

DEC 19 1974

50-286

L. Manning Muntzing, Director of Regulation

THRU: Edson G. Case, Acting Director of Licensing *Original Signed By
E. G. Case*

STATUS REPORT ON SELECTED LICENSING CASES

Enclosed are brief status summaries for the week ending December 14, 1974, as requested for:

- Allens Creek 1 & 2
- Bailly 1
- Bellefonte 1 & 2
- Comanche Peak 1 & 2
- Indian Point 3
- Ferry 1 & 2

Original signed by
Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated above

Distribution:

- Docket (50-466 & 50-467)
- Docket (50-367)
- Docket (50-438 & 50-439)
- Docket (50-445 & 50-446)
- Docket (50-286) ←
- Docket (50-440 & 50-441)
- L Rdg. (M. Groff, Rm 188)
- LWR 1-2 File (3)
- LVGossick
- EGCase
- FSchroeder
- AGiambusso
- RSBoyd
- VMoore
- RCDeYoung
- DMuller

- DRVassallo
- ASchwencer
- WButler
- MBAycock
- MJOestmann
- DDavis
- RPollard
- MLynch
- JOrndoff

8111210087 741219
ADOCK 05000286

OFFICE →	L: LWR 1-2	AD: LWR	AD: LWR	DD: RP	Act. DL
SURNAME →	WButler./red	VMoore	RCDeYoung	AGiambusso	EGCase
DATE →	7791 12/16/74	12/16/74	12/17/74	12/17/74	12/17/74

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 14, 1974

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY

ALLENS CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-466/467

The ACRS considered the Allens Creek application at its meeting of December 6, 1974. The ACRS report on Allens Creek, dated December 12, 1974, was received by the staff on December 13, 1974.

The environmental and LWA hearings scheduled to begin on December 10, 1974 were delayed pending (1) resolution of an FES related off-site radiation dose problem and (2) submission by the applicant and review by the staff of additional information regarding potential for differential subsidence in the plant area. This information on potential for subsidence is required to back up our position that the site is suitable relative to differential subsidence. The dose problem is being resolved by a commitment from the applicant to add more charcoal to the offgas system. In regard to (2) above, we have transmitted a request for information to the applicant and the applicant expects to respond by January 3, 1975. The staff and the applicant have requested the Board to start the environmental hearing on January 28, 1975. Assuming we find the response from the applicant to be acceptable, we hope to be ready to consider site suitability at the close of the environmental hearing and can still meet the blue book date of February 28, 1975 for the RAD/Safety hearing.

Since the State of Texas has recently changed its status in the hearings to that of an intervenor rather than as an "interested State", there will be some additional slippage in the PDD if we are to adopt our usual scheduling assumptions for a contested proceeding.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 14, 1974

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

BAILLY

DOCKET NO. 50-367

The staff issued a construction permit for Bailly on May 1, 1974. The Joint Intervenors appealed this decision and the Appeal Board granted a temporary stay on construction which was subsequently vacated. The Appeal Board issued, on August 29, 1974, its decision affirming the ASLB's Initial Decision of April 5, 1974. The Joint Intervenors filed motions in the U. S. Court of Appeals and the Court issued a temporary stay of construction relating to dewatering on October 16, 1974.

Oral arguments were held before the U. S. Court of Appeals on December 11, 1974. The Court did not indicate its schedule for issuing a decision on this case. The temporary stay, ordered by the Court on October 16, 1974, on construction activities related to dewatering will remain in effect until its decision is issued.

Oral arguments before the AEC's Appeal Board on the proposed slurry wall will be held on December 16, 1974.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 14, 1974

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

BELLEFRONTE NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-438 AND 439

The following is a summary of the construction and licensing status:

1. LWA-1 issued - September 17, 1974
2. LWA-1 activities proceeding at the site. TVA expects to pour concrete (safety-related work) on January 1, 1975 if they receive a construction permit.
3. Rad safety hearing ended - October 16, 1974
4. Proposed finding submitted to ASLB - November 13, 1974
5. ASLB initial decision had been expected by December 9, 1974, until a problem with the plant's compliance with EPA's October 8, 1974 regulations arose. The staff filed supplemental proposed findings including a proposed CP condition to resolve the issue on December 11.
6. If a CP is not issued prior to December 28, 1974, this plant must then show compliance with the new Appendix K ECCS evaluation models and criteria. This would delay the issuance of the CP for about 6 months. To minimize construction delays, should this occur, TVA has indicated they will probably request an LWA-2.

STATUS REPORT - TVA

7. The applicant has sent in the CP fee. The licensing package is completed except for any changes required by the ASLB's initial decision. If the initial decision is favorable and timely the CP should be issued prior to December 28, 1974. (The Initial Decision presently is expected on or about December 23, which would resolve all scheduling problems on Bellefonte.)

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 14, 1974

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY

COMMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNITS 1 & 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 & 50-446

The following is a summary of the major events in the review:

1. FES Issued - June 7, 1974
2. SER Issued - September 3, 1974
3. LWA Issued - October 17, 1974
4. SER Supplement Issued - November 15, 1974
5. ASLB Safety Hearing Completed - November 26, 1974

The ASLB's Initial Decision was issued December 12, 1974 but at the time of preparation of this status report, a copy had not been received by the Regulatory staff. We anticipate a favorable decision.

An invoice for the CP fees has been sent to the applicant. The construction permits and related notices are now in the concurrence chain. The PDD is expected before December 28, 1974.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 14, 1974

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY

INDIAN POINT UNIT 3

DOCKET NO. 50-286

Information from the applicant on the service water system needed to complete the SER supplement still has not been received. A final report from our financial consultant was received Friday, December 13, 1974 and we are presently incorporating it into the SER supplement. The financial report will also be used to complete our response to Mr. Roisman's request for a show cause order on financial matters. Therefore, we are shooting for a response to Mr. Roisman by December 20, 1974 and issuance of the SER supplement the week of December 23, 1974.

A summary of the status of major events is as follows:

1. SER Supplement and staff evaluations as needed on other pertinent safety-related issues - Supplement issuance the week of December 23, 1974.
2. FES Issued - January 13, 1975
3. Fuel Loading Date - Con Ed projects - March 15 to April 1, 1975
4. PDD - May 18, 1975 - This date assumes that the hearing will start on January 14, 1975 and a two month contested hearing. The Board, however, has not set a date for the hearing as yet. If the environmental issues are settled among the parties, a decision date as early as March 15 is possible. In addition, Con Ed has filed a motion for an interim license for fuel loading, startup testing and power ascension up to 91% power. The Board has not yet ruled on this motion. The staff would support a fuel loading and low power license if necessary to not interfere with plant startup.

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 14, 1974

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. ET AL

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 & 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-440/441

The SER was issued in July 1974 and the first supplement was issued in December 1974. The ACRS considered the Perry application during its meeting in December and reported its conclusions in a letter to the Chairman, dated December 12, 1974.

The staff issued an LWA-1 on October 21, 1974 and the applicants started construction activities that same day. The applicants have requested the staff and the ASLB, in letters dated December 4, 1974, to initiate and schedule proceedings leading to the issuance of an LWA-2 by March 15, 1975. These letters request that testimony relating to the issuance of the LWA-2 be filed by January 20, 1975.

There are two outstanding issues which make this filing by January 20, highly improbable. The applicants have proposed for the first time in Amendment 22 to the PSAR (received on December 9, 1974), a permanent dewatering system. The staff has concluded that the permanent dewatering system and the revision to the structural design criteria proposed in Amendment 22 to the PSAR, is unsatisfactory. Accordingly, the staff is preparing a letter to the applicants indicating the undesirable features of this proposed dewatering system and recommending that the applicants reconsider their proposal. If the applicants do not choose to withdraw

their proposal for this permanent dewatering system, the detailed review of this proposed system may delay the start of the radiological safety hearing.

Additionally, the applicants have not provided sufficient information to permit the staff to evaluate the potential for plant flooding by the basins associated with the natural draft cooling towers. A round of questions will be issued by December 16 on this issue.

The matters identified in the ACRS report to the Chairman, will also have to be addressed by both the applicants and the staff.

It is therefore, improbable that the staff will be prepared to issue its testimony relating to an LWA-2 by January 20, 1975. However, we should be able to complete the LWA-2 testimony and complete the related public hearing proceedings in time to satisfy the applicants' requested date for issuance of LWA-2, i.e., March 15, 1975, if the applicants withdraw their recently proposed plans for a permanent dewatering system.

The staff will recommend to the ASLB that a schedule for the hearing on the applicants' request for an LWA-2 not be established until there is a satisfactory resolution of all outstanding safety-related issues affecting the specific construction activities identified in the applicants' request.