Murray Selman
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
Indian Point Station
Broadway & Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511
Telephone (914) 737-8116

June 5, 1987

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-247

Mr. William V. Johnston, Acting Director
Division of Reactor Safety - Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Johnston:

Our letter dated April 10, 1987 provided a response to the notice of violation contained in your inspection report 50-247/87-02 dated March 11, 1987.

We have identified a typographical error on the second page of the Attachment A contained in our April 10, 1987 letter. Enclosed is a corrected page. A revision bar has been added on the right hand margin to indicate the affected sentence.

Should you or your staff have any questions, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

John G. Bemis

21.190.6.2.2
Attachment

cc: Mr. William Russell
Regional Administrator - Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

IE01
1/1

Ms. Marylee M. Slosson, Project Manager
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Project Directorate I-1
Phillips Building
7920 Norfolk Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20014

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 38
Buchanan, NY 10511

Response

1) The following near term corrective actions were taken on an immediate basis:

   a) Cable spreading room heaters were energized to raise the ambient temperature.

   b) Interim values of minimum battery cell temperature were established, and periodic measurement (every 4 hours) of the room temperature was initiated.

2) In addition to the above corrective action, the following steps are being taken to prevent future occurrence of a similar event:

   a) A review of the capabilities of the batteries to operate at various electrolyte temperature was initiated to determine the operating limits. It has now been established that the batteries were capable of supplying their design load at the electrolyte temperatures observed on January 29, 1987. Thus, Battery No. 21 was never in fact inoperable. R

   b) A study of the battery room HVAC system and the temperature requirements of the safety related equipment located in the area is in progress. This will verify if the system is working as intended and if any modifications to the system or revisions to the station Freeze Protection Procedure are necessary to maintain the limiting temperature.

   c) A review of the Station Test and Performance Battery Procedures to assure the adequacy of these procedures in monitoring the parameters was also initiated.

3) Results of the above studies and reviews are expected to be formulated by April 30, 1987. A detailed implementation schedule will be established based on the findings of these studies.

20.190.4.7.2