

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
)
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY)
OF NEW YORK, INC.) Docket No. 50-286
)
(Indian Point Station, Unit)
No. 3))

APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO
NOTICE OF HEARING

To: Samuel W. Jensch, Esq., Chairman
Dr. John C. Geyer
Mr. R. B. Briggs
Mr. Ernest E. Hill
Max D. Paglin, Esq.

In accordance with Section 2.705(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 10 CFR § 2.705(a) (1972), Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. ("Applicant") submits the following Answer to the Notice of Hearing on a Facility Operating License issued on February 28, 1973 by the special Atomic Safety and Licensing Board ("Intervention Board"). 38 Fed. Reg. 6094 (1973); see Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel Order dated February 9, 1973. This Answer is submitted to the board designated by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel to conduct the hearing on issuance of an operating license ("Licensing Board").

8111090119 730308
PDR ADOCK 05000286
G PDR

Applicant has also this date filed with the Intervention Board a Motion for Reconsideration of the Memorandum and Order dated February 28, 1973.

Applicant's position is that the information contained in its Application for Licenses, as amended, and its Environmental Report, together with further information to be supplied at the hearing on such matters as the substantial completion of the facility, and compliance with the requirements of Part 140 of the Commission's Regulations, 10 CFR Part 140 (1972), is sufficient to warrant the issuance of a facility operating license.

Applicant will appear at and participate in all prehearing conferences and hearing sessions which may be ordered by the Licensing Board.

With respect to the parties to this proceeding, Applicant notes that the Intervention's Board's Notice and Memorandum and Order of February 28, 1973 purport to delegate to the Licensing Board the duty to rule on any belated attempts of Cortlandt Conservation Association, Inc. and Mary Hays Weik to perfect their petitions to intervene. Applicant's position is that this ruling of the Intervention Board conflicts with Section 2.714(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 10 CFR § 2.714(a) (1972), and with the February 9, 1972 Board Panel Order creating the Intervention Board, and

hence was ultra vires that Board. The Intervention Board was not authorized to delegate its jurisdiction to the Licensing Board. Further, there has been no showing of good cause for allowing these parties, in effect, to file late, in violation of the Commission's October 19, 1972 Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. 37 Fed. Reg. 22816 (1972).

For these reasons, Applicant submits that the Licensing Board is without jurisdiction to rule on any additional pleadings from these two petitioners. Alternatively, if the Licensing Board finds it does have such jurisdiction, Applicant prays that the petitions be denied for failure to comply with the Commission's Notice and Rules of Practice.

Respectfully submitted

LEBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MACRAE

By Harry H. Voigt
Partner

Attorneys for Consolidated Edison
Company of New York, Inc.
1821 Jefferson Place, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

EUGENE R. FIDELL,
Of Counsel.

March 8, 1973

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY)
OF NEW YORK, INC.)

(Indian Point Station, Unit)
No. 3))

Docket No. 50-286

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served copies of the foregoing documents entitled "Motion for Reconsideration" and "Applicant's Answer to Notice of Hearing" by mailing copies thereof first class and postage prepaid, to each of the following persons this 8th day of March, 1973.

Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Samuel W. Jensch, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dr. John C. Geyer, Chairman
Department of Geography and
Environmental Engineering
The Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Mr. R. B. Briggs, Director
Molten-Salt Reactor Program
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Post Office Box Y
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Mr. Ernest E. Hill
Lawrence Livermore Laboratories
University of California
Post Office Box 808-L-123
Livermore, California 94550

Max D. Paglin, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Mrs. Elizabeth S. Bowers
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

John B. Farmakides, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Dr. Marvin M. Mann
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Myron Karman, Esq.
Counsel for AEC Regulatory
Staff
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Edward Lyle, Esq.
Counsel for AEC Regulatory
Staff
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

J. Bruce MacDonald, Esq.
Deputy Commissioner and
Counsel
New York State Department
of Commerce
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12210

Nicholas A. Robinson, Esq.
Marshall, Bratter, Greene,
Allison & Tucker
430 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Angus MacBeth, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense
Council, Inc.
36 West 44th Street
New York, New York 10036

Cortlandt Conservation
Association, Inc.
44 Cleveland Drive
Croton-on-Hudson, New York
10520

Ms. Mary Hays Weik
166 Second Avenue
New York, New York 10003

Eugene R. Fidell

Eugene R. Fidell
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
Attorneys for Consolidated Edison
Company of New York, Inc.