

William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N Y 10003
Telephone (212) 460-3819

April 28, 1975

RE: Indian Point Unit No. 3
License No. CPPR-62
Inspection No. 50-286/75-08

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region 1
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

This is in response to your letter of April 3, 1975 notifying us of an apparent noncompliance found during NRC inspection 75-08 of Indian Point Unit No. 3 conducted on March 19-21, 1975.

The apparent noncompliance with our "Administrative Guidelines for the Test Program" pertains to the lack of signatures for steps 6.1.7, 6.1.12, 6.1.17, 6.2.1, 6.2.2, and 6.2.7 of test procedure INT-TP-4.12.1 (Service Water Functional Test - Dock Area).

The test procedure in question contains signature verification blanks for certain steps of the procedure and also has attached data sheets. All signature verification blanks in the body of the procedure and also all items on the data sheets were properly signed. The six (6) particular steps referenced in the enclosure to your letter did not have signature verification blanks or data sheet items associated with them. At the time the procedure was written and approved, it was determined that sign off blanks were not necessary for these steps.

Upon completion of the Service Water Functional Test - Dock Area, the entire test procedure and data sheets were thoroughly reviewed

8111040701 750605
PDR ADOCK 05000286
G PDR

Mr. James P. O'Reilly

-2-


April 28, 1975

RE: Indian Point Unit No. 3
License No. CPPR-62
Inspection No. 50-286/75-08

by our Nuclear Power Generation, Construction and Engineering Departments. This review indicated that the test results were satisfactory and that all steps of the test had been performed properly and in accordance with the test procedure.

The "Administrative Guidelines for the Test Program" are currently being reviewed to determine if modifications to the guidelines are desirable to clarify the intent of the guidelines and to avoid future misunderstanding.

Very truly yours,



William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

mp