

PMFermiCOLPEm Resource

From: Berrios, Ilka
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 1:44 PM
To: Berrios, Ilka; LaShawn G Green; Peter W Smith; petersonn@dteenergy.com
Cc: FermiCOL Resource; Kevern, Thomas; Patel, Chandu
Subject: DRAFT RAIs Section 2.5.2
Attachments: RAI 3937.doc

All,

Attached file is a DRAFT RAI related to FSAR section 2.5.2. Please review it and let me know if a call is needed to clarify this question. If we don't hear from you by Wednesday, we'll assume that a call is not needed and the RAI letter will be issued.

Any questions, please let me know.

Ilka E. Berrios
Project Manager
Office of New Reactors
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Phone: 301-415-3179
Mailstop: T-6D38M

Hearing Identifier: Fermi_COL_Public
Email Number: 740

Mail Envelope Properties (87B1F1BDFE5A554CA9DC5EAA75EB6D0D15B5061B98)

Subject: DRAFT RAIs Section 2.5.2
Sent Date: 1/5/2010 1:43:49 PM
Received Date: 1/5/2010 1:43:52 PM
From: Berrios, Ilka

Created By: Ilka.Berrios@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"FermiCOL Resource" <FermiCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Kevern, Thomas" <Thomas.Kevern@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Patel, Chandu" <Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Berrios, Ilka" <Ilka.Berrios@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"LaShawn G Green" <greenl@dteenergy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Peter W Smith" <smithpw@dteenergy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"petersonn@dteenergy.com" <petersonn@dteenergy.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	470	1/5/2010 1:43:52 PM
RAI 3937.doc	30202	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information No. 3937 Revision 0

Fermi Unit 3
Detroit Edison
Docket No. 52-033
SRP Section: 02.05.02 - Vibratory Ground Motion
Application Section: 2.5.2

QUESTIONS for ESBWR/ABWR Projects 1 (NGE1)

02.05.02-***

In order for the staff to verify the adequacy of the Fermi 3 site PSHA relative to the seismicity in the Anna, Ohio and Northeast Ohio areas, please provide the input source parameters (e.g. activity rates) as well as the specific source geometries used by each of the EPRI Teams to model these two potential sources. In addition, provide the corresponding PSHA hazard curves for these two sources.