## Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.

15 WEST 44TH STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036

212 869-0150

Washington Office 1710 N STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 202 783-5710

West Coast Office 664 HAMILTON AVENUE PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94301 415 327-1080

March 29, 1974

Chairman, Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board; Atomic Licensing Appeal Board Members; Chairman, Atomic Safety & Licensing Board; Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Members; Whitney North Seymour, Jr., Esq.Counsel for all Parties; Hon. George Segnit

> In re: Consolidated Edison Company Of New York, Inc. (Indian Point Unit No. 3) AEC Docket 50-286

## Gentlemen:

The following telegram was sent this day to Dixie Lee Ray, Chairman, Atomic Energy Commission with copies to Atomic Energy Commission Commissioners Clarence E. Larson, William O. Doub, William E. Kriegsman, William Anders and the Secretary of the Atomic Energy Commission:

Dixie Lee Ray, Chairman Atomic Energy Commission Washington, DC

> Consolidated Edison Company In re: of New York, Inc. (Indian Point Unit No. 3) AEC Docket 50-286

Attorneys for Intervenors Hudson River Fishermen's Association and Save Our Stripers yesterday received the Memorandum and Order of the Appeal Board in this proceeding dated March 26, 1974, ALAB-186. Intervenors request ten days from the receipt of the memorandum and order to brief the question certified by the Appeal Board



40 RD OF TRUSTEES

iter ben P. Duggan, Esq. C. airman lar. s Marshall, Esq.

V e Chairman r, Bern E. Abcahamson

Mrs. Louis Auchincless

Lee erick A. Collins, Jr., Esq. Dr. Sene J. Dubos James B. I rankel, Esq. Rol at W. Gilmore Har ellton F. Kean, Esq. Dr. loshua Lederberg

Bor A. Bistker, Esq.

job T. Booth, Esq.

Aur jony Mazzocchi Mic iael McIntosh

Dr. Gifford B. Pinchot

Lan ance Rockefeller J. Willard Roosevelt

Joh i R. Robinson, Esq.

Day id Sive, risq. Dr. Jeorge M. Woodwell

John H. Adams, Esq. E secutive Director

to the Commission.

The issue raised by the certification was first presented to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board in papers filed by Applicant on March 7, 1974. By letter dated February 7, 1974, the ASLB provided the other parties with 20 days after receipt of Applicant's papers in which to respond to Applicant's position. On March 13, 1975, attorneys for HRFA and SOS wrote the ASLB stating that they intended to file a response. On March 20, 1974, before the expiration of the 20 day period and before the receipt of responses from either the Intervenors or the Staff, the ASLB certified the central issue to the Appeal Board. On March 26, 1974, before the receipt of any papers from the Intervenors or the Staff and still before the expiration of the 20 day period, the Appeal Board certified what it perceived to be the major issue of policy to the Commission.

The issue presented to the Commission is a new and important one and in light of the fact that it has been addressed three times in differing ways by the Applicant, the ASLB and the Appeal Board, Intervenors request ten days in order to have at least one opportunity to address the questions presented.

Respectfully submitted,

Angus Macbeth Attorney for Hudson River Fishermen's Association and Save Our Stripers

Yours since yely,

Mys Macheth

AM/sp