

L. Manning Muntzing, Director of Regulation
 THRU: Edson G. Case, Acting Director of Licensing Original Signed By
E. G. Case

STATUS REPORT ON SELECTED LICENSING CASES

Enclosed are brief status summaries for the week ending October 12, 1974, as requested for:

Diablo Canyon 1 and 2
 Indian Point 3
 Waterford 3

Original signed by:
 Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
 for Reactor Projects
 Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:
 As stated above

DISTRIBUTION

Docket Files (50-275/323, 50-286, 50-382)

- L Rdg.
- LWR 1-1 Rdg.
- LWR 1-1 File
- LVGossick
- EGCase
- FSchroeder
- AGiambusso
- RSBoyd
- VMoore
- RCDeYoung

- DMuller
- DBVassallo
- KKniel
- ODParr
- MBaycock
- BBenedict
- THirons
- MJOestmann

Memo 4

MGroff

10/ /74

811200880 741016
 ADDCK 05000286

| | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| OFFICE | LWR 1-1 | AD: LWR | AD: LWR-1 | DD: RP | Act. D: L |
| SURNAME | DBVassallo:mk | VMoore | RCDeYoung | AGiambusso | EGCase |
| DATE | 10/15/74 | 10/15/74 | 10/15/74 | 10/15/74 | 10/ /74 |

Status Report for the Week Ending October 12, 1974
Louisiana Power and Light Company
Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3
Docket No. 50-382

A second Limited Work Authorization (LWA) was issued to Louisiana Power & Light on July 24, 1974, authorizing the pouring of the foundation mat and vertical exterior walls below grade. This is the first issuance of a second LWA. LP&L has indicated they will not proceed with work under the LWA because antitrust may hold up the construction permit issuance beyond completion of the LWA work, and because construction financing cannot be obtained prior to issuance of a construction permit.

The proposed antitrust conditions to the construction permit are acceptable to all parties with the exception of one intervenor. We are now waiting for the Board ruling on the show cause hearing as to why the construction permit should not be issued. The hearing began on August 19 and was completed on August 27, 1974.

No change in status in the past week. We are unable to obtain an estimate from the Board as to when their ruling will be handed down but, according to OGC, it may come in a week or two.

Status Report for Week Ending October 12, 1974
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2
Docket Nos. 50-275/323

Final corrections to SER presently being made. SER, minus geology-seismology and hydrology evaluations, will be published on 10/16/74.

All construction workers at the site are again on strike (as of 10/4/74), with no scheduled date for return to work. Fuel load date for Unit 1 will now slip to at least mid-July of 1975. Assuming that the safety hearing can start on 3/28/75, as presently estimated, the PDD is 8/1/75.

Status Report for the Week Ending October 12, 1974
Consolidated Edison Company
Indian Point 3
Docket No. 50-286

Board Chairman Jensch, in a letter to the applicant's counsel, indicated that the Board desires to consider the following rad safety items in the hearing: (1) quality assurance related activities for construction and operation, (2) seismic concerns and (3) financial qualifications of the applicant. None of these items have been placed in contention by intervening parties.

The prospects for settlement of the environmental issues in contention seem favorable at this time. Negotiations are still ongoing, however.

A summary of events, the status of which is unchanged since the last status report, is as follows:

- (1) SER Supplement Issued - November 15, 1974
- (2) FES Issued - December 16, 1974
- (3) Response to Mr. Roisman's request for show cause order on seismic matters - under OGC review.
- (4) Fuel loading date - Applicant projects February '1975. RO projects March '1975.
- (5) PDD - May 18, 1975. This date assumes a start of hearing on January 14 and a two month contested hearing. If the environmental issues can be settled among the parties, then an earlier decision date is possible. Nevertheless, Con Ed has filed a motion with the ASLB for an interim license for fuel loading, startup testing and power ascension up to 91% power. The ASLB has not ruled on this motion as yet.