ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From:	Tesfaye, Getachew
Sent:	Tuesday, January 26, 2010 10:53 AM
To:	'usepr@areva.com'
Cc:	Forsaty, Fred; Lu, Shanlai; Donoghue, Joseph; Carneal, Jason; Colaccino, Joseph; ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource
Subject:	Draft - U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 362 (4117), FSAR Ch. 15
Attachments:	Draft RAI_362_SRSB_4117.doc

Attached please find draft RAI No. 362 regarding your application for standard design certification of the U.S. EPR. If you have any question or need clarifications regarding this RAI, please let me know as soon as possible, I will have our technical Staff available to discuss them with you.

Please also review the RAI to ensure that we have not inadvertently included proprietary information. If there are any proprietary information, please let me know within the next ten days. If I do not hear from you within the next ten days, I will assume there are none and will make the draft RAI publicly available.

Thanks,
Getachew Tesfaye
Sr. Project Manager
NRO/DNRL/NARP
(301) 415-3361

Mail Envelope Properties (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED10DF73AE1EC)

Subject: Draft - U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 362 (4117), FSAR Ch. 15
Sent Date: 1/26/2010 10:53:24 AM
Received Date: 1/26/2010 10:53:26 AM
From: Tesfaye, Getachew

Created By: Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Forsaty, Fred" <Fred.Forsaty@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Lu, Shanlai" <Shanlai.Lu@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Donoghue, Joseph" <Joseph.Donoghue@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Carneal, Jason" <Jason.Carneal@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Colaccino, Joseph" <Joseph.Colaccino@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource" <ArevaEPRDCPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"'usepr@areva.com'" <usepr@areva.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	727	1/26/2010 10:53:26 AM
Draft RAI_362_SRSB_4117.doc	32250	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Draft

Request for Additional Information No. 362(4117), Revision 0

1/26/2010

U. S. EPR Standard Design Certification
AREVA NP Inc.
Docket No. 52-020
SRP Section: 15.06.05 - Loss of Coolant Accidents Resulting From Spectrum of Postulated Piping
Breaks Within the Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary
Application Section: Chapter 15

QUESTIONS for Reactor System, Nuclear Performance and Code Review (SRSB)

15.06.05-56

The U.S. EPR fuel assembly uses 10 spacer grids, including one top HMP end spacer
grid, one bottom HMP end spacer grid and 8 HTP intermediate spacer grids. Both HMP
end spacer grids are similar to the remaining HTP intermediate spacer grids, except for
the material of construction and the fact that the flow channels created by the doublets
are straight and do not produce swirling flow around the fuel rods.

The CDI Test Loop apparatus fuel assembly had 4 spacer grids. The third grid from the
bottom featured mixing vanes. All spacer grids in the CDI Test Loop apparatus fuel
assembly were not prototypical for the U.S. EPR design. Debris blockage and
associated head loss data produced with non-prototypical fuel assembly spacer grids will
not be directly representative for the U.S. EPR reactor design fuel assembly
performance.

Given the important role of spacer grids in formation of debris beds that can cause
coolant blockage, the staff finds the use of non-prototypical spacer grids in the U.S. EPR
fuel assembly testing difficult to substantiate. Explain the approach to resolve this
discrepancy.

15.06.05-57

The U.S. EPR fuel assembly design features an overall fuel rod length ~3.6 times
greater than the CDI Test Loop apparatus fuel simulators length and employs 10 spacer
grids. The CDI Test Loop apparatus fuel assembly had 4 spacer grids one of which
featured mixing vanes.

Assuming other test conditions being equal, a partial-length fuel assembly with a fewer
number of spacer grids will produce a debris distribution across spacer grids and debris
bed structure that are different compared to a prototypical full-length U.S. EPR fuel
assembly. The staff requests a demonstration that debris blockage and associated head
loss data obtained with a partial-length fuel assembly with a fewer number of spacer
grids are applicable to the U.S. EPR fuel assembly design.

1

15.06.05-58

The CDI Test Loop apparatus employs a lower core support plate simulator with an L/D ratio for the plate holes of ~1/3. Even considering only the upper part of the holes with a larger opening diameter in the U.S. EPR lower core support plate design, the corresponding ratio is ~15 times larger in comparison to the model plate. In addition, an inverted right circular cone is located beneath the test apparatus lower core support plate to agitate flow and minimize possible debris settling at the test chamber bottom.

Demonstrate that the combined effects of flow agitation and thin lower core support plate in the test apparatus will not change the debris flow blocking behavior at the fuel bottom nozzle and within the fuel bundle that results in non-conservative test data.

15.06.05-59

As the debris are introduced into the CDI Test Loop apparatus through the mixing tank, opportunities exist so that portion of the debris settle in the mixing tank, reside in tank liquid volume or remain floating on the liquid surface in the mixing tank. In addition, air can be entrained into the test loop flow when adding debris into the tank.

Describe measures taken during testing at the CDI Test Loop apparatus to ensure that the processes identified above are prevented or have negligible effect on test data.

15.06.05-60

Provide substantiation for the test procedure (protocol) as implemented in the CDI Test Loop fuel assembly blockage testing for the U.S. EPR fuel design. In particular, address areas related to debris material selection and preparation, debris introduction, test matrix sufficiency and adequacy, test data repeatability, and test data applicability to prototypical reactor conditions.