 6/14/71 C; SER 1 - 12/8/71 C; SER 2 - 9/11/72 C; SER 3 - 11/11/72 (Partial) SER 3 SER 4	2/9/73 3/9/73
31.5	National Lab Review Started EPM to Lab for Scoping Work - (Contingent on Completion of IP-2 Hearings 1/19/73) Lab Identified Items for Site Agenda Received by EPM Site Agenda Sent to Applicant Site Visit Questions After Site Visit From Lab Received by EPM	1/3/73 1/22/73 E  1/29/73 E 2/2/73 E 2/12-2/16/73 E  2/23/73 E
32	Environmental Questions for Docketing Sent to Applicant	3/2/73 E
33.3	Source Term Received by EPM	3/2/73 E
33.5	Radwaste Description Received by EPM	3/2/73 E
33	Applicant's Response to Questions Received by EPM	3/15/73 E
34	Accident Analysis Received by EPM	3/29/73 E
33.4	Lab Incorporates Source Term	4/13/73 E
33.6	Lab Incorporates Radwaste Description	4/13/73 E
34.1	Lab Incorporates Accident Analysis PDES Ready for Review by EPM, Branch Chief, OGC	4/13/73 E 4/20/73 E
34.9	DES Issued (Green Cover Contemplated)	5/9/73 E
35	Federal Register Notice Issued	5/9/73 E

OFFICE ▶	DES Available				
SURNAME ▶					
DATE ▶					

NO.	DESCRIPTION OF MILESTONE	TARGET DATE
36	End of Federal, State, Local Agency Comment Period	6/23/73 E
	EPM Travels to Lab to Deliver Agency Comments	6/25/73 E
	Agency Comments Sent to Applicant	6/25/73 E
	Applicant Provides Responses to Agency Comments	7/9/73 E
35.8	Lab Input for FES Received	8/6/73 E
35.9	Staff Review of FES Completed	8/13/73 E
36.9	FES Issued	9/7/73 E
37	Federal Register Notice Issued	9/7/73 E

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶						
DATE ▶						

Potential Problems

The following items can cause schedule changes:

1. ER and SERs are not acceptable at present time. Above schedule is predicated on adequate and complete information received from applicant on a timely basis. At present about 4/5 of the SER 3 and all of SER 4 have not been received from the applicant. These SERs deal with biological impact and ecological studies -- the most critical factors in reaching any conclusion in the DES or YES. Con Ed sent AEC a letter on 12-27-72 responding to our request of 11-16-72 regarding the dates of submission of the SER 3 and SER 4. According to Con Ed, SER 3 is due 2/9/73 and SER 4, due 3/9/73. As a result the late submissions may affect the schedule for issuance of DES by 6 weeks or more (a shift from 5/9/73 to about 6/20/73).
2. Availability of certain team members (Goodyear, Contant, and Carter) will depend on completion of IP-2 hearings scheduled to end 1/19/73.
3. The conclusions reached in the DES may have to be modified depending on the outcome of ASLB Initial Decision for IP-2 due on 4/30/73 E date. Also, this decision may influence the applicant's position on IP-3.
4. The same team is assigned to Newbold Island case. Response to agency comments by the Lab is scheduled for the period 2/3/73 to 4/20/73.
5. If other fossil plants on the Hudson River (Bowline and Roseton) have to be considered in the ecological impact, then additional time may be needed to schedule the extra work.

OFFICE ▶					
SURNAME ▶					
DATE ▶					