

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION I

475 ALLENDALE ROAD KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-1415

January 18, 2010

Mr. Charles G. Pardee Senior Vice President, Exelon Generation Company, LLC President and Chief Nuclear Officer (CNO), Exelon Nuclear 4300 Winfield Rd. Warrenville, IL 60555

SUBJECT:

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 - NRC EXAMINATION REPORT 05000277/2009302; 05000278/2009302

Dear Mr. Pardee:

On December 16, 2009, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an examination at Peach Bottom. The enclosed report documents the examination findings, which were discussed on December 24, 2009, with Mr. F. Bruns of your staff.

The examination included the evaluation of two applicants for reactor operator licenses, eight applicants for instant senior reactor operator licenses, and two applicants for upgrade senior reactor operator licenses. The written and operating examinations were developed using NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors," Revision 9, Supplement 1. The license examiners determined that all applicants satisfied the requirements of 10 CFR Part 55, and the appropriate licenses were issued on December 24, 2009.

No findings of significance were identified during this examination.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel L. Hansell, Jr., Chief Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety

Docket Nos. 50-277; 50-278 License Nos.: DPR-44, DPR-56

Enclosure: NRC Examination Report 05000277/2009302; 05000278/2009302

cc: Distribution via ListServ

cc w/encl:

A. Wasong, Director of Training

Mr. Charles G. Pardee Senior Vice President, Exelon Generation Company, LLC President and Chief Nuclear Officer (CNO), Exelon Nuclear 4300 Winfield Rd. Warrenville, IL 60555

SUBJECT:

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 - NRC EXAMINATION REPORT 05000277/2009302: 05000278/2009302

Dear Mr. Pardee:

On December 16, 2009, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an examination at Peach Bottom. The enclosed report documents the examination findings, which were discussed on December 24, 2009, with Mr. F. Bruns of your staff.

The examination included the evaluation of two applicants for reactor operator licenses, eight applicants for instant senior reactor operator licenses, and two applicants for upgrade senior reactor operator licenses. The written and operating examinations were developed using NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors," Revision 9, Supplement 1. The license examiners determined that all applicants satisfied the requirements of 10 CFR Part 55, and the appropriate licenses were issued on December 24, 2009.

No findings of significance were identified during this examination.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel L. Hansell, Jr., Chief Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety

Docket Nos. 50-277; 50-278 License Nos.: DPR-44, DPR-56

Enclosure: NRC Examination Report 05000277/2009302; 05000278/2009302

cc: Distribution via ListServ

cc w/encl:

A. Wasong, Director of Training

SUNSI Review Complete: ___*tf____ (Reviewer's Initials)

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DRS\Operations Branch\FISH\Exam 10-PB-Dec 09 (U01792)\Exam Report.doc

ADAMS PKG NO: ML091380320 ADAMS ACC: ML0

After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it will be released to the Public.

OFFICE	RI/DRS/OB	RI/DRS/OB	RI/DRS/OB	
NAME	CJBixler/cb*	TFish/tf*	SLHansell/sh*	
DATE	01/12/10	01/12/10	01/18/10	

Distribution w/encl: (via E-mail)

- S. Collins, RA (R10RAMAIL Resource)
- M. Dapas, DRA (R10RAMAIL Resource)
- D. Lew, DRP (R1DRPMAIL Resource)
- J. Clifford, DRP (R1DRPAMAIL Resource)
- D. Roberts, DRS (R1DRSMail Resource)
- P. Wilson, DRS (R1DRSMail Resource)
- T. Fish, Chief Examiner, DRS
- S. Hansell, DRS
- P. Krohn, DRP
- R. Fuhrmeister, DRP
- A. Rosebrook, DRP
- E. Torres, DRP
- J. Bream, DRP
- F. Bower, DRP, SRI
- A. Ziedonis, DRP, RI
- S. Schmitt, DRP, OA
- L. Trocine, RI OEDO

RidsNrrPMPeachBottom Resource

RidsNrrDorlLpl1-2 Resource

ROPreports@nrc.gov

Distribution w/encl:

DRS Master Exam File (C. Bixler) (w/concurrences)

DRS File

EXAMINATION REPORT U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I

Dockets:

50-277 & 50-278

Licenses:

DPR-44 and DPR-56

Report:

05000277/2009302; 05000278/2009302

Licensee:

Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Facility:

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

Location:

Delta, PA

Dates:

December 7-11, 2009 (Operating Test Administration)

December 16, 2009 (Written Examination Administration)

December 23, 2009 (Licensee Submitted Post Exam Package)

December 14-23, 2009 (NRC Examination Grading)

December 24, 2009 (Licenses Issued)

Examiners:

T. Fish, Chief Examiner

J. D'Antonio, Sr. Examiner

S. Hansell, Examiner

B. Fuller, Examiner

P. Presby, Examiner

D. Molteni, Examiner

Approved By:

Samuel L. Hansell, Jr., Chief

Operations Branch

Division of Reactor Safety

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

ER 05000277/2009302; 05000278/2009302; December 7-16, 2009; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3; Initial Operator Licensing Examination Report.

NRC examiners evaluated the competency of two applicants for reactor operator licenses, eight applicants for instant senior reactor operator licenses, and two upgrade senior reactor licenses at the Peach Bottom facility. The facility licensee developed the examinations using NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors," Revision 9, Supplement 1. The written examination was administered by the facility on December 16, 2009. Six NRC examiners administered the operating tests from December 7-11, 2009. The license examiners determined that all applicants satisfied the requirements of 10 CFR Part 55, and the appropriate licenses have been issued.

A. NRC-Identified and Self-Revealing Findings

None

B. <u>Licensee-Identified Violations</u>

None.

REPORT DETAILS

4. OTHER ACTIVITIES (OA)

4OA5 Other Activities (Initial Operator License Examination)

.1 License Applications

a. Scope

The examiners reviewed all twelve license applications submitted by the licensee to ensure the applications reflected that each applicant satisfied relevant eligibility requirements. The applications were submitted on NRC Form 398, "Personal Qualification Statement," and NRC Form 396, "Certification of Medical Examination by Facility Licensee." The examiner also audited two license applications in detail to confirm that they accurately reflected the applicants' qualifications. This audit focused on the applicants' experience, on-the-job training, and eligibility to sit for the instant senior operator license exams.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 Operator Knowledge and Performance

a. Examination Scope

On December 16, 2009, the licensee proctored the administration of the written examinations to all applicants. The licensee staff graded the written examinations, analyzed the results, and presented their analysis to the NRC on December 23, 2009.

The NRC examination team administered the various portions of the operating examination to all applicants from December 7-11, 2009. The applicants for reactor operator licenses participated in two dynamic simulator scenarios, in a control room and facilities walkthrough test consisting of eleven system tasks, and an administrative test consisting of four administrative tasks. The applicants seeking an instant senior reactor operator license participated in two or three dynamic simulator scenarios, a control room and facilities walkthrough test consisting of ten system tasks, and an administrative test consisting of five administrative tasks. The applicants for an upgrade license participated in two or three dynamic scenarios, a control room and facilities walkthrough test consisting of five system tasks, and an administrative test consisting of five administrative tasks.

b. <u>Findings</u>

All applicants passed all parts of the operating test and the written examination. For the written examinations, the reactor operator applicants' average score was 91.3 percent and ranged from 90.7 to 92.0 percent. The senior reactor operator applicants' overall average score was 90.3 percent and ranged from 83.0 to 98.0 percent. The examination questions may be accessed in the ADAMS system under the accession numbers noted in the attachment.

Chapter ES-403 and Form ES-403-1 of NUREG 1021 require the facility licensee to analyze the validity of any written examination questions that were missed by half or more of the applicants. Five questions met this criterion. The facility licensee conducted the performance analysis and submitted it to the chief examiner.

.3 Initial Licensing Examination Development

a. Examination Scope

The facility licensee developed the examinations in accordance with the Examination Standards. All licensee facility training and operations staff involved in examination preparation and validation were on a security agreement. The facility licensee submitted both the written and operating examination outlines on July 16, 2009. The chief examiner reviewed the outlines against the requirements of the Standards, and provided comments to the licensee. The facility licensee submitted the draft examination package on October 19, 2009. The chief examiner reviewed the draft examination package against the requirements of the Standards, and provided comments to the licensee on the examination on October 30, 2009. The examinations were within the range of acceptability for a proposed examination. The NRC conducted an onsite validation of the operating examinations and provided associated comments during the week of November 2, 2009. The facility licensee satisfactorily completed comment resolution on November 18, 2009.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

.4 Simulation Facility Performance

a. Examination Scope

The examiners observed simulator performance with regard to plant fidelity during the examination validation and administration.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.5 <u>Examination Security</u>

a. Examination Scope

The examiners reviewed examination security for examination development and during both the onsite preparation week and examination administration week for compliance with the Examination Standards. Plans for simulator security and applicant control were reviewed and discussed with licensee personnel.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

4OA6 Meetings, Including Exit

The chief examiner presented the examination results to Mr. Fred Bruns of the facility licensee's staff on December 24, 2009.

The licensee did not identify any information or materials used during the examination as proprietary.

ATTACHMENT 1: SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

ATTACHMENT 1

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

KEY POINTS OF CONTACT

Licensee Personnel

F. Bruns J. Verbillis Facility Exam Developer Facility Exam Developer

J. Maclintock

Operator Training - Initial Programs

ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

None

ADAMS DOCUMENTS REFERENCED

Accession No. ML093620012 Accession No. ML093620021 FINAL-Written Exam FINAL-Operating Exam