

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
10 COLUMBUS CIRCLE NEW YORK, N. Y. 10019  
(212) 397-6200

TRUSTEES  
FREDERICK R. CLARK  
CHAIRMAN  
GEORGE L. INGALLS  
VICE CHAIRMAN  
RICHARD M. FLYNN  
ROBERT I. MILLONZI  
WILLIAM F. LUDDY



GEORGE T. BERRY  
GENERAL MANAGER  
AND CHIEF ENGINEER  
LEWIS R. BENNETT  
ASSISTANT GENERAL  
MANAGER-GENERAL  
COUNSEL  
WILBUR L. GRONBERG  
ASSISTANT GENERAL  
MANAGER-ENGINEERING  
JOHN W. BOSTON  
DIRECTOR OF  
POWER OPERATIONS  
THOMAS F. MCCRANN, JR.  
CONTROLLER

July 27, 1978  
IPO-135

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Mr. Albert Schwencer, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1

Subject: Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant  
Docket No. 50-286

RECEIVED DISTRIBUTION  
SERVICES UNIT  
1978 JUL 31 AM 9 37  
US NRC  
DISTRIBUTION SERVICES  
BRANCH

Dear Sir:

The Power Authority hereby submits the additional information requested by your Ms. M. Chatterton in a phone conversation on July 25, 1978 concerning our submittal of July 12, 1978 (IPO-128) on the Indian Point 3 Cycle 2 reload safety evaluation and small break ECCS analysis.

Very truly yours

Paul J. Early  
Assistant Chief Engineer-  
Projects

cc: Hon. George V. Begany  
White Plains Public Library

811120307 780727  
PDR ADDCK 05000286  
PDR

782120025

Aug 11

Question

We require additional information on the action to be taken if the test results do not meet the acceptance criteria.

Response

The attached revised page 2 of 5 of Procedure R.A.-7 describes the action to be taken in the event the acceptance criteria are not met.

5.5 Test results will be reviewed and acceptance criteria signed off by a representative of Operations, Westinghouse and the Reactor Analyst. This provides an independent verification of the testings and results.

5.6 The Reactor Analyst shall be responsible for resolving discrepancies between test results and acceptance criteria.

This will include a determination of whether the discrepancy involves a violation of Technical Specifications or an unreviewed safety question, and the requirement for additional testing or analysis if a violation or unreviewed safety question exists.

Question

We require that you measure the control rod worth of all four control banks.

Response

The Power Authority will measure the control rod worth of all four control banks A,B,C and D. These measurements supersede the measurements described in Sections 2.1(b)(iii), 2.2(b)(iii) and 2.3(a)(iii) of Attachment 1 to Procedure R.A.-7. The Power Authority will submit under separate cover the appropriate revised pages of Procedure R.A.-7 to reflect the above commitment.

Question

We require that you provide us with a summary report of the physics startup tests within 45 days following completion of the startup test program.

Response

The Power Authority will submit within 45 days following completion of the startup test program a summary startup physics test report which will include a list of measured versus predicted values for all measurements as specified in Procedure R.A.-7. In addition, the Power Authority will submit a list of measured and expected FAH values for both the zero power and approximately 100% power incore flux maps.