

Stephen B. Bram
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
Indian Point Station
Broadway & Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511
Telephone (914) 737-8116

April 28, 1988

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-247

Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Response to Inspection Report No. 50-247/88-02

This letter refers to Inspection 50-247/88-02 conducted by Mr. T. Koshy of your office during the week of January 11-15, 1988 at Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 2.

Your April 5, 1988 letter outlines a Notice of Deviation. Attachment A contains the reply to the deviation.

If you or your staff have any questions, please contact us.

Very truly yours,



Attachment

cc: Mr. William Russell
Regional Administrator - Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1498

Ms. Marylee M. Slosson, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop 14B-2
Washington, DC 20555

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 38
Buchanan, NY 10511

8805030510 880428
PDR ADDCK 05000247
Q PDR

IED
11

Attachment A

NOTICE OF DEVIATION

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
Indian Point Unit No. 2 Station

Docket No. 50-247
License No. DPR-26

As a result of the inspection conducted on January 11-15, 1988, the following deviation was identified.

In a letter from Consolidated Edison Company of New York to NRC, dated August 14, 1986, Indian Point Nuclear Station Unit No. 2 committed to revise the motor operated valve maintenance procedure No. MP-16.44 to include the manufacturer's specified switch settings by October 15, 1986.

Contrary to the above, on January 12, 1988, the motor operated valve maintenance procedure MP-16.44, Revision 3, titled "Inspection of Motor Operated Valve - Limitorque Operators," was not revised to include the manufacturer's specified switch settings.

Consolidated Edison Company is hereby requested to submit to this office, within thirty days of the date of the letter which transmitted this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply including: (1) the reason for the deviation, if admitted; (2) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (3) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further deviations; and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending this response time.

RESPONSE:

Our August 14, 1986 submittal stated our commitment to revise maintenance procedure No. MP-16.44 to include the manufacturer's specific switch settings. However, this commitment was not included in our commitment tracking system which would have directed this revision at a specified organization and/or individual and not having this reminder resulted in the non-compliance.

Procedure No. MP-16.44 has now been revised to incorporate the manufacturer's specified switch settings.

The commitment tracking system was evaluated and, as a result, additional measures have been implemented to insure fulfillment of commitments all of the various disciplines within the station. In addition, increased attention has been focused on review of commitments involving multiple company organizations to insure that all parties are aware of, and agree to, those commitments. Full compliance has been achieved by the above measures.