



William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N Y 10003
Telephone (212) 460-3819

Regulatory Docket File

January 27, 1977
Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-286
R.O. -76-3-46(B)



Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Region 1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. O'Reilly

We transmit herewith Reportable Occurrence report R.O.
-76-3-46(B). Three copies of this letter and the attach-
ment are enclosed as required.

Very truly yours,

William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

attach.
nvg

Copy to Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
ATTN: Dr. Ernst Volgenau, Director (30 copies)
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
ATTN: Mr. William G. McDonald, Director (3 copies)
Office of Management Information and
Program Control
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. George T. Berry
General Manager and Chief Engineer
Power Authority of the State of New York
10 Columbus Circle
New York, N.Y. 10019

1055

8111070324 770127
PDR ADOCK 05000286
S PDR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

.O.-76-3-46(B)

CONTROL BLOCK: 1 2 3 4 5 6

(PLEASE PRINT ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

LICENSEE NAME										LICENSE NUMBER										LICENSE TYPE										EVENT TYPE									
01 N Y I P S 3										0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0										4 1 1 1 1 1										0 3									
7 8 9 14										15 25										26 30										31 32									

CATEGORY										REPORT TYPE										REPORT SOURCE										DOCKET NUMBER										EVENT DATE										REPORT DATE									
01 CONT										L										L										0 5 0 - 0 2 8 6										1 1 2 5 7 6										0 1 2 7 7 7									
7 8 57 58										59 60										61 68										69 74										75 80																			

EVENT DESCRIPTION

02 During surveillance testing of nuclear power range channels, the over-																																																																															
03 power and overtemperature AT setpoints for Channel II were found to be																																																																															
04 less conservative than the required Tech. Spec. value. The affected																																																																															
05 instrumentation was adjusted to meet the requirements of the Technical																																																																															
06 Specifications and returned to service. Similar events occurred on																																																																															

SYSTEM CODE										CAUSE CODE										COMPONENT CODE										PRIME COMPONENT SUPPLIER										COMPONENT MANUFACTURER										VIOLATION									
07 I A										F										I N S T R U										N										F 1 8 0										N									
7 8 9 10										11										12 17										43										44 47										48									

CAUSE DESCRIPTION

08 A Foxboro static gain unit, Model DQ, was found to have been out of ad-																																																																															
09 justment. No apparent cause for this occurrence could be determined.																																																																															
10 Additional instrument testing was scheduled.																																																																															

FACILITY STATUS										% POWER										OTHER STATUS										METHOD OF DISCOVERY										DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION									
11 F										0 8 5										NA										B										Surveillance Testing									
7 8 9										10 12 13										44										45 46										80									

FORM OF ACTIVITY RELEASED										CONTENT OF RELEASE										AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY										LOCATION OF RELEASE									
12 Z										Z NA										NA										NA									
7 8 9										10 11										44 45										80									

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

NUMBER										TYPE										DESCRIPTION									
13 0 0 0										Z										NA									
7 8 9 11										12 13										80									

PERSONNEL INJURIES

NUMBER										DESCRIPTION																			
14 0 0 0										NA																			
7 8 9 11										12										80									

PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES

15 NA																																																																															
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LOSS OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY

TYPE										DESCRIPTION									
16 Z										NA									
7 8 9 10										80									

PUBLICITY

17 NA																																																																															
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

18 (Event Desc. Cont.) - July 22, October 29 and November 30, 1976.																																																																															
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

19 [R.O.-76-3-46(B)]																																																																															
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

NAME: Austin J. Decker II

PHONE: 914-739-8823

Additional Factors

As part of our follow up action in connection with the problem identified with this particular instrument channel, a special test was performed 5 days later on November 30, 1976. Test results indicated that the ΔT trip setpoints for Channel II were again less conservative than the required Tech. Spec. value. The results of this special test were reported as R.O.-76-3-43(B). It was felt at the time that this report also covered the problem identified on November 25, 1976. However, as a result of a subsequent review of the problems associated with this instrument channel, it was deemed that a separate report was appropriate.