

NOV 12 1974

L. Manning Muntzing, Director of Regulation  
THRU: Edson G. Case, Acting Director of Licensing Original Signed By  
E. G. Case

**STATUS REPORT ON SELECTED LICENSING CASES**

Enclosed are brief status summaries for the week ending  
November 9, 1974, as requested for:

Indian Point 3  
Waterford 3

Original signed by  
Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director  
for Reactor Projects  
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:  
As stated above

Distribution:  
Docket (50-286 & 50-382)  
L Rdg. (M. Groff, Rm 188)  
LWR 1-1 File  
LVGossick  
EGCase  
FSchroeder  
AGiambusso  
RSBoyd  
VMoore

RCDeYoung  
DMuller  
DBVassallo  
KKniel  
MBAycock  
RBenedict  
MJOestmann

8111200331 741112  
ADDOCK 05000286

*MEMO 4*  
*MS 11/12/74*

OFFICE	<i>ms</i> LWR 1-1 <i>DBV</i>	AD:LWR 2 <i>MS</i>	AD:LWR 1 <i>MS</i>	DD:RP <i>MS</i>	Act. Dir. L <i>MS</i>
SURNAME	DBVassallo:ms	VMoore	RCDeYoung	AGiambusso	EGCase
DATE	11/11/74	11/11/74	11/11/74	11/11/74	11/11/74

STATUS REPORT FOR WEEK ENDING NOVEMBER 9, 1974

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY

INDIAN POINT UNIT 3

DOCKET NO. 50-286

As indicated in the last status report we do not intend to address Con Ed's financial qualifications to operate Unit 3 until the last SER supplement. However, we will complete an evaluation within 3 to 4 weeks of Con Ed's financial qualifications to continue to operate Indian Point Units 1 and 2 and to continue to construct Unit 3. This evaluation will appear as a response to Mr. Roisman's request for an investigation of these matters.

Only a partial lab draft of the FES was received on November 8 (target for FES draft was November 7, 1974). The remainder of the FES draft will be received on November 12 and November 21. We are evaluating the impact of this slip on the FES issuance date.

A summary of the status of major events is as follows:

1. SER Supplement - To be scheduled
2. FES Issued - December 16, 1974 (potential for delay as indicated above)
3. Fuel Loading Date - Con Ed projects - February 1975  
RP projects - March 1975
4. PDD - May 18, 1975. This date assumes that the hearing will start on January 14, 1975 and a two month contested hearing.

The Board, however, has not set a date for the hearing as yet. If the environmental issues are settled among the parties an earlier decision date is possible. In addition, Con Ed has filed a motion for an interim license for fuel loading, startup testing and power ascension up to 91% power. The Board has not yet ruled on this motion. A prehearing conference has been set for November 26, 1974.

STATUS REPORT FOR THE WEEK ENDING NOVEMBER 9, 1974  
LOUISIANA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY  
WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3  
DOCKET NO. 50-382

Since April 30, 1974, when the ASLB handed down its initial decision regarding safety and environmental matters, the only thing holding up issuance of a Construction Permit has been the resolution of Antitrust matters.

On October 24, 1974, the ASLB issued a Memorandum with respect to licensing conditions that had been the subject of an evidentiary hearing held August 19-27, 1974. With this Memorandum the Board presented additional license conditions regarding access to Waterford, transmission among the parties, and reserve sharing. If the applicant accepts the additional conditions, the Board would advise that a CP can be issued. If the applicant declines to accept the additional conditions or takes no action by December 1, 1974, the Board will call a prehearing conference to discuss the completion of discovery and to set dates for a plenary hearing.

As of November 8, 1974, the applicant had not advised us of his decision regarding acceptance or rejection of the additional license conditions.

F 125

NOV 7 1974

DISTRIBUTION:  
Dockets (3)  
ORB#3 Rdg  
L Rdg  
AGiambusso  
RSBoyd  
KRGoller  
TJC:ter  
PBErickson  
GLear  
SATEets

Dockets Nos. 50-3  
50-247  
and 50-250

F. Schroeder, Acting Deputy Director for Technical Review, Licensing

EVALUATION OF CCPE SEISMIC CONTENTIONS ON INDIAN POINT FACILITIES

On September 5, 1974 your staff completed a review of seismic conditions and seismic design parameters for the Indian Point facilities. The review provided a report for use in response to the May 24, 1974 petition from the Citizens Committee for Protection of the Environment (CCPE). This report was coordinated with my staff and OGC prior to its completion; we had hoped that it would be transmitted to CCPE in September. However, additional information is now required by OGC prior to their transmittal of the report to CCPE.

A meeting was held on October 18, 1974 at the request of Joseph Gallo, OGC, to discuss OGC requirements for additional seismic information on Indian Point Unit No. 1. Appropriate Technical Review (TR) members were present at the meeting as were Joe Gallo, Edson Case, and Peter Erickson (ORB#3). Attendees at the meeting agreed that TR would provide the additional information required by OGC.

We believe that current assignment of high priority to the completion of our response to CCPE is necessary because of the considerable delay already experienced. In addition, we have received many letters from the public expressing concern about seismic conditions near the Indian Point Facility. In this regard, we have sent interim responses to these citizens because the seismic report had not been issued to CCPE.

Please advise us when the additional information will be available to OGC.

Original signed by  
Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director  
for Reactor Projects  
Directorate of Licensing

Memo  
4

cc: J. Gallo, OGC  
K. R. Goller, OR

OFFICE	ORB#3 <i>RR</i>	ORB#3	L:AD/ORs	L:DD/RP
SURNAME	PErickson:kmf	GLear <i>GL</i>	KRGoller <i>KRG</i>	AGiambusso
DATE	11/6/74	11/6/74	11/6/74	11/7/74