

To: Leeds, NRR
Ref. G20100014
Due: 2/8/10

cup: EDO
DEDMRT
DEDR
DEDCM

9 Twin Orchard Drive
Oswego, NY 13126
January 7, 2010

AO
RI
OE
OI
OGC
Baggett

Mr. Bill Borchardt
Executive Director for Operations
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Executive Director for Operations Bill Borchardt:

This is a 2.206 letter.

Honesty in Reporting Indian Point Emergency Siren Test Results

This morning I decided to begin searching for a reference I thought was in an Indian Point Inspection Report. Since I didn't recall which one, or even the time period, I randomly picked Inspection Report 05000286/2006002. At the top of page 12, (or 20 of 29 on my computer), I think I read that a test of the (original) Indian Point Emergency Siren system had resulted in some siren failures, but, due to some non-understandable (to me) logic, the test was declared "invalid". I guess this means the failures did not count.

A word I would use to describe such an action would be "dishonest".

I am asking you to determine if these failures were properly inspected, properly reported, and properly acted upon. If they were not, I am asking you to determine how many more inspections of any Indian Point Unit 1, Unit 2 or Unit 3 siren system have been inappropriately handled by the NRC to date.

Additionally, you may note that something like "local radio frequency interference" was described as a cause in that report. If, 3 years later, the cause of the recent (2009) failure of all Putnam County emergency sirens to sound is the same, can you tell me if Problem Identification and (prompt?) Resolution is presently properly categorized by the NRC for Entergy/Indian Point Units 1, 2, and 3?

Thank you,

Thomas Gurdziel

Template: EDO-001

E-RIDS: EDO-01