

MAY 03 1974

Distribution:
AEC PDR RCDeYoung
Local PDR
Docket File
LWR 1-1 File
D. B. Vassallo
J. Angelo
M. Aycock
M. Karman, OGC
H. Denton

Docket No. 50-2861

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, L

THRU: R. C. DeYoung, Assistant Director for LWR Group 1, L

TELECON WITH DIRECTOR OF NEW YORK ASSEMBLY SCIENTIFIC STAFF IN REGARD TO SEISMOLOGY OF INDIAN POINT SITE

On April 29, 1974, I was asked by Bill Cahill of Consolidated Edison if I could arrange for Mr. Seville E. Chapman, Director of the New York Assembly Scientific Staff, to speak to the Regulatory staff concerning the geology/seismology concerns in regard to the Indian Point site. A conference call took place on April 30, 1974, between Mr. Chapman, Harold Denton and myself.

Mr. Chapman explained that his interest in the potential problem raised by the New York State Geological Survey concerning the Ramapo Fault was in regard to a bill before the State Legislature to assume take over of Indian Point Unit 3. He said that he and his small staff act as scientific advisors to the State Legislature. Since the Legislature was concerned with the questions raised by the State Geological Survey, he wanted to present the AEC's views as well as those of the State Geological Survey to the State Legislature.

Mr. Chapman asked a considerable number of pertinent questions concerning our previous geological and seismological review of the Indian Point site. H. Denton responded to these and put the assessment of our review into proper perspective. It was obvious that Mr. Chapman had familiarized himself with the important points of the State Geological Survey. In response to his many questions, Harold Denton repeated essentially our views as expressed in the Regulatory staff meeting with the New York State Atomic Energy Council and the State Geological Survey held on April 22, 1974 and at the meeting with Consolidated Edison held on April 26, 1974. Summaries of both these meetings are on file.

In brief, Harold Denton explained that our position is that on the basis of our review, the Ramapo Fault is not a capable fault as defined in Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 100. He explained that the 0.15g safe shutdown earthquake acceleration value is acceptable based on the review by our consultants, USGS and NOAA. With regard to the State Geological Survey's recommendation for conducting an instrumented monitoring program along the Ramapo Fault, H. Denton stated that these measurements would be interesting and useful, but in our view not necessary.

Memo
by

Original signed by

OFFICE ▶	L: LWR 1-1 <i>[Signature]</i>	D. B. Vassallo	L: TR <i>[Signature]</i>	L: AD/LWR 1 <i>[Signature]</i>
cc: F. Ingram	DBVassallo:eg	D. B. Vassallo, Chief	HDenton	RCDeYoung
8111210130 740503 ADOCK 05000286	5/3/74	LWR Projects Branch 1-1 Directorate of Licensing	5/3/74	5/3/74

MAY 24 1974

F125

Docket Nos. 50-3/247/286

K. R. Goller, Assistant Director for OR's, L

RESPONSE TO TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST, REVIEW OF INDIAN POINT STATION SECURITY PLAN (TAR-769)

Licensee: Consolidated Edison Company of N.Y.
Branch & LPM Requesting Assistance: ORB-1, P. Erickson
Review Branch Involved: L:IS&EP
Requested Completion Date: 9/1/74
Review Status: Complete

We have reviewed the Indian Point Station Security Plan dated May 1, 1974, for compliance with 10CFR50.34(c) and the Regulatory position described in Regulatory Guide 1.17 and found the plan acceptable. We request that this finding be transmitted to the licensee as soon as possible.

Original Signed by
D. J. Skovholt

Donald J. Skovholt
Assistant Director for Quality Assurance and Operations
Directorate of Licensing

- cc:
- S. Hanauer
 - J. Hendrie
 - A. Giambusso
 - W. McDonald
 - R. Houston
 - F. Allenspach
 - R. Purple
 - P. Erickson
 - H. Thornburg (3) DISTRIBUTION: Docket (3), IS&EP Rdg., DJSkovholt
 - M. Aycock
 - M. Karman

Memo

OFFICE	:IS&EP	L:IS&EP	:AD:QA&O		
SURNAME	Allenspach ^d	RWHouston	DJSkovholt		
DATE	5/24/74	5/ /74	5/ /74		