

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
)
Consolidated Edison Company) Docket No. 50-247
of New York, Inc.)
(Indian Point Station, Unit No. 2))

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY
AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

RESPONSE OF CONSOLIDATED EDISON
REGARDING REMANDED MATTERS

The recent submittals of the parties ^{1/} as well as
the uncontroverted record in this proceeding demonstrate that
further evidence regarding the Indian Point 2 security plan
and the Refrigerant Air Dryer is not required. Indeed, the
submittals of the Regulatory Staff and Consolidated Edison
demonstrate that CCPE's suggestion for further evidence
regarding these matters is without merit. ^{2/}

Section 4.4.2 of the revised Indian Point 2 Physical

1/

Response of AEC Regulatory Staff to Appeal Board Order of
June 26, 1974, July 19, 1974; Statement of Consolidated Edison
Regarding Matters Remanded to the Appeal Board, July 15, 1974.

2/

CCPE Response to June 26, 1974 Order, July 15, 1974.

3/
Security Plan describes the provisions for training and retraining of each member of the security force at the Indian Point Station. Section 4.2.1 of that Plan describes the written security procedures which have been prepared and are available at the Indian Point Station. The revised Physical Security Plan also identifies vital equipment located at Indian Point 2 4/ and sets forth the measures for controlling access to such equipment. 5/

Additional evidence regarding the Refrigerant Air Dryer also is not required. The submittals of the Regulatory

3/
Indian Point Station, Physical Security Plan, May 1, 1974 (transmitted by letter from Mr. Cahill to Mr. O'Leary, May 1, 1974).

4/
Id., Table 1 at 20. Consolidated Edison informed Regulatory Operations during its inspection of the Indian Point Station that an additional item has been added to Category A of that Table.

5/
Section 3.4.2.1. It should be noted that the provisions described in Section 3.4.2.1 will be implemented in accordance with the letters from Mr. Cahill to Mr. O'Leary, dated May 1, 1974 and June 27, 1974.

6/ Staff and Consolidated Edison 7/ demonstrate that this matter has been satisfactorily resolved.

Respectfully submitted,

LEBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MACRAE
1757 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Attorneys for Consolidated Edison
Company of New York, Inc.

By Edward L. Cohen
Edward L. Cohen

Dated: July 26, 1974

6/

E.g., Response of AEC Regulatory Staff to Appeal Board
Order of June 26, 1974, July 19, 1974 at 3.

7/

E.g., Statement of Consolidated Edison Regarding Matters
Remanded to the Appeal Board, July 15, 1974 at 2-3.