

DEC 31 1974

L. Manning Muntzing, Director of Regulation
THRU: Edson G. Case, Acting Director of Licensing Original Signed By
E. G. Case

STATUS REPORT ON SELECTED LICENSING CASES

Enclosed are brief status summaries for the week ending December 28, 1974,
as requested for:

- Allens Creek 1 & 2
- Bailly 1
- Bellefonte 1 & 2
- Indian Point 3
- Perry 1 & 2

We have deleted Comanche Peak 1 & 2 because construction permits were
issued on December 19, 1974, as requested last week.

Original signed by:
Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:
As stated above

Distribution:

- | | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Docket (50-466 & 50-467) | DEVassallo |
| Docket (50-367) | ASchwencer |
| Docket (50-438 & 50-439) | WButler |
| Docket (50-286) ← | MBAycock |
| Docket (50-440 & 50-441) | MJOestmann |
| L Rdg. (M. Groff, Rm. 188) | DDavis |
| LWR 1-2 File (3) | MLynch |
| LVGossick | JOrndoff |
| EGCase | |
| FSchroeder | |
| AGiambusso | |
| RSBoyd | |
| VMoore | |
| RCDeYoung | |
| DMuller | |

8111210076 741231
ADDCK 05000286

OFFICE	L: LWR 1-2	AD: LWR 1	AD: LWR 1	DD: RP	Act. DL
SURNAME	WButler/bat	VMoore	RCDeYoung	AGiambusso	EGCase
DATE	12/30/74	12/30/74	12/30/74	12/30/74	12/ /74

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 28, 1974
HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY
ALLENS CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-466/467

There has been no change in the Allens Creek licensing status since our previous report. The current status is summarized below.

We have informed the ASLB that the delayed environmental hearing could commence on January 27, 1975, assuming the pending matter of the State of Texas as an intervenor has been resolved by that date. We have transmitted a request for additional information to the applicant on the issue of differential subsidence, and the applicant expects to respond by January 3, 1975.* Assuming we find the applicant's response to be acceptable, we expect to be ready to consider site suitability at the close of the environmental hearings and, in addition, meet the blue book date of February 28, 1975 for the RAD/Safety hearing.

There will be some slippage in the PDD if we apply our usual scheduling assumption to account for the planned intervention by the State of Texas.

* We are meeting with the applicant on December 30, 1974 for a discussion of its forthcoming response to assure that whatever response is filed will not be found unacceptable by the staff because of any applicant misunderstanding of the staff's concerns or of staff misunderstanding of the applicant's response.

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 28, 1974

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

BAILLY GENERATING STATION NUCLEAR - 1

DOCKET NO. 50-367

There has been no change in the Bailly licensing status since last week. The current status is summarized below.

The staff issued a construction permit for Bailly on May 1, 1974. The Joint Intervenors appealed this decision and the Appeal Board granted a temporary stay on construction which was subsequently vacated. The Appeal Board issued, on August 29, 1974, its decision affirming the ASLB's Initial Decision of April 5, 1974. The Joint Intervenors filed motions in the U. S. Court of Appeals and the Court issued a temporary stay of construction relating to dewatering on October 16, 1974.

Oral arguments were held before the U. S. Court of Appeals on December 11, 1974. The Court did not indicate its schedule for issuing a decision on this case. The temporary stay, ordered by the Court on October 16, 1974, on construction activities related to dewatering will remain in effect until its decision is issued.

Oral arguments before the AEC's Appeal Board on the proposed slurry wall were held on December 16, 1974. The Appeal Board remanded the matter back to the Licensing Board for hearings to be held on or before January 6, 1975, on the grounds that there was not sufficient time for the Joint Intervenors to prepare their case.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 28, 1974
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-438 AND 439

Construction Permits CPPR-122 and -123 were issued December 24, 1974,
one day after the initial ASLB decision was issued. The CP's contain
nine (9) conditions for the protection of the environment and no
conditions with respect to radiological safety.

Eight (8) of the nine (9) environmental conditions are in accordance
with the ASLB partial initial decision (on environmental matters)
issued September 6, 1974. The ninth condition was precipitated by
EPA's issuance on October 8, 1974 of regulations concerning thermal
discharges and effluent guidelines for steam electric power generating
plants.

By affidavit dated December 11, 1974, the EPM concluded the design and
construction of the facility should comply with these new EPA
regulations except for one area of concern related to construction
rainfall runoff. This area of concern became the ninth environmental
condition which is summarized below:

If EPA decides area rainfall runoff limits should apply
to plants being built, TVA must meet such limits as EPA
sets or such limits as would be set by a National
Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit.

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 28, 1974
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY
INDIAN POINT UNIT 3
DOCKET NO. 50-286

The SER supplement has been completed and will start through management and OGC review on December 31, 1974. Supplement issuance is estimated for the week of January 6, 1975.

The issuance of the FES is now estimated for January 31, 1975 as a result of substantial comments by the staff and OGC involving extensive rewrite of the draft FES by the lab.

A summary of the status of major events is as follows:

1. SER Supplement and staff evaluations as needed on other pertinent safety-related issues - Supplement issuance the week of January 6, 1975.
2. FES Issued - January 31, 1975
3. Fuel Loading Date - Con Ed projects - March 15 to April 1, 1975
4. The settlement agreement on environmental matters is currently being circulated for signature and several parties have already signed. A date for beginning the evidentiary hearing has not been established as yet, however, Chairman Jensch has indicated that he would like to commence around mid-February. A meaningful PDD cannot be set until the hearing date is finalized and the issues to be discussed are clearly defined by the ASLB. The staff would support a fuel loading and low power license if necessary not to interfere with plant startup.

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 28, 1974

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. ET AL

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 & 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-440/441

The staff issued an LWA-1 on October 21, 1974 and the applicants started construction activities that same day. The ACRS considered the Perry application during its meeting in December and reported its conclusions in a letter to the Chairman, dated December 12, 1974. The applicants have requested the staff and the ASLB, in letters dated December 4, 1974, to initiate and schedule proceedings leading to the issuance of an LWA-2 by March 15, 1975. These letters request that testimony relating to the issuance of the LWA-2 be filed by January 20, 1975.

There are two outstanding issues which make this filing by January 20, highly improbable. The applicants proposed a design change in Amendment 22 to the PSAR (received on December 9, 1974), involving the use of a permanent dewatering system. The staff has concluded that the permanent dewatering system and the revision to the structural design criteria proposed in Amendment 22 to the PSAR, is unsatisfactory. Accordingly, the staff sent a letter to the applicants on December 23, 1974, indicating the undesirable features of this proposed dewatering system and stating that the necessary detailed review of the proposed design change could involve a substantial licensing delay. In subsequent telephone conversations with the applicants' representative, we learned the applicants' view that, licensing delays notwithstanding, they wanted to proceed with the modified

design rather than the original design which the staff found acceptable. The detailed review of this proposed system may also delay the start of the radiological safety hearing.

The staff filed a pleading with the ASLB on December 16, 1974, in response to the applicants' motion for a hearing on their request for an LWA-2. In this pleading, the staff opposes the applicants' motion as being premature in light of the outstanding safety-related issues affecting the work items contained in the LWA-2 request.

A letter was sent to the applicants requesting that the matters identified in the ACRS report to the Chairman, be addressed by them by January 10, 1975. Two of these issues in the ACRS letter may require the staff to perform additional work which was not previously planned. These issues are the analysis of the containment response and the evaluation of the design criteria for the offgas system.