

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)	Docket No. 50-247
)	OL No. DPR-26
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY)	
OF NEW YORK, INC.)	(Selection of Preferred
)	Alternative Closed-Cycle
(Indian Point Station,)	Cooling System)
Unit No. 2))	

HUDSON RIVER FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION REQUEST
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE
A BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO EXCEPTIONS

12-23

On December 6, 1976, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc ("Con Edison"), the Applicant in the above-captioned proceeding, filed exceptions to the Partial Initial Decision issued by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board on November 30, 1976. On December 21, 1976, Applicant filed a Brief in Support of its Exceptions.

Pursuant to Section 2.762(b) of the Commission Rules of Practice, the Hudson River Fishermen's Association (HRFA), an intervenor in the above-captioned proceeding, intends to submit a brief in opposition to Applicant's exceptions. However, HRFA is unable to file its brief within the time set by 10 C.F.R. Section 2.762(b). This provision requires that briefs in opposition to Applicant's exceptions be filed by January 5, 1977. HRFA is not able to comply with this


8110240121 761223
PDR ADOCK 05000247
G PDR

50-247
144

deadline because counsel for HRFA will be out of the office on vacation between December 24, 1976 and January 3, 1977. HRFA therefore seeks a one week extension until January 12 for the filing of its brief. Counsel for Applicant and Staff have indicated that they have no objection to the requested extension.

Wherefore, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Section 2.711, HRFA respectfully requests that a one week extension of the period for filing its brief in opposition to Applicant's exceptions be granted.

Respectfully submitted,


Sarah Chasis, Esq.
Counsel for HRFA

(Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.)
15 West 44th Street
New York, New York 10036

December 23, 1976