LAW OFFICES OF

## LEBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MACRAE

1757 N STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

ARVIN E. UPTON
EUGENE B. THOMAS, JR.
LEONARD M. TROSTEN
HARRY H. VOIGT
LEX K. LARSON
WASHINGTON PARTNERS

March 25, 1974

EAUD. & WILL I SORY

ONE CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005

> WASHINGTON TELEPHONE 202-872-8656

CABLE ADDRESS

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. Berlin, Roisman & Kessler Fourth Floor 1712 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: Indian Point 2

Dear Mr. Roisman:

Enclosed is a copy of a letter from Mr. Stein to Mr. O'Reilly dated March 19, 1974.

Very truly yours,

LEBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MACRAE
Attorneys for Consolidated Edison
Company of New York, Inc.

By Edward In Cohen

DOCKETED

1:SAEG

MAR 27 1974

Ottor of the Secretary

Public Presculings

Branca

OV)

BY HAND

Enclosure


cc w/enc:

William C. Parler, Esq. Dr. John A. Buck Dr. Lawrence R. Quarles Samuel W. Jensch, Esq. Mr. R. B. Briggs Dr. John C. Geyer Myron Karman, Esq. Angus Macbeth, Esq.

J. Bruce MacDonald, Esq. Hon. Louis J. Lefkowitz

Secretary, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

8110240171 740325 PDR ADDCK 05000247 G PDR Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. 4 Irving Place, New York, NY 10003





March 19, 1974

Indian Point Unit No. 2 A.E.C. Docket No. 50-247 Operating License DPR-26 A.O. 4-2-11

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director Regulatory Operations, Region I U. S. Atomic Energy Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

In accordance with the requirements of Section 6.12.2(a) of the Technical Specifications of Facility Operating License No. DPR-26, the following report is submitted:

On March 15, 1974, the failure of valves 876A and 876B to operate was reported to your office as A.O. 4-2-10. These valves failed to operate as required during the performance of periodic surveillance test PT-R13 "Safety Injection System Test".

Upon completing the above test the results were reviewed and two additional deficiencies were identified. These deficiencies were No. 22 diesel generator coming up to speed and then tripping on loss of field, and No. 21 service water pump failing to start. Even with the above two failures the required two out of three essential service water pumps operated following actuation of the safeguards logic.

The above deficiencies in themselves did not constitute an abnormal occurrence. However, the system would not have been able to perform its intended safety function if there had been a concurrent loss of outside power. Mr. James P. O'Reilly

2 - March 19, 1974

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2
A.E.C. Docket No. 50-247
Operating License DPR-26
A.O. 4-2-11

As stated in our report on A.O. 4-2-10 the reactor was in the cold shutdown condition at the time of the occurrence. Both diesel No. 22 and service water pump No. 21 were started successfully several times following the test.

Mr. Anthony Fasano of your office was informed of this occurrence by Mr. John M. Makepeace on March 15, 1974.

Very truly yours,

Walter Stein

Walter Stein, Manager Nuclear Power Generation Department

cc: Mr. John F. O'Leary