

Carl L. Newman
Vice President

Regulatory

File Copy


Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N. Y. 10003
Telephone (212) 460-5133

July 1, 1976

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 3
Docket No. 50-286


Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

ATTN: Mr. Roger S. Boyd, Director
Division of Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Boyd:

Your June 10, 1976 letter requested an evaluation and a comparison of the existing fire protection provisions at Indian Point Unit No. 3 with the guidelines in the May 1, 1976 Section 9.5.1 of the Standard Review Plan, "Fire Protection". It is expected that this information can be provided to you by December 31, 1976. If there is a change in this schedule, you will be promptly advised.



RPR/mw

Very truly yours,

Carl L. Newman
Vice President

Copy to: Mr. George T. Berry
General Manager and Chief Engineer
Power Authority of the State of New York
10 Columbus Circle
New York, New York 10019

6722


8111160313 760701
PDR ADOCK 05000286
PDR

William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

Regulatory

File Cy


Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N Y 10003
Telephone (212) 460-3819



June 22, 1976

RE: Indian Point Unit Nos. 2 & 3
Docket Nos. 50-247
50-286

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
ATTN: Mr. Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 4
Division of Operating Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555



Gentlemen:

A question of interpretation of the Indian Point Unit Nos. 2 & 3 Technical Specifications has arisen following a recent performance of Consolidated Edison's internal audit program.

Section 6.10.2 of these specifications provide lists of plant records which must be retained for the duration of the Facility Operating Licenses. One item so identified in Section 6.10.2 (i) of both Unit's specifications is the "Records of Quality Assurance activities required by the OA Manual". We interpret this specification, 6.10.2 (i), to apply only to the retention of records of quality assurance activities designated as "lifetime" in the OA Manual. Other quality assurance records specified in the OA manual should have retention times less than the plant lifetime.

Our interpretation of this specification is consistent with the philosophy espoused by ANSI N45.2.9, "Requirements for Collection, Storage and Maintenance of Quality Assurance Records for Nuclear Power Plants". Unless otherwise notified, our policy will be based on the understanding that specification 6.10.2(i) is not intended to require that all records related to quality assurance activities required by the OA manual need be retained for the plant lifetime.

Very truly yours,

William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

WJC:nvg

6581

DOCKET NO. 50-3/247/286
DATE: 6-17-76

NOTE TO NRC AND/OR LOCAL PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROOMS

The following item submitted with letter dated 6-10-76
from Consolidated Edison Company is being withheld from
public disclosure, pending review, in accordance with Section 2.790.


PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Security Guard Information

Edward W. Ed

Regulatory File Room

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place, New York, N.Y. 10003
Telephone (212) 460-3819



June 4, 1976

Mr. Ben C. Rusche, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
(Indian Point Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2)
Docket Nos. 50-3, 50-247
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
and Power Authority of the State of New York
(Indian Point Station, Unit No. 3)
Docket No. 50-286

Dear Mr. Rusche

This letter transmits information pursuant to Section V.B of Appendix I to Part 50 of the regulations of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and in accordance with the May 13, 1976 directive of the Commission Regulatory Staff. Also included in this submittal is a cost-benefit evaluation of the Indian Point facilities' radwaste systems in accordance with Section II.D of Appendix I.

Attachment A contains information, consisting of references to material in the various Indian Point dockets, which in Licensee's good faith assessment is that required to meet the provisions of Section V.B.1 of Appendix I. Attachment B presents plans and proposed technical specifications pursuant to Section V.B.2 and Attachment C contains the cost-benefit evaluation.

Although the May 13 directive stated that full satisfaction of the information requested by the Staff in the enclosures of February 18, 1976, February 20, 1976, May 6, 1976 and May 11, 1976 letters from the Regulatory Staff is not mandated by Appendix I, Con Edison is currently in the process of collecting this information and performing these analyses and does intend to provide the Staff with the requested information as it becomes available.

By letter dated June 3, 1976, Con Edison's counsel withdrew the Company's request for exemption, filed May 5, 1976, for relief from certain portions of Appendix I.

5820


Mr. Ben C. Rusche

-2-

June 4, 1976

Forty (40) copies of the material referenced in this letter are included for the convenience of the Regulatory Staff.

Very truly yours



William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President

enc.
mk

Copy to Mr. George T. Berry
General Manager and Chief Engineer
Power Authority of the
State of New York
10 Columbus Circle
New York, N. Y. 10019