

ENCLOSURE

Description of Violations

Consolidated Edison Company  
New York, New York  
Docket Nos. 50-286 and 50-3

The following items were found to be in apparent nonconformance with the Environmental Monitoring Program as described in Section 2.9 of the FSAR for Indian Point Unit No. 3. These apparent violations are considered to be of Category III severity.

1. Section 2.9.2 of the FSAR requires in part, that air sampling be done at four selected off-site points, using a mobile monitor, on a weekly schedule.

Contrary to this requirement, air sampling, using the mobile van, was not performed after March, 1973.

2. Section 2.9.2 of the FSAR requires, in part, that a tritium analysis be performed on rainwater on a monthly basis.

Contrary to this requirement, a tritium analysis was not performed on rainwater samples at any location in January, 1971, January, 1972, April, 1972 nor in June 1971 at the Eastview location nor in October, 1971 at the Indian Point location.

3. Section 2.9.2 of the FSAR requires, in part, that a monthly sample be taken of well water from the Verplanck location.

Contrary to this requirement, a water well sample was not taken from the Verplanck location in July 1972.

The following item was found to be in apparent noncompliance with the Environmental Monitoring Survey Program as described in Section 4.10 of the Technical Specifications for Indian Point Unit 1. This apparent violation is considered to be of Category III severity.

1. Section 4.10 of the unit 1 Technical Specifications requires, in part, that a tritium analysis be performed monthly on well water, lake water, reservoir water and Hudson River water.

Contrary to this requirement, environmental records were not available to confirm that a tritium analysis was performed on well water, lake water, reservoir water or Hudson River water at any location in May, 1972.