

L. Manning Muntzing, Director of Regulation
THRU: Edson G. Case, Acting Director of Licensing

STATUS REPORT ON SELECTED LICENSING CASES

Enclosed are brief status summaries for the week ending December 21,
1974, as requested for:

Allens Creek 1 & 2
Bailey 1
Bellefonte 1 & 2
Commanche Peak 1 & 2
Indian Point 3
Perry 1 & 2

Original signed by
Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated above

Distribution:

Docket (50-466 & 50-467)
Docket (50-367)
Docket (50-438 & 50-439)
Docket (50-445 & 50-446)
Docket (50-286) ←
Docket (50-440 & 50-441)
L Rdg. (M. Groff, Rm 188)
LWR 1-2 File (3)
LVGossick
EGCase
FSchroeder
AGiambusso
RSBoyd
VMoore
RCDeYoung
DMuller

DEVassallo
ASchwencer
WButler
MBAycock
MJOestmann
DDavis
RPollard
MLynch
JORndoff

8111210083 741224
ADOCK 05000286

OFFICE	L: LWR 1-2	AD: LWR 1	AD: LWR 1	DD: RP	Act. DL
SURNAME	WButler/red 7791	VMoore	RCDeYoung	AGiambusso	EGCase
DATE	12/25/74	12/27/74	12/27/74	12/24/74	12/ /74

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 21, 1974

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY

ALLENS CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-466/467

There has been no change in the Allens Creek licensing status since our previous report. The current status is summarized below.

We have informed the ASLB that the delayed environmental hearing could commence on January 27, 1975, assuming the pending matter of the State of Texas as an intervenor has been resolved by that date. We have transmitted a request for additional information to the applicant on the issue of differential subsidence, and the applicant expects to respond by January 3, 1975. Assuming we find the applicant's response to be acceptable, we would hope to be ready to consider site suitability at the close of the environmental hearings and, in addition, meet the blue book date of February 28, 1975 for the RAD/Safety hearing.

There will be some slippage in the PDD if we apply our usual scheduling assumption to account for the planned intervention by the State of Texas.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 21, 1974

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

BAILLY

DOCKET NO. 50-367

The staff issued a construction permit for Bailly on May 1, 1974. The Joint Intervenors appealed this decision and the Appeal Board granted a temporary stay on construction which was subsequently vacated. The Appeal Board issued, on August 29, 1974, its decision affirming the ASLB's Initial Decision of April 5, 1974. The Joint Intervenors filed motions in the U. S. Court of Appeals and the Court issued a temporary stay of construction relating to dewatering on October 16, 1974.

Oral arguments were held before the U. S. Court of Appeals on December 11, 1974. The Court did not indicate its schedule for issuing a decision on this case. The temporary stay, ordered by the Court on October 16, 1974, on construction activities related to dewatering will remain in effect until its decision is issued.

Oral arguments before the AEC's Appeal Board on the proposed slurry wall were held on December 16, 1974. The Appeal Board remanded the matter back to the Licensing Board for hearings to be held on or before January 6, 1975, on the grounds that there was not sufficient time for the Joint Intervenors to prepare their case.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 21, 1974

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-438 AND 439

There has been no change in the status since December 14.

The following is a summary of the construction and licensing status:

1. LWA-1 issued - September 17, 1974
2. LWA-1 activities proceeding at the site. TVA expects to pour concrete (safety-related work) on January 1, 1975 if they receive a construction permit.
3. Rad safety hearing ended - October 16, 1974
4. Proposed findings submitted to ASLB - November 13, 1974
5. ASLB initial decision had been expected by December 9, 1974, until a problem with the plant's compliance with EPA's October 8, 1974 regulations arose. The staff filed supplemental proposed findings including a proposed CP condition to resolve the issue on December 11.
6. If a CP is not issued prior to December 28, 1974, this plant must then show compliance with the new Appendix K ECCS evaluation models and criteria. This would delay the issuance of the CP for about 6 months. To minimize construction delays, should this occur, TVA has indicated they will probably request an LWA-2.

7. The applicant has sent in the CP fee. The licensing package is completed except for any changes required by the ASLB's initial decision. If the initial decision is favorable and timely the CP should be issued prior to December 28, 1974. (The Initial Decision presently is expected on or about December 23, which would resolve all scheduling problems on Bellefonte.)

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 21, 1974

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY

COMMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNITS 1 & 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 & 50-446

The construction permit fees were received and the permits (CPR-126 and CPR-127) were issued on December 19, 1974.

The original schedule for the licensing review was 19.7 months. The actual licensing review period was 17.1 months.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 21, 1974
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY
INDIAN POINT UNIT 3
DOCKET NO. 50-286

Information needed to complete our review of the service water system for incorporation into the SER supplement was received on December 20, 1974, by telecopy. Supplement issuance is, therefore, now estimated for the week of January 6, 1975.

The issuance of the FES is now estimated for January 31, 1975 as a result of substantial comments by the staff and OGC involving extensive rewrite of the draft FES by the lab.

A summary of the status of major events is as follows:

1. SER Supplement and staff evaluations as needed on other pertinent safety-related issues - Supplement issuance the week of January 6, 1975.
2. FES Issued - January 31, 1975
3. Fuel Loading Date - Con Ed projects - March 15 to April 1, 1975
4. PDD - May 18, 1975 - This date assumes that the hearing will start on January 14, 1975 and a two month contested hearing. The Board, however, has not set a date for the hearing as yet and a meaningful PDD cannot be set until this is done. If the environmental issues are settled among the parties, a decision date as early as March 15 is possible. In addition, Con Ed has filed a motion for an interim license for fuel loading, startup testing and power ascension up to 91% power. The Board has not yet ruled on this motion. The staff would support a fuel loading and low power license if necessary to not interfere with plant startup.

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 21, 1974

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. ET AL

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 & 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-440/441

The SER was issued in July 1974 and the first supplement was issued in December 1974. The ACRS considered the Perry application during its meeting in December and reported its conclusions in a letter to the Chairman, dated December 12, 1974.

The staff issued an LWA-1 on October 21, 1974 and the applicants started construction activities that same day. The applicants have requested the staff and the ASLB, in letters dated December 4, 1974, to initiate and schedule proceedings leading to the issuance of an LWA-2 by March 15, 1975. These letters request that testimony relating to the issuance of the LWA-2 be filed by January 20, 1975.

There are two outstanding issues which make this filing by January 20, highly improbable. The applicants have proposed for the first time in Amendment 22 to the PSAR (received on December 9, 1974), a permanent dewatering system. The staff has concluded that the permanent dewatering system and the revision to the structural design criteria proposed in Amendment 22 to the PSAR, is unsatisfactory. Accordingly, the staff in preparing a letter to the applicants indicating the undesirable features of this proposed dewatering system and recommending that the applicants reconsider their proposal. If the applicants do not choose to withdraw

their proposal for this permanent dewatering system, the detailed review of this proposed system may delay the start of the radiological safety hearing.

The staff has filed a pleading with the ASLB on December 16, 1974, in response to the applicants' motion for a hearing on their request for an LWA-2. In this pleading, the staff is opposing the applicants' motion as premature in light of the outstanding safety-related issues affecting the work items contained in the LWA-2 request.

A letter has been sent to the applicants requesting that the matters identified in the ACRS report to the Chairman, be addressed by them by January 10, 1975. Two of these issues in the ACRS letter may require the staff to perform additional work which was not previously planned. These issues are the analysis of the containment response and the evaluation of the design criteria for the offgas system.