

**Murray Selman**  
Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
Indian Point Station  
Broadway & Bleakley Ave.  
Buchanan, NY 10511  
Telephone (914) 737-8116

July 3, 1985

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2  
Docket No. 50-247

Mr. Samuel J. Collins  
Chief, Projects Branch No. 2  
Division of Reactor Projects  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region I  
631 Park Avenue  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Collins:

This refers to routine inspection 50-247/85-10 conducted by Messrs. T. Kenny and L. Roszbach of your office on April 1 - May 17, 1985 at Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2, Buchanan, New York of activities authorized by NRC License No. DPR-26.

Your June 11, 1985 letter stated that it appeared that one of our activities was not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements, as set forth in the Notice of Violation enclosed therewith as Appendix A. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201 our response to the notice is presented in Attachment A to this letter.

Should you or your staff have any questions, please contact us.

Very truly yours,



13.191.6.21.1  
Attachment

cc: Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 38  
Buchanan, New York 10511

8507160094 850703  
PDR ADDCK 05000247  
Q PDR

11  
1E01

ATTACHMENT A

Response to Notice of Violation, Appendix A

VIOLATION

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings," states, in part, "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures, or drawings of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures, or drawings."

Station Administrative Order, SAO-133, "Procedure, Technical Specification and License Adherence and Use Policy," states, in part, "Procedures shall be followed." Operation's Administrative Directive, OAD-21, "Operations Information Feedback System," states, in part, "Chief Operations Engineer is responsible for ensuring that important information is brought to the attention of operators to ensure continued safe plant operation." OAD-21 further states, in part, that an Operations Information Feedback System Sign-off sheet, with proper information, be distributed with important information to Operations personnel.

Contrary to the above, on April 18, 1985, the inspector identified that Amendment No. 93 to Technical Specifications had been issued without an Information Feedback System Sign-off sheet being issued.

This is a Severity Level V Violation (Supplement I).

RESPONSE

The Information Feedback System Sign-off sheet was issued immediately upon identification by the inspector. Its issuance, up to that time, had been delayed because of a problem which arose in connection with processing the necessary paperwork. OAD 21 has been revised to avoid such delays and to expedite the issuance of the Sign-off sheet.

RECEIVED-REGION 1  
1985 JUL -8 PM 1:53