

FEB 1 1972

Mrs. Mary Hays Weik
Secretary, Committee to
End Radiological Hazards
166 Second Avenue
New York, New York 10003

In the Matter of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3
Docket No. 50-286

Dear Mrs. Weik:

This is in response to your letter of January 18, 1972, to Mr. L. Manning Muntzing, wherein you requested information relative to subject proceeding.

On December 15, 1971, the regulatory staff of the Commission filed an answer to your request for a public hearing on the Commission's determination not to suspend construction activities at Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3. The Certificate of Service attached to the answer indicates that a copy of the answer was mailed to you at the address indicated. I am sorry that you did not receive a copy of the answer, but another copy is being forwarded to you with this letter.

No decision has been made by the Commission to date with respect to a hearing in this matter.

Sincerely,

Myron Karman
Counsel for AEC Regulatory Staff

Enclosure:
Cy of Certificate of Service

cc: Leonard M. Trosten, Esq.
J. Bruce MacDonald, Esq.
Mr. Stanley T. Robinson, Jr.

Algie A. Wells, Esq.
Nathaniel H. Goodrich, Esq.

OFFICE	OGC	OGC		
SURNAME	MKarman:pe	TEngelhardt	8111090013 720201 PDR ADOCK 05000286 PDR	
DATE	1/31/72	1/31/72		



606 Union Avenue
Peekskill, N.Y. 10566
January 21, 1972

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Division of Reactor Licensing
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sirs:

It would be appreciated if you would send me all available literature and documents pertaining to the following:

- 1) possible or proposed backfitting of Indian Point unit No.1 (docket No. 50-3) as regards:
 - a) reduction of gaseous and/or liquid effluents released to the environment during normal plant operation
 - b) improved emergency core cooling
- 2) compliance of Indian Point unit No.3 (docket No. 50-286) with NEPA.

Concerning item 2) above: has a public hearing been scheduled at the request of Mrs. Mary Hays Weik to determine if the AEC's refusal to suspend construction on I.P.#3 pending completion of a NEPA review is warranted?

Very truly yours,

Richard E. Cruger

DR 4127

511090010

CCD/cont PDR

LB