

Distribution:

Docket File
AEC PDR
Local PDR
PWR-1 Rdg
PWR-1 File
R. C. DeYoung
J. Hendrie
F. Schroeder
A. Kenneke
R. W. Klecker

D. Eisenhut
RO (3)
J. Lee
Proj. Manager
TR Asst. Dirs.
D. Vassallo
R. S. Boyd

OCT 09 1973

Docket No. 50-286

Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
ATTN: Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

RE: Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 3

Gentlemen:

The Regulatory staff has had the subject of anticipated transients without scram (ATWS) in water-cooled reactor power plants under study for some time. This matter was cited in the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) report and/or in the staff safety evaluation report on your application for a construction permit.

The staff has completed its review of ATWS and has concluded that measures should be taken to improve nuclear plant designs in this regard. Details of the staff review and conclusions and of the steps that should be taken to deal with ATWS are given in the staff "Technical Report on Anticipated Transients Without Scram for Water-Cooled Power Reactors," September 1973, a copy of which is enclosed for your information. The improvement measures acceptable to the staff are outlined in the staff position on ATWS, Appendix A, of the enclosed report in descending order of preference; that is, the "A" sections of the staff position describe the ultimate objective. For those facilities for which the imposition of the requirements of the "A" sections is not practical, the staff will accept the alternate approaches of the "B" or "C" sections subject to the limitations specified in the staff position. The rationale and bases for this approach are given in the enclosed report.

Acceptable measures that should be taken for the subject facility(s) are either those in the "A" or the "B" sections of the staff position. If there is reasonable assurance that the provisions of the "A" sections can be met satisfactorily on a reasonable schedule (less than 3 years) for the subject facility(s), you need not analyze the consequences of ATWS. You must, however, provide sufficient analyses and discussion to justify

8111190226 731009
PDR ADOCK 05000286
A PDR

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶	3					
DATE ▶	10/3					

OCT 09 1973

Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.

-2-

your position and a commitment that you will meet the provisions of the "A" sections or revert to the provisions of the "B" sections if required by the staff. You should advise the staff by no later than January 1, 1974, of the approach you intend to take and submit a detailed report addressing fully, either the "A" or "B" sections of the staff position by October 1, 1974, as an amendment to the Safety Analysis Report.

The staff is prepared to discuss this matter with you at a mutually agreeable time.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by
Roger S. Boyd

for A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
Technical Report on ATWS
for Water-Cooled Power
Reactors, September 1973

cc: Arvin E. Upton, Esq.
Harry H. Voigt, Esq.
Eugene R. Fidell, Esq.
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
1821 Jefferson Place, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

J. Bruce MacDonald
Deputy Commissioner and Counsel
New York Department of Commerce
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12210

Angus Macbeth, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense
Council, Inc.
15 West 44th Street
New York, New York 10036

Nicholas A. Robinson, Esq.
Marshall, Bratter, Greene,
Allison & Tucker
430 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶						
DATE ▶						